

Completing an N1 claim form effectively involves several key principles to ensure accuracy and clarity. Here are some important steps to follow:

1. Preparation:	
○ Consider Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR): Before filing a claim, think about whether ADR could resolve the issue without going to court¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Gather Information: Collect all necessary details about the claim, including evidence and documentation.	☐ Yes ☐ No
2. Filling Out the Form:	
○ Claimant and Defendant Details: Clearly state your name and address (as the claimant) and the defendant's name and address².	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Court Details: Indicate the name of the court where you want the claim to be issued¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Value of the Claim: Specify the amount you are claiming, including any interest and court fees³.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Particulars of Claim: Provide a detailed account of the claim, including the facts and the remedy you seek⁴.	☐ Yes ☐ No
3. Accuracy and Clarity:	
○ Use Black Ink and Block Capitals: If filling out the form by hand, use black ink and write in block capitals to ensure legibility¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Check for Completeness: Ensure all sections of the form are filled out correctly and completely.	☐ Yes ☐ No
4. Statement of Truth:	
○ Sign and Date: Include a statement of truth, confirming that the information provided is accurate to the best of your knowledge⁴.	☐ Yes ☐ No
5. Submission:	
○ Copies: Make copies of the completed form for yourself, the court, and each defendant¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Fees: Pay the required court fee when submitting the form⁵.	☐ Yes ☐ No
6. Seek Assistance:	
○ Legal Advice: If needed, seek advice from a solicitor or Citizens Advice¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No
○ Helpdesk: Contact the Money Claim helpdesk for additional support¹.	☐ Yes ☐ No

Following these principles will help ensure your N1 claim form is completed thoroughly and correctly. If you have any specific questions or need further assistance, feel free to ask!

This file was built last by me, Simon. The reason being was that I thought the MP'S police and council had started on me and my family due to parties that had been a year prior to the Asbo and they used me to try to be come an informer for them WITHOUT EVEN TRYING TO REGISTER ME, but I refused to grass up on the people who supposedly organised the 5 parties in the Asbo and instead went against the MP'S police and council by looking for ways to prove they were setting me up. I believe in all honesty what was done to me and my dead children was to cover up the Asbo so, I worked mostly on the Asbo files and cases afterwards. I knew my mother had found 9 cases in my Criminal Record to be frauded but I never believed I would be able to prove much more than that of the same 9 cases and the No Further Actions, NFA so, never worked on the files. Eventually I started to put them together and relised that this was why they done this to me and I can no longer go out like others can in the UK. Due to this the PNC, Acro N1 Claim form was never built or its pre action conduct letter. Once I relised how much more I could prove I needed these documents and at the

time I was writing a letter to the Enfield Council as they had contacted me so, I used the letter I was writing to create these PNC N1 & Pre Action letters and this why Enfield Council comes up a lot at the moment.

<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/6%20-Open-WebLinks/>

9/28/2022 9:41 AM 173241 [Mr S P Cordell - Letter 20-09-22 \(2\).pdf](#)

<https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/6%20-Open-WebLinks/7.%20KayOthers/7.%20KayOthers/Dac-Beachcroft/DAC-Beachcroft/Hide/Hide/Email%20to%20Will%20Wraxall%20%20Enfield%20Council/>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. OUR N1 CLAIM FORM:

	1	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
	2	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
	3	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
	4	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
	5	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
	6	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No

2. KEY INFORMATION TO CONSIDER:

• Key Information to Consider.	7	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Today's Dates Future Issues of Concern: • Suspension Pending Enquiry & the Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986 And Failed Companies,	8	Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Opposing Bail in Criminal Proceedings		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Freezing Orders		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Settlement Agreements [Clause 1]		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Selling Copyright in & after these Proceedings [Clause 2]		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Duty of CANDOUR for Public Authorities		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Health and Social Care		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Memorandum and Articles of Association of Enfield Homes Limited Weblink		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Losses and Special Payments: Moved • Statutory Conspiracy: Moved • Criminal Liability: Moved		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Making A Complaint:		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Arranging A Meeting!		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Companies Act 2006 "Directors' Duties"		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• A Reference Towards the Time Limitation Act 1980		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Now Claimant Request That the Following Bail Conditions Get Imposed at The Minimal, Upon the Accused. • Courts Powers Imposing Bail Conditions		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No

• A Reference Towards the Time Limitation Act 1980 Moved • Statutory Responsibilities Code of Conduct and Code of Accountability Moved • Levels of seriousness Moved • Equality Act 2010 Moved		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
3. THE CLAIMANT'S GENERAL INFORMATION:		
• This Document Is in Pursuit for A		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Herein Context		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Years		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
4. LETTER OF CLAIM, FOR A PNC ACRO REPORT:		
• Dear Official Persons of Relevance		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
5. OUR LISTED AS LIABLE:		
Metropolitan Police Force		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
Enfield Council		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
Neighbourhood Watch Team Enfield Homes		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
National Health Services NHS		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
6. WHAT IS BEING REQUESTED BY THE CLAIMANT & A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE CLAIMANTS' REASONS FOR REQUEST:		
1. WHAT IS BEING REQUESTED BY THE CLAIMANT		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
A. The Requests We Make Are		
2. REASONS FOR THE REQUEST		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• In Between The Years Of		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Police Have Not Met the Required Safeguard Procedures		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Police Have a Legal Obligation to The Claimant		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Fraud		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Statutory Responsibilities Code of Conduct and Code of Accountability		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Statutory Conspiracy		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Criminal Liability		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Levels of Seriousness		Complete

		☐ Yes ☐ No
• The Equality Act 2010		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Compliance with GDPR		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Data Minimization		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Data Subject Control		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Transparency		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Legal Compliance		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Data Security		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Data Retention Policies		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
• Reputation and Trust		Complete ☐ Yes ☐ No
7. Prep:		
8. Prep:		
9. Prep:		
10. Prep:		

7. Prep:

8. Prep:

9. Prep:

10. Prep:

11. Prep:

12. Prep:

13. Prep:	
14. Prep:	
15. Prep:	
16. Prep:	
17. Prep:	
18. Prep:	



Claim Form

You may be able to issue your claim online which may save time and money. Go to www.moneyclaim.gov.uk to find out more.

In the	
Fee Account no.	
Help with Fees - Ref no.	For court use only
Claim no.	
Issue date	

Claimant(s) name(s) and address(es) including postcode.

1. **Dated:** 10th January 2024.

****Contact Information:****

2. **Name:** MR. Simon Paul Cordell.

3. **Address:** 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, London, EN3 7JQ.

4. **Tel:**

5. **Email:** Re_wired@ymail.com

SEAL

Defendant(s) name and address(es) including postcode.

I am writing to bring to the attention of the Courts and Defendants the inclusion of a separately attached document, which outlines the detailed list of Defendants, in relation to the above-mentioned claim. Due to the number of individuals involved and their corresponding addresses and postcodes, the sheer volume of data made it impractical to incorporate within the main body of the N1 claim form.

The Exhibited file we have attached as: **"The Listed as Liable."** Contains Our Accused.

Brief details of claim

Subject: Official Insurance Claim for Damages and Vicarious Liability

Dear Mr. and/or Mrs.

I, Simon Cordell, residing at the listed address above, and I'm writing this letter to formally file an insurance claim against the companies we have Exhibited as **"The Listed as Liable,"** in our Attached Document that we submitted within.

Particulars of Claims:

To Follow

Value

I am writing to formally request compensation in the amount of £1,050,000,000, which includes £1,000,000,000 for [specific damages and losses] and £50,000,000 for the purchase of a house, due to [the describe incidents and given reason for the claim.

Defendant's name and address for service including postcode

--

Amount claimed	£
Court fee	
Legal representative's costs	
Total amount	

For further details of the courts www.gov.uk/find-court-

Particulars of Claim☐ Attached☒ To FollowClaim no. **Particulars of Claims:**

The actions of the **“The Listed as Liable.”** have led to significant damages, both physical and mentally and financially.

The failures and breaches of the **listed as liable in our attached form of particulars** have resulted in substantial damages to Mr. Simon Paul Cordell’s personal life, business, reputation, and financial status as well as health’s well-being.

These incidents that we are accounting for occurred during various interactions with law enforcement and Government Representatives, such as the Listed as Liable.

Damages: The failures of the **“The Listed as Liable.”** have led to the following damages: --

Legal Basis for the Claim: The basis for this claim rests on the violations of: --

1. Specify legal principles and/or contractual obligations.

Unlawful Actions and Violated Laws/Regulations: It has come to my attention that your company's actions have breached the following laws and regulations: --

1. Wrongful Seizure of Vehicle:

- Claim damages resulting from the wrongful seizure of your vehicle on multiple occasions.

2. Breach of Bail Conditions:

- Claim for damages and emotional distress due to being arrested for a perceived breach of bail conditions, which were later proven to be inaccurate.

3. Insurance Verification Errors:

- Claim for damages and financial losses incurred due to repeated errors in the Motor Insurance Database (MID) and subsequent police stops.

4. False Accusations:

- Claim for damages resulting from false accusations of no insurance coverage, despite providing evidence of valid insurance.

5. Court Fines and Points:

- Claim for damages resulting from fines and points imposed on your driving record in the absence of accurate information about your insurance coverage.

6. Emotional Distress and Harassment:

- Claim for emotional distress and harassment caused by repeated stops and legal proceedings despite having valid insurance.

7. Financial Losses:

- Claim for financial losses incurred in paying fines, retrieving impounded vehicles, and other related expenses.

Particulars of Claim

- ☐ Attached
- ☒ To Follow

Claim no.	
-----------	--

Particulars of Claims:

8. Wrongful Seizure of Vehicle:

- Claim damages resulting from the wrongful seizure of your vehicle on multiple occasions.

9. Breach of Bail Conditions:

- Claim for damages and emotional distress due to being arrested for a perceived breach of bail conditions, which were later proven to be inaccurate.

10. Insurance Verification Errors:

- Claim for damages and financial losses incurred due to repeated errors in the Motor Insurance Database (MID) and subsequent police stops.

11. False Accusations:

- Claim for damages resulting from false accusations of no insurance coverage, despite providing evidence of valid insurance.

12. Court Fines and Points:

- Claim for damages resulting from fines and points imposed on your driving record in the absence of accurate information about your insurance coverage.

13. Emotional Distress and Harassment:

- Claim for emotional distress and harassment caused by repeated stops and legal proceedings despite having valid insurance.

14. Financial Losses:

- Claim for financial losses incurred in paying fines, retrieving impounded vehicles, and other related expenses.

15. Impact on Daily Life:

- Claim for damages related to the significant impact on your daily life, such as missed work, travel disruptions, and stress.

16. Failure to Update Police Systems:

- Claim for damages resulting from the failure of police systems to update and reflect accurate information about your insurance and bail conditions.

17. Insurance Verification Process:

- Claim for damages resulting from the inadequacies in the insurance verification process and its impact on your reputation and financial stability.

Requested Remedies: In light of the above, I am seeking the following remedies:

Particulars of Claim

- ☐ Attached
- ☒ To Follow

Claim no.	
-----------	--

You must indicate your preferred County Court Hearing Centre for hearings here (see notes for guidance)

Do you believe you, or a witness who will give evidence on your behalf, are vulnerable in anyway which the court needs to consider?

- ☒ Yes. Please explain in what way you or the witness are vulnerable and what steps, support or adjustments you wish the court and the judge to consider.
- ☐ No

Does, or will your claim include any issues under the Human Rights Act 1998?

- ☒ Yes
- ☒ No

Particulars of Claim

- ☐ Attached
- ☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

Statement of truth

I understand that proceedings for contempt of court may be brought against a person who makes, or causes to be made, a false statement in a document verified by a statement of truth without an honest belief in its truth.

- ☐ **I believe** that the facts stated in this claim form and any attached sheets are true.
- ☐ **The claimant** believes that the facts stated in this claim form and any attached sheets are true. **I am authorised** by the claimant to sign this statement.

Signature

- ☐ Claimant
- ☐ Litigation friend (where claimant is a child or protected party)
- ☐ Claimant’s legal representative (as defined by CPR 2.3(1))

Date

Day	Month	Year

Full name

Name of claimant’s legal representative’s firm

If signing on behalf of firm or company give position or office held

Particulars of Claim

- ☐ Attached
- ☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

Claimant’s or claimant’s legal representative’s address to which documents should be sent.

Building and street

Second line of address

Town or city

County (optional)

Postcode

If applicable

Phone number

DX number

Your Ref.

Email

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: ONE OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

Date; 30/11/2024

Email: Re_wired@ymail.com

Our Ref: one.

