

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 09 March 2015 02:21
To: 'Vicki.McQueen@met.pnn.police.uk'
Subject: RE: Public complaint by your son Simon Cordell
Dear Victoria McQueen

My son had his appeal on the 05/03/2015 which he won, the judge was not happy with the police officer as it was proved he did in fact lie, the judge did in fact tell the police officer not to leave the court building. And in fact we did not show all the facts we did have the complaint will need updating to show this police officer lied to 2 judges and in fact got my son convicted wrongly by lying to the 1st hearing judge and got my son banned from driving and points and a fine, and within his statement which the judge noted had not been dated and the fact there was no 101 book for the officer for this day. The ticket was also lost by the police that was issued on the day the police officer started this which was on the 14/11/2014.

The judge sitting at the court was not happy, and in fact stopped the hearing as he could clearly see what the police officer had done. The judges went out and when they came back they told my son he had won his case, the judge then spoke to the CPS about having the real statement and documents from the police officer that day and that the audio files needed to stay on file. He also told the CPS he was not happy about the police officer and this had to be passed on to the right person. Which the CPS said he would do.

We are not happy about what this officer did and the cost it has caused my son and stress to his health, my son's insurance was going to close his insurance down for no reason only due to what the police officer said, days making calls to the police stations trying to prove my son did in fact have no tools in his van on that day, him having to go to the compound where the van was taken to just so they could call his insurance company to prove there were not tools that would have made my son's insurance void, all this due to the police actions on that day for no reason why did he need to lie, days going to court and hearing a police officer lie in 2 courts. I will again be writing an update to the IPCC about what this officer has done.

Could you please get back to me so this complaint can now be started I think the police officer should be changed for what he has done. If anyone else lied in court not just once but 2 times they would end up in prison a police officer doing this is one of the worse cases. And there was no reason for what he did the only reason I can think of is due to my son being mixed race.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Vicki.McQueen@met.pnn.police.uk [mailto:Vicki.McQueen@met.pnn.police.uk]
Sent: 11 December 2013 12:44
To: lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk
Subject: Public complaint by your son Simon Cordell

Ms Cordell,

I tried to call you today to ask you a question about your son's complaint. I was unable to get hold of you. Please can you reply and let me know if your son is disputing his penalty notice or not. If he has requested a Court hearing (which his complaint would tend to indicate) the complaint will be classed as 'sub-judice' which means it will be put on hold until Court proceedings are complete.

Regards,

Victoria McQueen
Detective Sergeant
Customer Service Team

Metropolitan Police Service
Directorate of Professional Standards
Empress State Building

SW61TR

Tel; 02071616455 (786455)

MetSec Code Restricted

Total Policing is the Met's commitment to be on the streets and in your communities to catch offenders, prevent crime and support victims. We are here for London, working with you to make our capital safer.

Consider our environment - please do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

NOTICE - This email and any attachments may be confidential, subject to copyright and/or legal privilege and are intended solely for the use of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. To avoid incurring legal liabilities, you must not distribute or copy the information in this email without the permission of the sender. MPS communication systems are monitored to the extent permitted by law. Consequently, any email and/or attachments may be read by monitoring staff. Only specified personnel are authorised to conclude any binding agreement on behalf of the MPS by email. The MPS accepts no responsibility for unauthorised agreements reached with other employees or agents. The security of this email and any attachments cannot be guaranteed. Email messages are routinely scanned but malicious software infection and corruption of content can still occur during transmission over the Internet. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of the Metropolitan Police Service (MPS).

Find us at:

Facebook: [Facebook.com/metpoliceuk](https://www.facebook.com/metpoliceuk)

Twitter: [@metpoliceuk](https://twitter.com/metpoliceuk)