Your Ref: 01

Phone:

Address;

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell
109 Burncroft Avenue
Enfield
London
En3 7jq

**KEY INFORMATION TO CONSIDER
BEFORE
WE ADDRESS OUR ISSUES!**

Key Information to Consider:

1. **Conflicting Issues:** It is crucial to acknowledge and handle any potential conflicts that may arise during the course of these proceedings. These conflicts should be addressed promptly and effectively to ensure a fair and just resolution.
2. **Alerting Practical Solutions:** We highly recommend being proactive in identifying and implementing practical solutions to mitigate any issues that may hinder the smooth progression of the case. By addressing these solutions beforehand, we can streamline procedures and improve efficiency.
3. **Adherence:** It is important for all parties involved to adhere to the guidelines and regulations agreed upon throughout the case proceedings. This will promote fairness, transparency, and a respectful exchange of ideas.
4. **Preemptive Measures:** Taking preemptive measures to address any potential challenges or obstacles can significantly contribute to the overall success of the case. By identifying and implementing these measures in advance, we can minimize disruptions and enhance the overall efficacy of the procedures.
5. **Continuous Improvement:** We should strive for continuous improvement throughout the case proceedings. This involves consistently reassessing our approaches, incorporating feedback, and making necessary adjustments to enhance the process and achieve the desired outcomes.
6. Please consider these key points as we navigate through the upcoming issues. By acknowledging potential conflicts, implementing practical solutions, adhering to guidelines, taking preemptive measures, and striving for continuous improvement, we can ensure a smooth and effective resolution to our case.

TODAY'S DATES FUTURE ISSUES OF CONCERN:

1. Suspension Pending Enquiry:

It has come to our attention that certain employees of the Listed as Liable and their subsidiary companies have engaged in misconduct within the workplace. In order to address these concerns, it is imperative that the monitoring office promptly suspend these employees pending investigations. For the sake of clarity and transparency, we have provided a temporary link where you can access the relevant files pertaining to this matter:

❖ File Link Location

✓ <https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Groups-of-Involved-People-List/>

We wish to highlight that this action, which we term "**Suspension Pending Enquiry,**" is in line with the provisions set forth in the **Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986**. Pursuant to this act, it is our duty to issue suspension orders and accompanying charge sheets to the individuals involved. Our client, Mr. S. P. Cordell, the claimant in this case, firmly requests that any of the Listed as Liable employees who we accuse or are of whom we require to attend court for criminal or civil offenses, receive a "**Suspension Pending Enquiry,**" by the relevant authority pending the court proceedings and/or any ongoing inquiry. This step is necessary due to the severity of the claims made against these individuals.

By taking swift action and suspending the employees in question, we can ensure a fair and unbiased investigation into the allegations. Furthermore, once we prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the Chief Executive directors and any other specific staff of the Listed as Liable who failed to exercise adequate skills and care at work and thereby jeopardize the interests of the company's creditors, customers, shareholders, and the public, then the relevant Authority must take the correct and appropriate actions to disqualification.

In accordance with the provisions of the Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986, we assert that these individuals should face **disqualification from becoming a director of a company**, directly or indirectly, or being concerned about or taking part in the promotion, formation, or management of various company structures without permission from the Court and these structures will include but are not limited to:

- 1/ Privilege of Limited Liability Companies.**
- 2/ Limited Liability Partnerships (LLPs) Companies.**
- 3/ General Partnerships Companies.**
- 4/ Building Societies Companies.**
- 5/ Incorporated Friendly Societies Companies.**
- 6/ NHS Foundation Trusts Companies.**
- 7/ Registered Societies Companies.**
- 8/ Charitable Incorporated Organisations Companies.**
- 9/ Further Education Bodies Companies.**
- 10/ Protected Cell Companies.**

It is essential that any disqualification imposed be proportionate to the offense and not exceed a maximum duration of 15 years.

We trust that Listed as Liable will give its due consideration to this matter and now take appropriate action to ensure a just and fair resolution to these proceedings.

2. Opposing Bail in Criminal Proceedings:

Our Request is for Stringent Bail Conditions!

It also comes to our attention that certain defendants involved in criminal proceedings may be eligible for bail under the **Bail Act 1976**. We are writing to express our opposition to the granting of

bail and to request the imposition of stringent bail conditions, in line with public interest and the severity of the crimes allegedly committed.

We firmly believe that it is in the best interests of justice and the safety of the victim, Mr. S. P. Cordell, as well as the public, that the defendants remain remained in custody during the pending enquiry. The following are the reasons that support our request, which is for refusing bail:

- 1/ Non-Absconding:** There is a significant risk that the defendants may fail to turn up at their future court dates and abscond, thereby undermining the proceedings.
- 2/ Severity of Offenses:** The crimes charged against the defendants carry substantial sentences, and there is a high probability that they would be imposed if the defendants were found guilty. This severity warrants careful consideration when determining bail.
- 3/ Time Between Appearances:** Given the potentially lengthy period between the first appearance and the eventual trial, granting bail could risk the defendants committing further offenses or tampering with any witnesses.
- 4/ Reprisals and Obstruction of Justice:** There is a concern that if granted bail, the defendants may attempt to intimidate or obstruct witnesses or engage in activities that undermine the course of justice.

If, against our recommendation, the court decides to grant bail, we respectfully request the imposition of the following stringent bail conditions upon the accused:

- 1/ Electronic Monitoring:** The defendants should be required to wear a monitoring device as a means of tracking their whereabouts.
- 2/ No Contact Order:** The accused should be strictly prohibited from contacting, directly or indirectly, any individual involved in the criminal case, including communication via social media, phone, text, or any other means.
- 3/ Reporting to Police:** The defendants should be required to report to a designated police station at specified times, ensuring their presence is consistently monitored.
- 4/ Restriction from Certain Areas:** The accused should be prohibited from entering certain areas associated with the case to prevent potential interference or disruption.
- 5/ Surrender of Travel Documents:** The defendants should be obliged to surrender all of their travel documents, including passports, to the police to restrict their ability to flee jurisdiction.
- 6/ Surety Requirement:** Surety, preferably a trusted relative or friend, should be mandated to provide the Court with a specified amount of money as a guarantee that the defendants will appear or be forfeited should they fail to do so.
- 7/ Attendance in Bail Support Programs:** The defendants should be required to participate actively in bail support and supervision programs such as Intensive Supervision and Surveillance (ISS) to ensure compliance with bail conditions.

We also wish to draw your attention to the Powers of the Court under the ***Policing and Crime Act 2017***, specifically section (D. a). We urge you to oversee and enforce the condition that mandates the surrender of all **travel documents** or documents of a similar kind to prevent the defendants from evading legal obligations.

We trust that Listed as Liable will consider these requests seriously and take appropriate action to safeguard the interests of justice and protect the rights of the victim and the public.

3. Freezing Orders

At the request of Mr. S. P. Cordell, the Claimant in this matter, we strongly urge the Court to consider the granting of an interim injunction order to freeze all personal assets of the defendants. This is necessary in order to prevent the defendants from dissipating their assets during the criminal or civil litigation proceedings and to ensure that funds are available for potential recovery of costs in the future.

4. Settlement Agreements [Clause 1]

The Claimant and his Support Network propose the possibility of an out-of-court settlement in these proceedings. Such a settlement would be in the form of a legally binding document, based on mutually agreed terms. Under this arrangement, the Claimant, Mr. S. P. Cordell, waives his right to bring a financial claim against Listed as Liable and its subsidiary companies, and in return, Listed as Liable and its subsidiary companies would provide a more than reasonable financial payment to the Claimant. All parties involved would voluntarily enter into this agreement through a negotiation process.

5. **Selling Copyright In & After These Proceedings [Clause 2]**

The Claimant asserts his legal right to the copyright of these materials and would be open to selling his copyright if the defendants agree to an out-of-court settlement. It should be noted that copyright protection in the United Kingdom is automatic for the author, and there is no need to apply or pay a fee for copyright. However, in order to sell or transfer copyright, a written contract would need to be drafted and signed to indicate the transfer of ownership. Parties interested in such a contractual arrangement may contact the Claimant directly at Re_wired@ymail.com.

6. **The Duty of CANDOUR For Public Authorities**

In accordance with UK public law, public authorities are subject to a duty of CANDOUR. This duty requires them to assist the court in reaching the correct result and improve standards in public administration, rather than seeking to win litigation at all costs. Public servants are expected to fully explain the circumstances and reasons behind their actions, as stated by **Lord Donaldson MR in R v Lancashire County Council ex p. Huddleston**.

❖ **File Link Location**

- ✓ <https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/appeals-judicial-review-cps-prosecuting-decisions>
- ✓ https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/285368/Tsol_discharging_1_.pdf

7. **Health and Social Care**

In addition to the duty of CANDOUR imposed on public authorities, there is also a contractual duty of CANDOUR placed on all providers of healthcare services in the UK. This duty requires them to provide users and other relevant individuals with all necessary information in the event of a **"Reportable Patient Safety Incident"** that could have or did result in moderate or severe harm or death. This duty of CANDOUR is in line with the regulations set out in the **Health and Social Care Act 2008** and the **2014** Regulations governing **"Regulated Activities"** in NHS hospitals. We respectfully request that these matters be given due consideration in the proceedings and that appropriate actions be taken to protect the interests of all parties involved.

8. **Making A Complaint:**

9. **Arranging A Meeting!**

- 1/ General meeting.
- 2/ Engrossment.
- 3/ General damage.
- 4/ Prominence.

Draft Parts

10. **Companies Act 2006 "Directors' Duties"**

- **The Company Acts 1985**

- ✓ <https://www.jmw.co.uk/services-for-business/corporate/legal-advice-company-directors/criminal-Prosecution-involving-company-directors>
- ✓ <https://asic.gov.au/about-asic/contact-us/how-to-complain/disputes-between-officeholders-and-or-members-of-small-proprietary-companies-video-transcript/>
- ✓ https://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/lexispsl/corporatecrime/document/391421/55KB-9471-F188-N2X0-00000-00/Companies_Act_offences_overview

a) A Making a material omission from a statement relating to a company's affairs.

b) +Destroying, mutilating, or falsifying company records

c) **A**

d) **Housing Act 1988**

e) **Company Limited by Guarantee Act 1989**

f) **Not having a Share Capital Act 2006**

g) **Agreeing to Indemnify Sureties**

h) **Offences against the Person Act 1861 s.18**

i) **The Prosecution of Offences Act 1985**

j) **Criminal Law Act 1967**

k) **Criminal Evidence Act 1984 / 1898**

l) **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) codes of practice**

11. **A Reference Towards the Time Limitation Act 1980**

1/ "In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below is **Exhibit C**

2/ An example of the Time Limitation Act and its relevance in these proceedings is the following: -

3/ A claim in fraud against the trustee of a trust is not subject to any limitation.

4/ There is no time limit under the time limit Act **1996 - 1980** when accounting for certain aspects of the law and this includes fraud and denial.

5/ Throughout a large part of the Now Claimants life the Members of the Listed as Liable and their subsidiary companies Staff undermined the Now Claimant from any Justice so that he could bring a case against themselves and as an example, it is said that Members of the Metropolitan Police Force and the Enfield Council Staff deliberately and with reckless intentions attempted to stop the Now Claimant from making this claim by using an illegal method of a: - "abuse of process," that caused "Malfeasance in Public Office" "Targeted Malicious prosecutions," and this barbaric behaviour has caused a pro longed delay in this claim. These actions of these officers broke mutable United Kingdom laws and regulations and just to name one of each we would state: - **One being:** "The Interference with the course of justice **1963.**" & **Two as:** "Corruption and Bribery: Engaging in corrupt practices or accepting bribes" and also, not to forget "Data Protection Breaches: Failing to protect personal data in accordance with GDPR regulations," which breached our clients Legal Rights to a "**Fair Trial & Process.**"

6/ The Now Claimant produces considerable evidence within this document that accounts for: - "Extraordinary Circumstances," which is the key element to continue ahead with this claim as it was not the Now Claimants fault for these prolonged delays.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

THE CLAIMANTS
GENERAL INFORMATION

INFORMATION

- A. This Document Is in Pursuit for A:** -- Mr. Simon Paul Codell that we name throughout this official document as the Claimant.
- B. The Herein Context contained within this document contains** a copy of the Now claimant's personal data that the police hold on the Police National Systems that is known as a Criminal Record or otherwise ACRO Report.
- C. The Years, we as claimants account for are as follows:** 1995 till 2024.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**LETTER OF CLAIM FOR A PNC
ACRO REPORT**

PLEASE DO NOT IGNORE THIS EMAIL.

Dear Official Persons of Relevance.

- We hope this letter finds you well. We are writing to bring to your attention a matter of utmost importance that requires immediate action and attention from your offices.
- The purpose of this letter is to formally request an Official Investigation into a series of events that have caused significant harm to the Now Claimants' life, who is details we enclose throughout this Official Document as Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.
- The Claimant has and continues to be sustain **"Personal and Property Damage"** due to no fault of his own as a result from failures that were caused by **"Our Listed as Liable"** running company's activities faults employees.
- We as the Claimant Support Team will demonstrate throughout this Official Document how the Now Claimant has suffered from: --
- **General Damages** = General Damages Relating Towards the Impact Within the Claimants' Life Referring to Such Issues As: --
 - a. Pain And Suffering Caused by "Our Listed as Liable" Within This Official Document,
 - b. Forced Changes to The Claimants Lifestyle,
 - c. Mental Trauma Also Caused by The Mentioned in This Data,
- And: --
- **Special Damages** = Special Damages Relate to The Impact caused by **"Our Listed as Liable"** within this Official Document to the Now Claimants Life Referring to Such Issues As: --
 - a. Loss Of Earnings,
 - b. Future Loss of Earnings,
 - c. Medical Treatment,
 - d. Future Medical Treatments,
 - e. Transport Expenses Relating to Incidents as Below,
 - f. Changes To the Now Claimants' Living Environment.
-
- **The Now Claimant Requests to Seek Justice Through:**
 - a. Arrests, Charges Brought to Court, And Guilty Convictions
 - b. As Well As Well as Requests of PNC Record Amendments, Local Systems Deletion, Local Systems - Amendment of Information Contained Within.

- Below is a drafted list of particulars of claims, what are for an amicable settlement (out of Court and/or in of court) due to incidents that: -- **“Our Listed as Liable”** are vicariously liable for.
- It is Our firm belief that a thorough and Comprehensive Investigation is not only warranted due to the evidence we submit to the relevant Official Persons of interest to these proceedings but that they are also crucial to uphold the principles of justice for accountability of wrongs.
- The impact of these wrongs continues to be substantially affecting the Now Claimant and his loved within their personal lives while negatively affecting their well-beings and as a result these Impacts also cause and have caused them a significant financial loss that they now seek to recover as described in **“Recovery of The Claimant’s Losses,”** as described below.
- In light of the gravity of the situation, we kindly request your immediate attention to Our Matters of Concern that we Raise to Yourselves.
- We expect that the appropriate authorities will conduct a diligent investigation leading to the identification and apprehension of those responsible, followed by legal proceedings that result in guilty convictions while rectifying the damage caused to the Now Claimant and his family. Furthermore, we anticipate that the costs and damages incurred as a result of this ordeal will be appropriately addressed and reimbursed.
- We are confident that your office will handle this matter with the utmost professionalism, and We appreciate your prompt attention to Our Requests.
- Please feel free to contact us by using the details provided below that we have Categorized as **“Who to Contact,”** within this **“Official Document”** to discuss any further details you may need or to provide Us with updates on the progress of this investigation as to Our Requests made to Yourselves.
- Thank you for your immediate attention to this grave matter. We look forward to a swift resolution and a restoration of justice.

Sincerely,

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell and Others.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

OUR LISTED AS LIABLE

2. OUR LISTED AS LIABLE

1+ Provided Below Is the Accused Company Details in Year Order of Accused as Liable.

- Please take a note that Due to the size of the file, we have attached the accused as labels names in a separately attached sheet.
- For the following claims that Our Client has against you, which we have detailed in this official document and its affiliated documents, according to the years relevant to each Recipient: –

a) 1 of 3 years 1997: --

1. The Youth Justice Team, Enfield, UK.

- a. **Address:** The Enfield Youth Offending Unit, CLAVERINGS INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, 3 South Way, Edmonton, London, N9 0ab.
- b. **Tel:** 020 8379 5800.

2. Youth Social Services, Enfield, UK.

- a. **Address:** 1 Orton Grove, Enfield EN1 4TU.
- b. **Tel:** 020 8379 58002

3. The Metropolitan Police Force & Other Associated Forces.

- a. **Address:** The Enfield Youth Offending Unit, CLAVERINGS INDUSTRIAL ESTATE, 3 South Way, Edmonton, London, N9 0ab.
- b. **Tel:** 020 8379 5800.

4. Her Majesty's Courts, UK.

- a. **Address:** New Scotland Yard, Victoria Embankment, London, SW1A2.
- b. **Tel:** 999 / 101.

5. The Crown Prosecution Services CPS, UK.

- a. **Address:** The Crown Prosecution Service, 102 Petty France, London, SW1H 9EA.
- b. **Tel:** 999 / 101.

6. The Acro Department of Criminal Records, UK.

- a. **Address:** ACRO, PO Box 481, Fareham, Hampshire, PO14 9FS, United Kingdom
- b. **Address 2:** Youth Social Services, Enfield, UK address and telephone number” “web search results” “title”: “Enfield Council.”
- c. **Tel:** +44 (0)23 8047 99202.

b) 1 of 3 years 2006: --

7. The Enfield Homes Repair Teams, London, UK.

- a. **Address:** 1-3 GENTLEMANS Row, EN2 6PT.
- b. **Tel:** 020 8379 1000 option 4, then option 2 for council housing repairs.

c) 1 Of 3 Years 2012 & Onwards: --

8. The Bow 999 Call Centre, London, UK.

- a. **Address:** 111 Bow Road, Mile End, London, E3 2AN.
- b. **Tel:** 020 7515 1212.

9. The Lambeth 999 Call Centre, London, UK,

- a. **Address:** 109 Lambeth Road, SE1 7 London, United Kingdom.
- b. **Tel:** is not directly listed.

10. The Hendon 999 Call Centre, London, UK,

- a. **Address:** Aerodrome Rd, London NW9 5JE.
- b. **Tel 1:** +4487078945611.
- c. **Tel 2:** Alternatively, you can also try dialling 020 8358 0675.

11. The Enfield Council, North, London, UK.

- a. **Address:** Silver St, London EN1 3XA.
- b. **Tel 1 Civic Centre:** 020 8379 1000.
- a. **Tel 2 Insurance:** 0208 379 1476.

12. The Enfield Neighbourhood Watch Teams, North, London, UK.

- a. **Address:** Tenancy Management Officer Council Housing, The Edmonton Centre, 36-44 South Mall, Edmonton N9 0TN.
- b. **Tel 1:** General Enquiries: 020 8379 10001.
- c. **Tel 2:** Right to Buy Team: 020 8375 80042.

13. NHS & Private Mental Health Teams, North, London, UK.

- a. **Address 1 NHS:** NHS Resolution, 8th Floor, 10 S Colonnade, Canary Wharf, London E14 4PU.
- b. **Tel 1 NHS:** 020 7811 2700.
-
- c. **Address 2 Private Mental Health Teams:** The Murphy Physiotherapy Clinic located at 10 Greystoke Gardens, Enfield EN2 7NX.
- d. **Tel 2 Private Mental Health Teams:** 020 8364 4610.

14. The Burncroft Avenue Community EN3 London, North, London, UK.

- a. **Address: ***
- b. **Tel: ***

15. Other Groups of Involved People List, UK.

a. **Address:** *.

b. **Tel:** *.

16. DAC Beachcroft, UK.

a. **Address:** *.

b. **Tel:** *.

17. Parliament Members UK.

1+. Address: Westminster, London, SW1A 0AA, United Kingdom.

2+. Tel: 999 / 101.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF
THE CLAIMANTS
REASONS FOR THE REQUEST**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. REASONS FOR REQUEST

2. STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES

- 1+. Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice
- 2+. Equality Act 2010 Codes of Practice
- 3+. Care Act 2014 Code of Practice
- 4+. The Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government & Housing Act 1989
- 5+. Statutory Conspiracy and Breaches of Responsibility
- 6+. Criminal Liability and Breaches of Responsibility
- 7+. Levels of Seriousness

1. REASONS FOR THE REQUEST

- A. **In Between The Years Of** 2012 till date of the year of **the 18/08/2023** members of the metropolitan police force continued to harass Mr. Simon Paul Cordell the claimant in an unfair manner that has caused him alarm and distress and loss of earnings.
- B. **The Police Have Not Met the Required Safeguard Procedures** when processing and archiving sensitive personal data in pursuit of the Now Claimant and he requests for all data held in his name to be erased by themselves.
- C. **The Police Have a Legal Obligation to The Claimant** to erase the data under fair circumstances when requested.
- D. **Fraud:**
 - 1) Fraudulent Information
 - a. Can find its way into a person's criminal record due to: --
 - i. **Example One:** Various Errors or

ii. **Example Two:** Malicious Intent.

2) **Example One, “Various Errors”**

- i. If someone else's criminal activities are mistakenly attributed to an innocent individual, they have a valid reason to request corrections to the Acro report.

3) **Example Two, “Malicious Intent”**

- ii. If someone has been a victim of forged documents or identity fraud leading to a wrongful conviction or criminal record, they may request changes to rectify the situation.

- E. Compliance with GDPR:** The UK GDPR requires organizations to respect individuals' data rights, including the right to erasure. ACRO, as a data controller, must comply with this regulation by providing individuals with the means to request the erasure of their personal data when those people meet certain conditions or criteria.
- F. Data Minimization:** ACRO reports often contain sensitive personal information, and retaining such data indefinitely can pose privacy risks. Creating a document outlining the right to erasure ensures that ACRO only retains data that is necessary and proportionate for its purpose.
- G. Data Subject Control:** The right to erasure empowers individuals to have more control over their personal data. By providing information on this right, ACRO demonstrates its commitment to respecting individuals' wishes regarding their data.
- H. Transparency:** Demonstrating transparency is a fundamental principle of data protection regulations like GDPR. Providing clear information about the right to erasure and how individuals can exercise this right enhances transparency and builds trust.
- I. Legal Compliance:** Failing to provide individuals with information about their right to erasure can lead to legal consequences, including fines and regulatory action. Ensuring that ACRO has a documented process for handling erasure requests is crucial to avoid legal liabilities.
- J. Data Security:** Keeping data that is no longer necessary or relevant can pose security risks. By implementing a process for erasure, ACRO can reduce the potential for data breaches and unauthorized access to personal information.
- K. Data Retention Policies:** Having a document outlining the right to erasure can be part of a broader data retention policy. This policy helps ACRO determine how long it should retain data and when they should securely erase it.
- L. Reputation and Trust:** Demonstrating commitment to data privacy and respecting individuals' rights can enhance ACRO's reputation and foster trust with the public, clients, and partners.

2. STATUTORY RESPONSIBILITIES

- **Statutory Responsibilities: Code of Conduct and Code of Accountability**

In this claim against the accused, we assert that **several critical codes of practice**, which are mandatory, have not been adhered to by the accused. The breaches of the Code of Conduct and Codes of Accountability are clearly **demonstrated by our exhibits of evidence**, showing a violation of these responsibilities against our client, Mr. Sp. Cordell.

1+. Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice

These codes regulate police powers and protect public rights. The breaches include:

- A. Numerous breaches of the Stop and Search (**Code A**)
- B. Numerous breaches of the Search of Premises and Seizure of Property (**Code B**)
- C. Numerous breaches of the Detention, Treatment, and Questioning of Suspects (**Code C**)
- D. Numerous breaches of the Identification Procedures (**Code D**)
- E. Numerous breaches of the Audio and Visual Recording of Interviews (**Codes E and F**)
- F. Numerous breaches of the Powers of Arrest (**Code G**)

2+. Equality Act 2010 Codes of Practice

The Equality Act 2010 Codes of Practice provide detailed explanations of the provisions that must be followed. However, these legal concepts were not applied to everyday situations involving our client. These codes assist courts, tribunals, lawyers, and HR departments in interpreting and applying the law.

3+. Care Act 2014 Code of Practice

This code ensures a clear understanding of the duties under the Care Act. It offers practical guidance on how to apply the legislation in practice, helping local authorities make consistent and fair decisions in the delivery of care services but Numerous breaches of the Care Act 2014 Code of Practice occurred when members of staff acted with malicious intent to harm our client Mr. S. P. Cordell, and those breached regulations are as follows: --

- **Key Duties Under the Care Act 2014**

- A. Promoting Wellbeing:** Local authorities must prioritize Our wellbeing in all decisions and actions related to care. This includes **physical, mental, and emotional health**, as well as protection from abuse and neglect.
- B. Preventing Needs for Care and Support:** Authorities should take proactive steps to prevent or delay the development of care and support needs. This might involve **providing information, advice, or early intervention services**.
- C. Assessing Needs:** You are entitled to a **thorough assessment** of your care and support needs. This assessment should be person centred, **considering your individual circumstances and preferences** in a **professional law-abiding format**.
- D. Eligibility and Care Planning:** After assessing your needs, the local authority must **determine your eligibility for care services** and therefore **any development of a care plan tailored to your specific needs if required**.
- E. Safeguarding:** Authorities have a duty to **protect you from abuse and neglect**. This includes **conducting inquiries if there are concerns about your safety raised by any persons inclusive of yourself**.

- **Potential Breaches with Malicious Intent occurred against Mr S. P. Cordell when the following occurred: --**

- A. Failure to Promote Wellbeing:** it is said that Member of staff who we have listed as our accused as liable deliberately ignored Our Clients overall wellbeing, as documented in our **Exhibited ******* this was a breach. The overall outcome is that they intentionally neglected his mental health by the illegal use of the Mental health services in knowing fully well that he was well of mind, and they done this to undermine this claim being established due to their own illegal activity or to aid in their colleague's avoidance from these proceedings. This caused a complete breakdown in Government Services needed to protect him from abuse, thereby causing Mr Simon Paul Cordell harm.
- B. Lack of Preventative Measures:** The authorities included in this claim that we have listed as the accused as liable, acted with malicious intent, as they when working in joint circular, all did not provide adequate information or support to prevent abuse of the services they were

providing which lead to the abuse of care to Mr S Cordell, and this is a breach. For a starting instance, they intentionally developed an early intervention medical record and that of continuously updated fraudulent intel classed as his Medical Collateral Records, while withholding accurate data to state otherwise to delay crimes that they committed from being established.

- C. Inadequate Needs Assessment:** The Assessment undertook against Mr. Cordell's Wellbeing were **deliberately rushed while manipulated**, assessments and Medical Collateral Records were **incomplete partly due to incompetence behaviour but also neglect and deliberate at that. Staff did not fully consider Our Clients true personal circumstances to endanger his life** and this lead to numerous breaches being committed against him. As an example, it is said that the listed as accused as liable staff member intentionally failed to fully consider Our Clients personal circumstances to evade Justice, excluding our client from a fair process leading up to the assessment and thought the assessment process by ignoring his legal rights to cause him distress.
- D. Improper Care Planning:** Mr. S. P. Cordell's **care plan was tailored to hurt him recklessly and deprive him of his life and/or wellbeing, home and property and loved ones and support networks and was not regularly reviewed or when reviewed was tailored and updated with malicious intent**, this was also a breach of his legal rights. For example, staff member intentionally create a care plan that does not address any aspects demonstrated or proven to be true by Mr. S. P Cordell or his legal defence and that of his support network and loved ones when they all identified needs or fails to adjust the illegal and civil aspects being breached as they were announced leaving a Improper Care Planning not be rectified causing him harm.
- E. Failure to Safeguard:** The local authority, acted with malicious intent, when they did not act on concerns raised about Our Clients safety and also, failed to conduct necessary inquiries into abuse and neglect and this caused serious breaches to be committed against him. For instance, mutable staff member intentionally ignored signs of abuse and neglect they and their colleges were committing against him to cause Mr. Simon Paul Cordell intentional harm.

4+. The Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government & Housing Act 1989

The Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government & Housing Act 1989 primarily focus on local government bodies, such as councils. They do not directly cover the police or the NHS. However, other legislation and codes of practice govern the police and NHS, ensuring accountability and proper conduct.

Local Government Acts and Statutes: Accountability and Code of Conduct

In accordance with the Local Government Act 2000 and the Local Government & Housing Act 1989, it is imperative that those working within Enfield Council adhere to a high level of accountability and code of conduct. This ensures that all actions withstand parliamentary scrutiny, public judgments on propriety, and professional codes of conduct.

The current legal claim centers around breaches of responsibility within Enfield Council, the police, and the NHS. These breaches are attributable to the following failures:

1. **Monitoring Officer:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities in preventing the existence of broken laws and regulated standards, as detailed in the table presented in **Exhibit *******
2. **Enfield Director of Governance:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, leading to the perpetuation of criminal and civil wrongdoings by employees under their supervision.
3. **Director of Operations at Enfield Council:** Failed to fulfill their statutory duties in ensuring compliance with protocols and maintaining acceptable service standards, resulting in compromised services provided to Mr. S. P. Cordell and his family members.
4. **Counter Fraud Department at Enfield Council:** Failed to take appropriate action when presented with evidence pertaining to fraudulent allegations against Mr. S. P. Cordell, thereby participating in morally wrongful activities that threaten his well-being, freedom, reputation, and property.
5. **Director of Finance at Enfield Council:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, resulting in unsatisfactory performance, which had significant negative impacts on public safety and property within the Borough.
6. **Shareholder Managers at Enfield Council:** Failed to exercise due diligence in their management responsibilities, leading to the misuse of property owned and managed by Enfield Council for criminal purposes.
7. **Director of Human Resources at Enfield Council:** Failed to provide adequate advice and assistance to Mr. S. P. Cordell regarding housing policies, leading to unfair and unreasonable treatment.
8. **Other Enfield Council Members and Officers:** Failed to fulfill their statutory duties in preventing or intervening in the events that gave rise to this claim.
9. **Police:** Failed to adhere to the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice, including breaches in stop and search procedures, detention and treatment of suspects, and safeguarding responsibilities.
10. **NHS:** Failed to comply with the Care Act 2014 Code of Practice, resulting in inadequate care assessments, improper care planning, and failure to safeguard Mr. S. P. Cordell's wellbeing.

Throughout the process, Mr. S. P. Cordell and his family members have faced constant obstruction and undermining when raising concerns through the proper channels. The actions of Enfield Council staff have been aimed at weakening Mr. S. P. Cordell's position and preventing the truth from emerging through any complaints or interactions.

Enfield Council's staff exhibited unsatisfactory performance by failing to ensure a fair and positive conclusion to the events, resulting in unnecessary risks to public safety and property. They abused the authorities, vested in them, turning property into captive houses and engaging in criminal activities.

Furthermore, Enfield Council failed to provide appropriate advice and support in line with their housing policies. They also neglected their duty to create an attractive and safe environment for Burncroft Avenue and the attached neighborhoods, and to prevent and reduce the fear of crime.

By engaging in fraudulent practices and fabricating evidence against Mr. S. P. Cordell during court proceedings, the Enfield Council staff violated the laws of the United Kingdom. Even when caught red-handed, they persisted in their fraudulent actions, demonstrating a malicious abuse of the legal process.

These instances of misconduct and underperformance highlight a failure in the Enfield Council's commitment to maintain a culture of honesty, openness, and efficient internal controls. The failure to conduct a comprehensive risk assessment and fair investigations has resulted in compromised internal controls, jeopardizing the well-being of the company and its clients.

It is essential that these actions and behaviors of Enfield Council staff are reviewed to prioritize public safety and address the concerns raised. The Enfield Council's services and management structure need thorough analysis and improvement to ensure the achievement of ambitious standards of corporate and personal conduct in public services.

5+. Statutory Conspiracy and Breaches of Responsibility

Statutory Conspiracy

It is said that Members of the Enfield Council, Metropolitan Police Force, and NHS allowed for statutory conspiracy to be conspired and therefore multiple breaches of responsibility have occurred against our client, Mr. S. P. Cordell that have significantly harmed him.

Under Section 1(1) of the Criminal Law Act 1977, a statutory conspiracy occurs when individuals agree to pursue a course of conduct that, if carried out according to their intentions, would necessarily result in or involve the commission of an offense. The key points regarding statutory conspiracy include: --

1. **Agreement**: The offense involves an agreement between individuals.
2. **Course of Conduct**: The agreement pertains to a specific course of conduct.
3. **Intentions**: The agreement must be carried out in accordance with the parties' intentions.
4. **Offense Commission**: The agreed-upon conduct will necessarily lead to the commission of an offense by one or more parties.
5. **Impossible Offense**: Even if the offense is impossible due to certain facts, conspiracy still applies unless those facts render the offense genuinely impossible.

An oral agreement can indeed constitute a statutory conspiracy if it meets the criteria outlined in the Criminal Law Act 1977. The key factor is whether the agreed-upon conduct would lead to the commission of an offense. If so, the conspiracy exists regardless of whether the offense is ultimately carried out.

However, it's important to note that liability for an offense may be incurred without knowledge on the part of the person committing it of any particular fact or circumstance necessary for the commission of the offense. In such cases, a person shall not be guilty of conspiracy to commit that offense unless they and at least one other party to the agreement intend or know that the relevant fact or circumstance shall or will exist at the time when the conduct constituting the offense is to take place.

In summary, a non-oral agreement can indeed form the basis for a conspiracy charge under the Criminal Law Act 1977, as long as the necessary elements are met. The key factor is the intention or knowledge of the parties involved regarding the commission of the offense. If they agree to pursue a course of conduct that would lead to an offense, they can be charged with conspiracy, even if the agreement is not oral.

Breaches of Responsibility

The breaches of responsibility within Enfield Council, the Police, and the NHS are attributable to the following failures:

1. **Monitoring Officer at Enfield Council**: Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities in preventing the existence of broken laws and regulated standards, as detailed in the table presented in **Exhibit *******.
2. **Enfield Director of Governance**: Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, leading to the perpetuation of criminal and civil wrongdoings by employees under their supervision.
3. **Director of Operations at Enfield Council**: Failed to fulfil their statutory duties in ensuring compliance with protocols and maintaining acceptable service standards, resulting in compromised services provided to Mr. S. P. Cordell and his family members.
4. **Counter Fraud Department at Enfield Council**: Failed to take appropriate action when presented with evidence pertaining to fraudulent allegations against Mr. S. P. Cordell, thereby participating in morally wrongful activities that threaten his well-being, freedom, reputation, and property.
5. **Director of Finance at Enfield Council**: Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, resulting in unsatisfactory performance, which had significant negative impacts on public safety and property within the Borough.
6. **Shareholder Managers at Enfield Council**: Failed to exercise due diligence in their management responsibilities, leading to the misuse of property owned and managed by Enfield Council for criminal purposes.
7. **Director of Human Resources at Enfield Council**: Failed to provide adequate advice and assistance to Mr. S. P. Cordell regarding housing policies, leading to unfair and unreasonable treatment.
8. **Other Enfield Council Members and Officers**: Failed to fulfil their statutory duties in preventing or intervening in the events that gave rise to this claim.
9. **Police**: Failed to adhere to the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice, including breaches in stop and search procedures, detention and treatment of suspects, and safeguarding responsibilities.
10. **NHS**: Failed to comply with the Care Act 2014 Code of Practice, resulting in inadequate care assessments, improper care planning, and failure to safeguard Mr. S. P. Cordell's wellbeing.

Conclusion

The aforementioned breaches and statutory conspiracy have caused significant harm to Mr. S. P. Cordell. We seek appropriate redress for these violations and request a thorough investigation into the actions of the individuals and departments involved.

6+. Criminal Liability and Breaches of Responsibility

Criminal Liability

It is alleged that members of the Enfield Council, Metropolitan Police Force, and NHS engaged in a statutory conspiracy, resulting in multiple breaches of responsibility and criminal liability that have significantly harmed our client, Mr. S. P. Cordell.

The Criminal Liability Act 1977 is a crucial piece of legislation in the UK that pertains to the critical aspects of criminal liability. This Act has several key provisions that are vital for understanding how criminal liability is established under this legal framework:

1. Physical Element (Actus Reus):

- A.** The physical element refers to the actual conduct or action that constitutes a criminal offense. It involves external behaviour or acts committed by an individual.
- B.** For criminal liability to arise, there must be a voluntary act or omission (failure to act) that violates the law. This actus reus is a crucial component in determining guilt.
- C.** Examples of actus reus include theft, assault, murder, and other criminal acts.

2. Mental Element (Mens Rea):

- A.** The mental element, also known as mens rea, focuses on the mental state or intention of the person committing the act.
- B.** Different offenses require varying levels of mens rea. Some offenses require specific intent (where the accused intended a particular outcome), while others only require general intent (where the accused acted recklessly or negligently).
- C.** Common levels of mens rea include:
 - **Intention:** The accused deliberately intended to commit the offense.
 - **Recklessness:** The accused was aware of the risk but proceeded with the act regardless.
 - **Negligence:** The accused failed to exercise reasonable care, leading to the criminal act.
- D.** The Criminal Liability Act 1977 outlines specific mental states required for various offenses.

3. Corporate Criminal Liability:

- A.** The Act also addresses corporate criminal liability. It recognizes that companies (non-natural people) can be held criminally liable for their actions.
- B.** The identification principle, which attributes liability to companies based on the acts of senior individuals representing the company's "controlling mind and will," has limitations. It applies mainly to a small number of directors and senior managers.
- C.** Recent discussions have highlighted challenges in prosecuting large companies (such as banks) for economic crimes committed by senior managers on their behalf. Holding large corporations accountable can be more complex due to diffuse decision-making responsibility.
- D.** The Law Commission has been examining options for reforming corporate criminal liability to ensure effective accountability for serious crimes committed by corporations.

Breaches of Responsibility

The breaches of responsibility within Enfield Council, the Police, and the NHS are attributable to the following failures:

1. **Monitoring Officer at Enfield Council:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities in preventing the existence of **broken laws** and **regulated standards**, as detailed in the table presented in **Exhibit *******.
2. **Enfield Director of Governance:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, leading to the perpetuation of criminal and civil wrongdoings by employees under their supervision.
3. **Director of Operations at Enfield Council:** Failed to fulfil their statutory duties in ensuring compliance with protocols and maintaining acceptable service standards, resulting in compromised services provided to Mr. S. P. Cordell and his family members.
4. **Counter Fraud Department at Enfield Council:** Failed to take appropriate action when presented with evidence pertaining to fraudulent allegations against Mr. S. P. Cordell, thereby participating in morally wrongful activities that threaten his well-being, freedom, reputation, and property.
5. **Director of Finance at Enfield Council:** Failed to discharge their statutory responsibilities, resulting in unsatisfactory performance, which had significant negative impacts on public safety and property within the Borough.
6. **Shareholder Managers at Enfield Council:** Failed to exercise due diligence in their management responsibilities, leading to the misuse of property owned and managed by Enfield Council for criminal purposes.
7. **Director of Human Resources at Enfield Council:** Failed to provide adequate advice and assistance to Mr. S. P. Cordell regarding housing policies, leading to unfair and unreasonable treatment.
8. **Other Enfield Council Members and Officers:** Failed to fulfil their statutory duties in preventing or intervening in the events that gave rise to this claim.
9. **Police:** Failed to adhere to the Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE) Codes of Practice, including breaches in stop and search procedures, detention and treatment of suspects, and safeguarding responsibilities.
10. **NHS:** Failed to comply with the Care Act 2014 Code of Practice, resulting in inadequate care assessments, improper care planning, and failure to safeguard Mr. S. P. Cordell's wellbeing.

Conclusion

The aforementioned breaches and criminal liability have caused significant harm to Mr. S. P. Cordell. We seek appropriate redress for these violations and request a thorough investigation into the actions of the individuals and departments involved.

7+. Levels of Seriousness

Levels of Seriousness

Government Officials and Members of The Public have committed severe offenses, including attempted murder and torture. Government officials are accused of intentionally inflicting pain and

suffering on Mr. S. P. Cordell during the course of their official duties, while they also encouraged and allowed members of the public to engage in intentional reckless behaviour towards Mr. S. P Cordell and these incidents took place from **2012 to 2024**. The Accused behaviors include: --

1. The Act of Restraining a Victim's Freedom of Movement from A Specific Location.

Misleading the Competence of the Court.

2. Providing False Information or Misrepresenting Facts in A Formal Written Statement.

Fabricating or Tampering with Evidence.

3. Engaging In the Creation or Manipulation of Evidence to Deceive The Legal System.

Falsely Implicating an Innocent Person.

4. Preventing The Giving of Evidence

Obstructing the process of presenting evidence in a legal proceeding.

5. Perjury And Allied Offenses

Knowingly providing false testimony under oath or committing related offenses.

6. Contradictory Statements by Persons Giving Evidence on Oath

Providing conflicting statements while testifying under oath.

7. Mental Element

Wrongfully accusing an innocent individual of a crime, they did not commit with the deliberate intention to pervert the course of justice.

These actions of the accused as liable go to the boundaries of criminal law and moral conscience. It is important for those responsible to be held accountable for their actions.

Levels of Severity Attempted Murder - *Criminal Attempts Act 1981*:

1/ Government Officials: Members of the Enfield Council, Metropolitan Police, and Private and NHS Mental Health Teams, executed a deliberate and premeditated act with the intent to cause the death of the current claimant.

2/ Members of the Public: Similarly, residents of Burncroft Avenue, also made an intentional effort to end the life of the claimant.

It is said by us that once our accused as liable realised that their committed crimes to kill may not work to kill Mr. Simon Paul Cordell that they then used their evil acts to continue to torture Our Claimant in the hope to make him Mental ill at the minimum to cover up what they had committed.

- **Torture - *Criminal Justice Act s.134***

Intentional Reckless Behaviour:

While presenting substantial evidence, we allege that both government officials and members of the public that we have named and identified committed, at the very least, attempted murder under the *Criminal Attempts Act 1981*. Furthermore, they are also accused of engaging in the following misconduct:

1/ Government Officials:

- Allowed "**Banging on Walls**" to be permitted to our client.
- Allowed "**Banging on Floors**" to be permitted to our client.

2/ Members of the Public:

- Banged on walls
- Banged on floors

By outlining these serious levels and associating the committed offenses, it becomes evident that the actions of both government officials and members of the public have breached the boundaries of criminal law and moral conscience.

N1 Claim form (CPR Part 7) (06.22)

© Crown Copyright 2022

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**ABOUT
THE CLAIMANTS
EXHIBITED
FILES**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. ABOUT THE FILE TYPES WE EXHIBIT

A. This Files Types We Exhibit Include..... 3

1. ABOUT THE FILE TYPES WE EXHIBIT

A. The File Types We Exhibit Included:

- Emails Consisting of The Following and More: Court Correspondence, Solicitor Documents.
 - 1) Newspaper Articles,
 - 2) Telephone Calls,
 - 3) Telephone Texts,
 - 4) Hand Typed & Computer Wrote Notes,
 - 5) Court Applications,
 - 6) Letters,
 - 7) Videos,

It is to be known that we submit these different types of files as our evidence.

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**DATES OF ARREST NOT CONTAINED IN
THE ACRO REPORT
ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMANT
BITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 2. DATES OF ARREST NOT CONTAINED IN THE ACRO REPORT ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMANT**
- A. Dates Of Arrests Not Contained in the ACRO Report..... 3

**DATES OF ARREST NOT CONTAINED IN
THE ACRO REPORT
ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMANT**

- 2. DATES OF ARREST NOT CONTAINED IN THE ACRO REPORT ATTACHED TO THE CLAIMANT -**
- A. **"Dates Of Arrests Not Contained in the ACRO Report"** refers to a situation in which there is missing or incomplete information regarding specific arrest dates. The phrase implies that the Claimant has not included an arrest or more than one arrest record in this provided report as he has not obtained it from the ACRO Criminal Records Office and that there may still be a need to obtain this additional information dependent on whether the ACRO Criminal Records Office or any relevant law enforcement agency requests for such data to still be obtained.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

OUR REQUEST SHEET

&

**OUR
EXHIBITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Our Request Sheet: --

- A. About Our Request Sheet: 3
- B. The Development, Handling, Usage & Archiving of Government Data and Records & Our Rights..... 3
 - a. National Systems - Deletion (PNC, IDENT1, NDNAD) 3
 - b. PNC Record Amendments..... 3
 - c. Local Systems Deletion: 3
 - d. Local Systems – Amendment of Information Contained Within: 3

OUR EXHIBITS: --

Our exhibits can be found in our Evidence Folder

2. About Police Identification Markers:

- A. About police identification markers..... 3

3. About The Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police:

- A. About Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police..... 3

OUR REQUEST SHEET

&

**OUR
EXHIBITS**

3. ABOUT OUR REQUEST SHEET: --

- The reason for including the "**Our Request Sheet**" in this document is to ensure clarity and specificity in the Claimant's requests to relevant authorities regarding:

- 1) **The Protection of Individuals' Human Rights, I.E. "The Right to Life Article 2."**
- 2) Development, Handling, Usage & Archiving Government Data and Records.

The Protection of Individuals' Human Rights, I.E. "The Right to Life Article 2."

++++

Development, Handling, Usage & Archiving Government Data and Records & Our Rights!

A. NATIONAL SYSTEMS - DELETION (PNC, IDENT1, NDNAD):

- The Claimant's primary request within this section is the deletion of their data from the national systems, which encompass vital databases such as the Police National Computer (PNC), IDENT1, and the National DNA Database (NDNAD).

B. PNC RECORD AMENDMENTS:

- Within this request, the Claimant seeks amendments to any records present on the Police National Computer (PNC) that relates to this case. This is to ensure that the data accurately reflects the events and circumstances involved.

C. LOCAL SYSTEMS DELETION:

- The Claimant's request for the deletion of their data from local police systems is vital in safeguarding their privacy and ensuring that their personal information is not misused or retained unnecessarily.

D. LOCAL SYSTEMS - AMENDMENT OF INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN:

- To achieve accuracy and completeness, the Claimant also requests amendments to any information contained within local police systems concerning this case. This ensures that the data held at the local level aligns with the facts and details presented.

ABOUT POLICE IDENTIFICATION MARKERS:

B. About police identification markers:

- The inclusion of information regarding police identification markers is crucial due to its significance in this case. These markers serve as unique codes or symbols used by law enforcement agencies for identification purposes. Further details are necessary to fully understand their role and implications.

ABOUT THE ARRESTS AND INTERRUPTIONS MADE BY POLICE:

B. About Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police:

- The reason for addressing the arrests and interruptions made by the police in this document is to provide a comprehensive account of events and their impact. By doing so, the Claimant aims to ensure that all pertinent information is presented accurately to the relevant authorities and that any necessary actions are taken.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**STATIONERY DUTIES,
LAWS & REGULATIONS
BITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1+. STATIONERY DUTIES

- A. **Human Rights Building a Fairer Britain**..... 3
- B. **The Equality Strategy Building a Fairer Britain**..... 3

2+. OTHER UNITED KINGDOM LAWS AND REGULATIONS:

- A. *********..... 3

**STATIONERY DUTIES,
LAWS & REGULATIONS
BITS**

STATIONERY DUTIES, LAWS & REGULATIONS BITS

1. STATIONERY DUTIES

A. Human Rights:

1. Building a Fairer Britain...
2. Regrettably, it is widely acknowledged by citizens both in the UK and around the world that law enforcement agencies bear the responsibility of upholding and safeguarding the human rights of individuals. However, this does not always translate into reality, as some law enforcement officers have been found to be in breach of these principles.
3. In this context, the Now Claimant finds himself tragically reduced to being just another statistic in the alarming trend of police cases gone horrendously wrong due to the fault of the police.
4. The Now Claimant points out several human rights that the police get criticized for violating, all of which are pertinent to this particular claim:
 - **Right to Life:** The use of excessive force by the police, resulting in injuries or even fatalities, raises profound concerns regarding the fundamental right to life.

- **Right to Liberty and Security:** Instances of arbitrary arrests, unjustified detentions, or failure to adhere to proper legal protocols encroach upon the cherished rights to personal liberty and security.
 - **Right to a Fair Trial:** Actions taken by the police undermine the fairness of a trial, such as mishandling evidence, neglecting to inform suspects of their legal rights, or employing coercive methods during interviews, constitute direct violations of the right to a fair trial.
 - **Freedom from Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment:** The mistreatment of individuals—whether through physical or psychological means—while in police custody flagrantly contradicts the foundational principle of freedom from torture and degrading treatment.
 - **Freedom of Expression and Assembly:** The unwarranted use of force during protests or demonstrations encroaches upon the right to freely express opinions and engage in peaceful assembly.
 - **Privacy:** The intrusion of unwarranted surveillance or breaches of privacy during police investigations elicit grave concerns with respect to the right to privacy.
 - **Non-Discrimination:** Discriminatory actions by the police, including practices such as racial profiling or the unjust targeting of specific groups, constitute a blatant violation of the right to non-discrimination.
5. The Now Claimant's case serves as a stark reminder of the urgent need to address these breaches of human rights within the framework of law enforcement practices.

B. The Equality Strategy:

- **Building a Fairer Britain:**
 - The Equality Strategy, "Building a Fairer Britain," is a pivotal framework designed to ensure that all individuals, regardless of their background, race, gender, or other characteristics, have equal access to opportunities, justice, and protection under the law. This strategy is instrumental in promoting social justice and addressing systemic inequalities within the United Kingdom.
- **A Comprehensive Approach:**
 - In tandem with "Building a Fairer Britain," the United Kingdom's commitment to equality is reflected in a multifaceted approach encompassing various aspects of society. This includes, but is not limited to, legislation, policies, and initiatives aimed at eradicating discrimination, promoting diversity, and fostering inclusive communities.

The Equality Act 2010 The Equality Act of 2010 aims to prevent discrimination and promote equality in society. It replaced the Race Relations Act 1976 and the Race Relations Act 2000, which are no longer in effect. Additionally, the Harassment and Stalking Acts of 1997 are relevant in addressing instances of harassment and stalking.

However, it is disheartening to note that the **Enfield Council** has failed to fulfil its obligation to prevent discrimination and harassment, despite being mandated to do so. The council must take immediate action when made aware of such instances, but they have not done so in the case of our client.

Furthermore, it is crucial that government officials, who are being accused of wrongdoing, actively cooperate with residents to resolve reports of anti-social behaviour. It is unacceptable for these officials to manipulate official records in favor of any party involved, as we have observed in this case.

Regrettably, no proper investigation took place despite unquestionable evidence, and the **Enfield Council** failed to take the necessary actions in the right direction. Respect and confidentiality, which are vital aspects of an accessible and supportive investigative service, reached an all-time low due to continuous abuse.

The **Enfield Council's** negligence and mishandling of complaints made on behalf of our client, Mr. S. P. Cordell, has not been addressed for wrongful reasons. Moreover, multiple breaches of the Data Protection Act 1998/GDPR 2016 have occurred, indicating a lack of proper regulation of general data protection.

It is alarming to discover that **the Enfield Council** persistently relies on anonymous and bogus complaints stored in their computer system, as evidenced by comparing data within their court orders and the data received through a Freedom of Information Act 2000 request. This practice raises serious concerns about the credibility of their processes and decision-making.

Certain aspects of the **Enfield Council's** organizational structure have displayed misconduct in public office by willfully engaging in targeted malice against Mr. S. P. Cordell, which is both illegal and unethical.

Therefore, it is imperative that the **Enfield Council** rectify these issues promptly, hold those responsible accountable for their actions, and take immediate steps to ensure justice, equality, and respect for all residents.

2. **OTHER UNITED KINGDOM LAWS AND REGULATIONS:**

- A. Give
- B. Give
- C. Harassment

Claim of Harassment and Breaches of Responsibility

Regarding the harassment I have experienced at the hands of UK government officials, including police and council members. This harassment has taken various forms and appears to be motivated by several factors, which we outline in this claim and demonstrate below along with specific breaches of responsibility.

Breaches of Responsibility

1. Power Dynamics and Abuse of Authority:

- 1+. Breach:** Police officers have repeatedly stopped me without and searched me without reasonable cause, using their authority to intimidate and harass me.

2. Discrimination and Prejudice:

- 1+. Breach:** The accused as Our Liabe have exhibited clear bias against me due to my ethnicity, resulting in a severe breach of my human rights. This bias has escalated to the point where their actions have become criminal. Specifically, the police Council and Neighborhood Teams Management and Co have maliciously developed case files for court, containing derogatory comments racial to mine and other persons ethnicities. These files were created with the intent to deprive me of my life and well-being as a cover-up for the wrongs they have committed. This conduct is not only illegal but also unethical, and it is unacceptable in the modern society of the UK.

3. Personal Vendettas or Grudges:

1+. Breach: Multiple Government officials with whom I and my support networks and legal teams have had previous disagreements to which way they have handled cases they brought against me and fabricated evidence against me have been using their position to delay my applications and deny me legal services.

4. Political or Ideological Differences:

1+. Breach: I have been subjected to harassment by officials due to my outspoken political views and my prominent stance advocating for a better future for our modern society. Additionally, my ethnic background, which differs from the prevailing opinions within the Members of Parliament, Borough Police, and Council Members, has made me a target. This harassment is a direct result of my efforts to challenge the status quo and promote positive change. The actions of these officials are not only discriminatory but also undermine the principles of democracy and equality that our society strives to uphold.

5. Whistleblowing and Retaliation:

1+. Breach: After reporting corruption within the Governed Departments, I faced continuous harassment and threats from officials aiming to silence me.

6. Social or Economic Factors:

1+. Breach: As a person experiencing homelessness, I have been subjected to severe harassment and discrimination due to fabricated ASBO (Anti-Social Behaviour Order) court documentation created by government officials. These officials initiated false and reckless rumors through their media departments, leading members of the public, including my neighbors, to attempt to harm me after I and others complained about their horrific corruption. Following our initial complaint, more fake court orders were developed, which were deliberately ignored, leaving me in grave danger and homelessness. The list of emails included the council and its co-department, the neighborhood watch team, which is also connected to the Metropolitan Police. These entities were responsible for the first fake court order and used their media platforms to further tarnish my reputation. The government officials involved were fully aware of the consequences of their actions, including the mental health records of those they incited against me, such as a woman named Debra Andrews. Despite being informed of the true situation by us first they ignored the warning signs of me being victimised by her that got reported to them by my mother and others for over a year by debility not answering messages we sent to their emails and then a year later and many emails sent they thought I had done wrong to Debra and answer to our messages to find out I had done nothing wrong at all, there emails were not answered due to the danger they had caused and continued, they intentionally allowed the true perpetrators to continue their attacks on me, regardless of the threat to my life. Debra Andrews, one of the neighbors incited by these officials, has a documented history of mental health issues. The officials knew about her condition and the potential danger she posed, yet they still encouraged her actions against me. This reckless disregard for my safety and well-being is a clear indication of their malicious intent. The officials created two injunction orders and two possession orders, all of which were fraudulent and never made it to trial due to the ongoing corruption within the applications. This continued for another five years, as their sole intention was to prevent the initial corruption in the first ASBO court order from being addressed. As a result, I was unable to decorate my house due to the constant threat of eviction and spent a significant amount of money and time fighting court cases, which I won but received no compensation for. I have been unfairly targeted and harassed by officials who view me as disposable for life and wellbeing or most fair standards of life at all, and this has left me in a precarious and vulnerable position.

7. Lack of Accountability:

1+. Breach: Despite multiple complaints, the officials involved have faced no consequences, emboldening them to continue their harassment.

8. Workplace Culture and Norms:

1+. Breach: The culture within the department appears to tolerate harassment, as evidenced by the lack of action taken against those who engage in such behavior.

9. Inadequate Training and Awareness:

1+. Breach: Officials have demonstrated a lack of understanding and sensitivity towards harassment issues, leading to inappropriate and harmful conduct.

10. Personal Bias and Stereotypes:

1+. Breach: I have been subjected to harassment based on stereotypes related to my ethnicity, with officials making biased and offensive remarks.

These Breaches illustrate a pattern of behavior that is not only unprofessional but also in direct violation of the responsibilities and ethical standards expected of government officials. I request a thorough investigation into these incidents and appropriate action to be taken against those responsible.

Particulars of Claim

☐ Attached

☒ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**CONCERNS ABOUT
THE NOW CLAIMANTS
FUTURE EMPLOYMENT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

a) The Now Claimants Future Employment.

**CONCERNS ABOUT
THE NOW CLAIMANTS
FUTURE EMPLOYMENT**

a) The Now Claimant's Future Employment

1. **The Accused as Liable Staff:** The accused staff members were aware of my life goals, which included building a festival. I had consistently shared this information with the public, my loved ones, the Enfield Council, and the police.
2. **Collaboration with Enfield Council:** I worked closely with the Enfield Council at local music events and attended meetings at the Civic Centre to discuss ways to achieve my positive life goals.
3. **Community Hall Involvement:** I worked at my local community hall and quickly became a trusted key holder. During my time there, I attended board meetings with members of the Enfield Council, who managed the building, and the police, who monitored activities in and around the hall, where we talked about the company I was building, and I showed them my files.
4. **Understanding of My Business Potential:** The Enfield Council staff and others understood that my companies could float shares and have a Board of Directors. This required my reputation to remain intact as stated in the Enfield Homes Memorandum-of-Articles-of-Association in the context of page 13.
- **Exhibit:** <https://horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/Memorandum-of-Articles-of-Association/>
5. **Collusion to Forge Documents:** The police, Enfield Council staff, and NHS colluded to forge official paperwork and government records in my name to obtain an ASBO (Anti-Social Behaviour

Order). They continued to forge court orders and used the mental health teams to discredit me, rather than addressing the true facts in my and others' official complaints. This raised serious concerns about breaches of the **Ill-Treatment of Patients and Mental Health Act 1983 S.127** and constituted an attempt to commit **Attempted Murder** under the **Criminal Attempts Act 1981** and the **Criminal Justice Act 2003**.

6. Fabrication of Mental Health History: They fabricated a false mental health history about me, creating official records that were factually incorrect. This was done to harm my reputation, deprive me of my belongings, and negatively impact my life and wellbeing. These actions constitute crimes, including **defamation** and **forgery**. The forged documentation continues to have detrimental effects on my life. The extensive efforts to defame my character through slander and the creation of voluminous documentation that was then used as court orders and placed partly in Mr. S. P. Cordell's Acro Report, were intended to interfere with the course of justice and prevent my claims from being taken seriously.

7. Impact of Overwhelming Documentation: The sheer volume of this fabricated Official Documentation is so overwhelming that whenever I am arrested by police that the police custody officers do not have the time to thoroughly review the records on Rio during the booking process. This means they cannot verify my claims of never being diagnosed with a mental health condition. Despite undergoing numerous assessments, I have never been deemed unwell by mental health teams. However, due to the extensive RIO notes, Mr. S. P. Cordell is often classified as unfit for interviews and referred to doctors for a mental health assessment against his Legal Rights. This results in him being taken to a hospital for up to 28 days for assessment, with the possibility of being kept on a separate wing for treatment as a mental health patient, potentially extending his stay for an additional six months with forced medication during the second stage.

Legal Wrongs in UK Law

- 1. Defamation:** Making false statements that harm someone's reputation. This includes both **libel** (written defamation) and **slander** (spoken defamation). To prove defamation, it must be shown that a false statement was made, it was published to a third party, it caused harm, and it was made with at least negligence.
- 2. Forgery:** Creating false documents with the intent to deceive. This is a criminal offense under the **Forgery and Counterfeiting Act 1981**. To prove forgery, it must be shown that the document was false, it was made with the intent to deceive, and it was used to gain some benefit or cause harm.
- 3. Perverting the Course of Justice:** Actions that interfere with the administration of justice, such as fabricating evidence or making false statements to authorities. To prove this, it must be shown that an act was done which had a tendency to pervert the course of justice and was intended to do so.
- 4. Negligence:** If a solicitor fails to act with reasonable care and skill, resulting in harm or financial loss to their client, this can be grounds for a professional negligence claim. To prove negligence, it must be shown that there was a duty of care, a breach of that duty, causation, and resulting damage.
- 5. Ill-Treatment of Patients and Mental Health Act 1983 S.127:** This law prohibits the ill-treatment or wilful neglect of patients receiving treatment for mental health issues.

6. **Attempted Murder:** Under the **Criminal Attempts Act 1981** and the **Criminal Justice Act 2003**, attempted murder involves an intent to kill and an act that is more than merely preparatory to the commission of the offense.

Particulars of Claim

- ☒ Attached
☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**OUR LEGAL
RIGHTS TO DELETION**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1+. THE CLAIMANT RIGHTS TO DELETION

A. As A Civil Citizen of The United Kingdom.....	3
B. The Right to Erasure.....	3
C. Personal Data Processed	3
D. Exhibits and Requests.....	3

1. THE CLAIMANT RIGHTS TO DELETION

A. As a civil citizen of the United Kingdom, the Claimant has the legal right to request the deletion or removal of his personal data. This right is justified as there are no compelling grounds for its continued retention, as outlined in our submissions.

B. The Right to Erasure of personal data is essential under the right circumstances, as documented throughout this claim.

C. Personal Data Processed by the Police, Enfield Council, NHS, and associated entities must comply with the **Data Protection Act 1998**, which has been repealed and replaced by the **UK-GDPR**. The UK-GDPR came into force on 24 May 2016 and has been applicable by law since 25 May 2018. However, in the case of Mr. S. P. Cordell, this compliance has not been observed, as demonstrated by the evidence provided in this claim.

1. Breach of Data Protection Laws: The handling of the Claimant's personal data by the Police, Enfield Council, NHS, and associated entities is in breach of the **Data Protection Act 1998** and the **UK-GDPR**. This includes various cases brought against the Claimant and potential breaches of internal police policies.

2. Infringements in Data Archiving: The police hold personal data on the Claimant that shows infringements against the lawful purpose of its retention.

3. Breach of Data Principles: The Police Forces' ACRO report, identified by the police as pertaining to the Claimant, contains numerous breaches of data protection principles. We request that the police support our efforts to amend or delete these incidents as specified in our requests.

D. Exhibits and Requests

1+. [2-Exhibits-for-Our-N1-Claim-Form.docx](#)

2+. [5-Our-Request-Sheet-Police-Acro-Markers.docx](#) Asbo part at the end needs updating

3+. [6-Our-Request-Sheet-Arrests-Acro-Interruptions-Made-By-Police.docx](#)

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**INSTRUCTIONS
FOR DOCUMENT
EASE OF USAGE**

BITS

Would go to the top of the document or the bottom and that would be explained at the top of the document!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2+. INSTRUCTIONS

A. Description of Categories & Table of Contents 3

3+. DESCRIPTION OF CATEGORIES

A. Brief Summarized Exploration of This Document 3

B. Exhibited Files 3

C. Break Down of Attached Files 3

4+. INFRINGEMENTS

A. Infringements 3

B. Consequences of Infringements: 3

C. Our Waiver 3

HOW TO USE THIS OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

1. INSTRUCTIONS

1+. Description of Categories & Table of Contents: --

1. First "Description of Categories" &
2. Second "Table of Contents."

A. This "Official Document" is designed to provide clarity and accessibility to all of its users seeking information related to the matter at hand. We understand the importance of efficiently navigating throughout the content within this document. Therefore, we have incorporated key components to assist you in making the most effective use of this Data.

B. "Our Listed as Liable" First "Description of Categories"

3. Our title, "**Description of Categories**", found at the beginning of this document offers a concise overview of the various sections and topics covered within. It serves as a roadmap, guiding any user through the intricacies of the data and information presented. These categories are carefully structured to facilitate your understanding and utilization of the content. By referring to this section, you can quickly discern the purpose and relevance of each category, making it easier to locate specific information.

C. For an Example: --

4. If you are interested in understanding the nature of the claim, you can refer to the "**General Damages**" category. If you are seeking to understand why the Claimant is requesting "**Special Damages**" or what "**Special Damages**" account for within this document the "**Description of Categories**" and "**Table of Contents.**" will provide you with the necessary information.

2. DESCRIPTION OF CATEGORIES =

A. Brief Summarized Exploration of This Document =

a. Refers to a concise overview or examination of the key points, contents, and main values within this written document. This process involves extracting the most relevant information to provide a condensed yet informative summary.

B. Exhibited Files =

- a. These "**Exhibited Files**" refer to a collection of documents otherwise known as materials, items that we have formally presented, displayed, and then on afterwards submitted as evidence, references, and/or supporting materials in a legal and official context.
- b. These files are associated with the requested legal proceedings, / court cases, / regulatory hearings, or other formal settings where the claimant presents information or evidence to support or argue a particular case or matter.

C. Break Down of Our Attached Files =

- a. Our "**Break Down of Attached Files**" refers to the process of providing to the relevant Bodies a detailed explanation and/or analysis of the contents we provide and the significance of the data we submit in this Official document and that of its attachments we include throughout our communications.
- b. This breakdown of the **Attached Files** is implemented to help recipients and/or any other readers to understand how to keep track of the digital data attached as "**File Links**" so that they as the user do not have to open and review the attachments individually.

3. INFRINGEMENTS

A. "Infringements" refer to violations or breaches of rules, laws, rights, regulations, or agreements. These violations may have occurred in various contexts, such as:

a. Legal Debates.

- i. Legal Action,
- ii. Regulatory Infringements.

b. Intellectual Property Disagreements.

- i. Trademark Infringements,
- ii. Copyright Infringements,
- iii. Property Rights Infringements.

c. Contractual Disputes

- i. Contractual Infringements

d. Ethical Rights.

- i. Patenting Infringements,
- ii. Ethical Infringements.

B. Consequences of Infringements:

- i. Financial Penalties,
- ii. Loss Of Rights,
- iii. Reputation Damage,
- iv. Criminal Charges.

C. Our Waver

- The above lists are not exhausted to their limits as they are just purely an example.

DOCUMENT USAGE INFORMATION

BITS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5+. BASIS OF THE CLAIM

- A. The "Basis of the Claim,": 3
- B. As The "Basis of The Claim" Is Brought Forth..... 3

6+. REQUEST SHEET

- A. A "Request Sheet" Is A Formal Document or Form..... 3
- B. "Request Sheets" Are A Structured Means..... 3

7+. IN REFERENCE TOWARDS

- A. "In Reference Towards" the Original Files Re-Organised..... 3

8+. Break =

DOCUMENT USAGE INFORMATION

4. BASIS OF THE CLAIM =

- A. **The "Basis of the Claim,"** in fact of any claim but in particular to this claim is in pursuit to the fundamental reasons and grounds upon which a person files a legal claim or lawsuit when brought forth.
- B. **If The "Basis of The Claim" Is Brought Forth**, it becomes a critical element in legal proceedings as the data within the document outlines the specific facts, pertaining towards the circumstances for what indicated the Claims Start.

5. REQUEST SHEET =

- A. **A "Request Sheet" Is A Formal Document or Form**, used to make a specific request for.
 - i. Information,
 - ii. Services,
 - iii. Resources, Or
 - iv. Actions.
- B. **"Request Sheets." Are Structured Means** of communication that help ensure: --
 - i. Clarity,
 - ii. Accountability, And,

iii. Proper Documentation,
of the request made and their associated details.

6. IN REFERENCE TOWARDS =

A. “In Reference Toward” Are the Original Files Re-Organised, so any person can easily understand the information / data contained within. The Police Filed the information / Data that they archived about the Now Claimant in such a way while overloading the file in size of magnitude that it criminalises him for no fair reason or without any fair public interest due to no fair cause.

7. Break =

A. This is a Spare Template.

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**A
BREAK DOWN OF THE
EXTERNAL FILE STRUCTURE
ATTACHED
BITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

9+. FILES: (0. Claim-Letter-27-08-23)

A. Folder

- **Contents:**
- a. **File Explanation:**

B. Folder: (1. Dates-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- a. **File Explanation:**

C. Folder: (2. PNC-Error-Links-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- a. **File Explanation:**

D. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 1 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** Folder One: message-1-65367
- **Contents:**
- a. **File Explanation:**

E. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 2 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65368
- **Contents:**
- a. **File Explanation:**

F. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 3 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65369
- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

G. Folder:(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 4 of 6:**

Folder-Path: (5. Police-PNC-Record-Emails-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

H. Folder:(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 5 of 6:**

Folder-Path: (6. Police-PNC-Record-Emails-Additional Files-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

I. Folder:(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 6 of 6:**

Folder-Path: (1. PNC-Record-Emails-INDEX27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

J. Folder: (4. PNC-Workout-File-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

K. Folder: (7. Simulation-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

a. **File Explanation:**

A
BREAK DOWN OF THE
EXTERNAL FILE STRUCTURE
ATTACHED

.....**START**

8. FILES:

A. Folder: (0. Claim-Letter-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

1. 1. Fresh-Claim-against-ACRO-and Otrhers1.docx

2. 1. The-Content-INDEX -for-the-FreshClaim-File.docx

3. 2. Glossary-of-Terms-&-Code.docx

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. This is the Now Claimants Copy of Request & Claim.

2. We developed this File to Help Build This File and keep its contents even shorter.

3. Our “**Glossary-of-Terms-&-Code**” is included for ease of use as an alphabetical list of “**Short Term-Words**” relating to the data submitted within this document creating for any user a brief dictionary toolkit for specific subjects, texts, and dialects, with easy-to-use explanations to manage this document and that of its affiliated documents.

..... **END**

.....**START**

B. Folder: (1. Dates-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

1. 1. Dates.docx

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. This file explains the dates the Now Claimants: Request & Claim arise from.

..... **END**

..... **START**

C. Folder: (2. PNC-Error-Links-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

- 1. 1. PNC-Weblinks.docx “=Internet-Style”

- a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

- 1. The included file of weblinks, simplify file handling by placing multiple files large and/or small into a single document and each as single text string known as a hyperlink.

..... **END**

..... **START**

D. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 1 of 6:**

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** Folder One: message-1-65367

- **Contents:**

- 1. Info
 - 2. Message-1-65367.Eml
 - 3. PNC_9799378V CORDELL SIMON PAUL.pdf
 - 4. TRACE_TRACE LETTER.pdf
 - 5. **2017 PNC_9799378V** CORDELL SIMON PAUL (2).docx

E. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 2 of 6:**

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65368

- **Contents:**

- 1. Info
 - 2. Message-1-65368.Eml
 - 3. PNC_9799378V CORDELL SIMON PAUL.pdf
 - 4. TRACE_TRACE LETTER.pdf
 - 5. **2020 PNC_9799378V** CORDELL SIMON PAUL (2).docx

F. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) **Route 3 of 6:**

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65369

- **Contents:**

- 1. Info
 - 2. Message-1-65369.Eml
 - 3. PNC_9799378V CORDELL SIMON PAUL.pdf
 - 4. TRACE_TRACE LETTER.pdf
 - 5. **2021 PNC_9799378V** CORDELL SIMON PAUL (2).docx

- a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

- 1. **PNC Original File:** This section contains a subset of the “**Original Emails.**” These emails were exchanged among “**Government Officials,**” the Claimant, his Solicitors, and his support network. The communication pertains to the rectification of files held by the police, which are specifically connected to the Claimant's profile referred to as an Acro Report.
 - 2. **Message:** Within this segment is a copy of Our requested Subject Access application being disclosure to us as one email out of many sent.
 - 3. **PNC:** This section contains replicated copies of the attached correspondences found in the "Message" emails. These correspondences were exchanged between the Now Claimant and his “**Support Network,**” and that of “**Government Officials.**”

4. **TRACE TRACE LETTER:** Similarly, this part includes duplicated copies of attached correspondences from the “**Message**” emails exchanged between the Now Claimant and his “**Support Network,**” inclusive of relevant “**Government Officials.**”

G. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 4 of 6:

Folder-Path 1: (Police-PNC-Record-Emails-27-08-23)

• **Contents:**

1. Police-PNC-Record-Emails.docx “=**Diary-Style**”

--

Folder-Path 2: (Criminal-PNC-ACRO-Record-Emails-30-08-23)

1. 0_RE_Simon Cordell.pdf
2. City-Of-London-Court.pdf
3. Enfield-Court-List.pdf
4. RE_Simon Cordell Errors on Cases.pdf
5. RE_Simon Cordell Errors on Cases-01.pdf

--

Folder-Path 3: (PNC-Emails-Received-&-Sent)

1. **Received Folder Number of Files:** -- 36.....
2. **Sent Folder Number of Files:** -- 2.....

--

a. **File Explanation-Path 1:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. The “**Police-PNC-Record-Emails**” is a compilation of Official Records that We present as Exhibits of Evidence, which consist of emails that We obtained specifically in connection with the correction and/or rectification process of this ACRO Report and then afterwards Organised in a “**Diary-Style**” format.

--

b. **File Explanation-Path 2:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. The “**0 RE Simon Cordell**” file is a copy.
2. The “**City-Of-London-Court**” file is a copy.
3. The “**Enfield-Court-List.**” file is a copy.
4. The “**RE_Simon Cordell Errors on Cases**” file is a copy.
5. The “**RE_Simon Cordell Errors on Cases-01**” file is a copy.

--

c. **File Explanation-Path 3:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. The “**Received Folder Number of Files**” file is a copy.
2. The “**Sent Folder Number of Files**” file is a copy.

H. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 5 of 6:

Folder-Path: (Police-PNC-Record-Emails-Additional Files-27-08-23)

• **Contents:**

1. Additional-Files-For-Errors-on-Pnc-27-08-23.docx “=**Diary-Style**”
2. **A**

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. These files contain Additional information that was not filed in their first versions of development as a drafted document and therefore were submitted into the file at a later date as they were decided as relevant to Our Claim and/or inquiry with Requests.
2. **A**

I. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 6 of 6:

Folder-Path: (1.PNC-Record-Emails-INDEX27-08-23)

• **Contents:**

1. PNC-Record-Emails-INDEX-27-08-23.docx **"=Diary-INDEX -Style"**

2. PNC-Record-Emails-INDEX-27-08-23.pdf

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. **A**

2. **A**

..... **END**

..... **START**

J. **Folder:** (4. PNC-Workout-File-27-08-23)

• **Contents:**

1. Combined 2017,2020, 2021-WorkOut-Code-4-PNC-27-08-23.docx **"=PNC Replica-Style"**

2. WorkOut-Code-4-PNC-27-08-23.docx **"=Alone-Style"**

3. The-WorkOut-Code-Documents-Style.docx **"=Document -Style"**

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. The **"Combined File"** is a compilation of Official Records involving the Now Claimants such as the police PNC record that they hold against him and the Addition of his Own **"Work-Out-Code."** The reason for the **"Combined File"** Existence is because when the Now Claimant received a copy of the data the police retain on him due to requesting the information of the Police he found himself astonishment by the lack of systematic organization of the files he received once the police had printed the data out of their computer systems and or any other system they choose or still choose to use. The PNC Record received in the year **2017** spanned over 80 numerous pages of A4 paper, making it difficult to comprehend and in turn criminalising the Now Claimant with over a 24.000-page-word-count creating for him a criminal record of a document. The Now Claimant could not remember committing crimes whatsoever and finally managed to create the "Combined File" to find out if he did do so of what kind. The Now Claimant found that the More the Combined File was completed the less accurate the data in the PNC / ACRO Report about him become and as of to the reasons why it was still contained within left him in upset and disappointment as it truly shows how the police have abused and branded him all of his life since meeting or knowing of him and this is in part of the reason to why the Now Claimant has simplified and improved its clarity by introducing a workflow code, enhancing the presentation of the accurate information. This compiled and enhanced file is Now Named as the **"Combined File."**

2. **In Brief:** This document serves as the **"Work-Out-Code."** Its purpose is to transform the information from the Polices Acro Report into a comprehensible table. This table elucidates the ultimate verdict of the police regarding the case they lodged against the Now Claimant. Additionally, it includes details about any wrongfully imposed bail conditions or police endorsements such as punishments or penalty fines the Now Claimant underwent.

3. **In Short Text:** The **"Workout-Code Document Style.docx"** file is the "Work Out Table" but transferred into a word document due to the table not being visible for more input as necessary!

..... **END**

..... **START**

K. **Folder:** (7. Simulation-27-08-23)

• **Contents:**

1. (1) Police-PNC-Record-this-Goes-with-the- **Combined -File.docx**

2. (1) Police-PNC-Record-this-Goes-with-the- **Combined -File.pdf**

3. (1) Police PNC Record this Goes with the **Combined File.htm**

a. **File Explanation:** This file contains a copy of: --

1. This file contains a copy of an **"Index"** that can be used to manage the **"PNC Simulation."** The **"PNC Simulation."** Corresponding Correspondence has also been placed in a systematic chronological order for ease of use.
2. Our **"Simulation,"** in short, is a representation or imitation of a real-world situation using Newspaper Articles, Telephone Calls, Telephone Texts, Hand Typed & Computer Wrote Notes, Court Applications, Letters, Videos, Victim Statements and Witness Statements and other materials alike. It is the Our tool to be used to observe, analyse, or predict how the real elements of this scenario become a Claim for the Now Claimant.
3. This file is the same as the **"Simulation,"** but in a different formant.

..... **END**

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**WHO TO CONTACT
BITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4. WHO TO CONTACT, ABOUT THIS OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

- A. For All Queries Relating to This Official Document..... 3
- B. We attach the details of the named above as forth with..... 3

WHO TO CONTACT

9. WHO TO CONTACT, ABOUT THIS OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

- A. **For All Queries Relating to This Official Document:** -- the police or other Official Persons of this Cases Relevance can contact the following people if they require to do so.
- a. The Claimant Mr. Simon Paul Cordell.
 - b. The Claimant Mother.
 - c. The Claimant Solicitor Firm.
- B. **We attach the details of the named above as forth with:**
- **ADDRESS A IS AS FOLLOWS.**
 - A. 109 Burncroft Avenue, Enfield, London EN3 7JQ.
 - **ADDRESS B IS AS FOLLOWS.**
 - B. *****
 - **ADDRESS C IS AS FOLLOWS.**
 - C. *****

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

WHAT THIS DOCUMENT PROVES
OUR LISTED AS ACCUSED
TO BE LIABLE FOR!
BITS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. WHAT THIS DOCUMENT PROVES THE **POLICE TO BE LIABLE FOR:**

A. <u>Breach of Data Protection Laws</u>	3
B. <u>Violation of Human Rights</u>	3
C. <u>Legal Accountability</u>	3
D. <u>Homicide in the UK</u>	3
E. <u>Accountability for Police Actions</u>	3
F. <u>Upholding Legal and Ethical Standards</u>	3

WHAT THIS DOCUMENT PROVES
OUR LISTED AS ACCUSED
TO BE LIABLE FOR!

10. WHAT THIS DOCUMENT PROVES:

- A. Breach of Data Protection Laws:** This document substantiates claims of breaches of data protection laws by law enforcement agencies in the United Kingdom. It outlines instances where personal data may have been mishandled, leading to potential violations of data protection regulations, such as the Data Protection Act 2018 and GDPR.
- B. Violation of Human Rights:** The document also highlights concerns related to the violation of human rights by the police. It emphasizes specific rights, including the right to life, right to liberty and security, right to a fair trial, and freedom from torture and degrading treatment, which may have been compromised due to police actions.
- A. Legal Accountability:** In addition to data protection and human rights violations, this document underscores potential legal accountability on the part of the police. It points to situations where the police may be held liable for their actions, especially in cases involving unlawful arrests, malicious allegations, or failure to uphold legal standards.
- C. Homicide in the UK:** While not explicitly detailed in this document, it may be relevant to mention that homicide cases in the UK are subject to rigorous legal scrutiny and investigations to ensure

justice is served. Any allegations of misconduct or negligence in homicide cases warrant thorough examination.

- B. Accountability for Police Actions:** This section emphasizes the need for police accountability regarding their actions. It underscores the importance of holding law enforcement agencies responsible for their conduct, especially when it affects individuals' rights and well-being.
- D. Upholding Legal and Ethical Standards:** Lastly, this document serves as a call to uphold legal and ethical standards in policing. It stresses the significance of maintaining the highest levels of integrity, fairness, and respect for human rights within the law enforcement community.

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

**RECOVERY OF THE CLAIMANT'S LOSSES
BITS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

2. Police Arrests:

A. ***** 3

3. Police NFA Number:

A. ***** 3

4. Bail Dates Pertaining Towards NFA:

A. ***** 3

5. Total arrest in 2012:

A. ***** 3

6. Total arrest in 2013:

A. ***** 3

7. Total arrest in 2014:

A. ***** 3

a) Losses and Special Payments:

Comprehensive Guidelines for Handling Losses in Accordance with *the Compensation Act 2006* and Special Payments, Including Delegation of Limits and Reporting Obligations to the Department, External Auditor, and Police, as Prescribed by the Act; Unfortunately, Government Company Officials Failed to Adequately Assist Mr. S. P. Cordell with his Concerns.

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide updated instructions and guidelines related to the proper handling of losses and special payments within our company. It is essential that all employees are familiar with and adhere to these guidelines, as failure to do so may result in legal and financial consequences for the company and its personnel.

1/ Compliance with the Compensation Act 2006:

All employees must strictly comply with the provisions set forth in *the Compensation Act 2006* while managing losses and special payments within the company. The Act mandates certain procedures and reporting requirements aimed at ensuring transparency, fairness, and accountability.

2/ Delegation of Limits:

To ensure efficient handling of losses and special payments, the company has established limits for different levels of management. It is crucial to adhere to these limits and seek appropriate authorization when necessary. Failure to comply with these limits may jeopardize the company's financial stability and reputation.

3/ Reporting Obligations:

In some circumstances, losses and special payments must be reported to relevant authorities, including the Department, External Auditor, and Police, as required by the Act. It is the responsibility of the personnel responsible to promptly report such incidents and provide all necessary information to the appropriate parties.

Regrettably, in the case of Mr. S. P. Cordell, government company officials failed to adequately assist him with his concerns. This failure to provide the necessary support not only infringes upon Mr. Cordell's rights but also reflects a breach of our own commitment to compliance and customer service. Therefore, it is imperative that all employees recognize the importance of promptly addressing customer concerns and ensuring proper assistance is provided in accordance with company policies and legal obligations.

In conclusion, adherence to the guidelines outlined in this memorandum is essential for successfully managing losses and special payments within our companies. It is crucial that all employees familiarize themselves with the provisions of *The Compensation Act 2006*, adhere to delegation limits, and fulfil their reporting obligations. By doing so, we can maintain the highest standards of compliance and ensure that instances such as Mr. Cordell's experience do not recur.

RECOVERY OF THE CLAIMANT'S LOSSES

Question

- If employees of The Police and Local Council as well as Neighbourhood Watch Teams all commit a crime in knowing so together against a member of the public and then get caught if the member of the public who is then on a victim takes a significant financial loss how do the companies split the recovery of costs.
 - When employees of the police, local council, and neighborhood watch organizations commit a crime together against a member of the public and are caught, the recovery of costs for any financial losses incurred by the victim can be a complex matter.
 - It typically involves legal procedures and may vary based on the specific circumstances and jurisdiction.
 - **Here's a general overview of how the recovery of costs might be handled:**
- 1) Legal Proceedings:** The victims of the crime can pursue legal action against the individuals involved, as well as their respective employers (police, local council, neighborhood watch). This may involve filing civil lawsuits or claims for damages.

- 2) **Civil Lawsuits:** The victim may file a civil lawsuit against the individuals responsible for the crime. If it can be proven that these individuals were acting within the scope of their employment or duties, their employers (police, local council, neighborhood watch) could potentially be held vicariously liable for their actions.
 - 3) **Insurance:** Government entities like local councils often have liability insurance to cover claims arising from the actions of their employees. In cases where employees are found liable for a crime, the insurance policies of their employers may come into play to cover some or all of the victim's financial losses.
 - 4) **Settlements:** Parties involved, including the victim, the employees, and their employers, may negotiate settlements to avoid protracted legal proceedings. These settlements can specify how costs are divided among the parties.
 - 5) **Criminal Restitution:** In some cases, if the employees are convicted of a crime, the court may order them to pay restitution to the victim. This would be a separate process from civil litigation.
 - 6) **Contributory Negligence:** The legal principle of contributory negligence might be considered. If the victim is found to have contributed to their own losses in any way, this could affect the allocation of costs.
 - 7) **Government Immunity:** It's important to note that government entities often have certain immunities from lawsuits, and there may be limitations on the number of damages they can be held responsible for. These immunities vary by jurisdiction.
 - 8) **Legal Advice:** Both the victim and the employees involved should seek legal advice from qualified attorneys to understand their rights, responsibilities, and potential liabilities in this complex situation.
- Ultimately, the specific outcome will depend on the details of the case, applicable laws, and legal proceedings. It's essential for all parties involved to consult legal professionals to navigate this situation appropriately.

If A Judge Agrees a Large Settlement How Do the Company Split the Cost

- When a judge agrees to a large settlement in a legal case involving multiple defendants, such as the police, local council, and neighborhood watch companies, the allocation of the settlement costs typically depends on various factors.
 - **Here's how it generally works:**
- 1) **Allocation by Liability:** The judge may allocate the settlement costs based on the degree of liability or fault attributed to each defendant. This means that each defendant pays a portion of the settlement in proportion to their level of responsibility for the victim's damages.
 - 2) **Contributory Negligence:** If the victim is found to have contributed to their own losses through negligence or other factors, the judge may consider this when allocating the settlement costs. In some cases, the victim's compensation may be reduced to account for their contribution to the incident.

- 3) **Insurance Coverage:** Defendants, such as the local council and neighborhood watch companies, may have liability insurance policies that cover legal settlements. In such cases, their insurance providers may be responsible for covering a significant portion of the settlement costs.
 - 4) **Government Immunity:** Government entities like the police and local council may have certain immunities and limitations on the damage they can be held responsible for. The judge will consider these factors when determining their share of the settlement.
 - 5) **Negotiated Agreements:** Prior to a judge's decision, the parties involved (the victim, defendants, and their insurers) may negotiate and reach agreements on how to split the settlement costs. These negotiated agreements can then be presented to the judge for approval.
 - 6) **Court's Decision:** Ultimately, the judge has the authority to make the final decision on the allocation of settlement costs. The judge will review the evidence, arguments, and any negotiated agreements to determine a fair distribution of liability among the defendants.
 - 7) **Payment by Defendants:** Once the allocation is determined, each defendant is responsible for paying their respective share of the settlement. This payment can come from their own resources, insurance coverage, or other sources.
- It's important to note that the specific process and factors involved may vary depending on the jurisdiction and the details of the case. Legal professionals representing all parties involved will play a crucial role in presenting arguments and evidence related to liability and settlement allocation.
 - In complex cases with multiple defendants, it's common for settlements to be structured in a way that reflects the level of responsibility of each party. Legal experts, including attorneys and insurance adjusters, often work together to negotiate and determine a fair distribution of costs.

Old Stuff

To calculate how much 50 million would be split per day over 8 years, you would first need to find the total number of days in 8 years and then divide 50 million by that number. Here's the calculation: --

- 1) Number of days in 8 years: $8 \text{ years} \times 365 \text{ days/year} = 2,920 \text{ days}$
- 2) Now, divide 50 million by the number of days:
- 3) $50,000,000 / 2,920 = 17,123.29$ (approximately)
- 4) So, if you were to split 50 million evenly over 8 years, it would be approximately 17,123.29 per day.

What's 17.123.29 divided by 4.

- 1) To divide 17,123.29 by 4, you simply perform the division:
- 2) $17,123.29 / 4 = 4,280.8225$
- 3) So, 17,123.29 divided by 4 is approximately 4,280.8225.

Please Calculate How Much 50 million Would Be Split Per Day Over 27 Years and Then Afterwards Divide That By 4 Please?

First, let's calculate how much 50 million would be split per day over 27 years.

There are approximately 365.25 days in a year, accounting for leap years. So, 27 years would be approximately $27 \times 365.25 = 9861.75$ days.

So, 50 million splits over 27 years would be:

$50,000,000 \text{ pounds} / 9861.75 \text{ days} = \text{approximately } 5070.31 \text{ pounds/day}$

now, let's divide that amount by 4:

$5070.31 \text{ pounds/day} / 4 = \text{approximately } 1267.58 \text{ pounds/day.}$

So, if 50 million pounds were split evenly over 27 years and then divided by 4, each portion would be approximately **1267.58 pounds per day.**

11. Police Arrests:

12. Police NFA Number:

13. Bail Dates Pertaining Towards NFA:

14. Total arrest in 2012:

15. Total arrest in 2013:

16. Total arrest in 2014:

1 years just for Asbo

- **General Damages** = General Damages Relating Towards the Impact Within the Claimants' Life Referring to Such Issues As: --

- a. Pain And Suffering Caused by "**Our Listed as Liable**" Within This Official Document,
- b. Forced Changes to The Claimants Lifestyle,
- c. Mental Trauma Also Caused by The Mentioned in This Data,

➤ And: --

- **Special Damages** = Special Damages Relate to The Impact caused by "**Our Listed as Liable**" within this Official Document to the Now Claimants Life Referring to Such Issues As: --

- a. Loss Of Earnings,
- b. Future Loss of Earnings,
- c. Medical Treatment,
- d. Future Medical Treatments,
- e. Transport Expenses Relating to Incidents as Below,
- f. Changes To the Now Claimants' Living Environment.

To calculate the loss per day for each category with a currency value and text, you need to follow these steps:

1. **Determine the Total Loss Value:** Calculate the total loss value for each category. This would involve adding up all the expenses or damages associated with that category. For example, for General Damages, you would calculate the total value of pain and suffering, forced lifestyle changes, mental trauma, etc. For Special Damages, you would calculate the total value of loss of earnings, medical treatments, transportation expenses, and changes to the living environment.
2. **Calculate Duration:** Determine the duration or time period over which these damages occurred or are expected to occur. This is the timeframe for which you want to calculate the loss per day.

3. **Calculate Loss Per Day:** Divide the total loss value for each category by the number of days in the specified duration. This will give you a loss per day. Make sure to include both the currency value and a clear text description of what this loss per day represents.

Here's a breakdown for each category:

General Damages:

- Calculate the total value of pain and suffering, forced lifestyle changes, mental trauma, etc.
- Determine the duration over which damage occurred or are expected to occur.
- Divide the total value by the number of days to calculate the loss per day.
- Express the loss per day in currency value (e.g., USD) and provide a clear text description.

Example: "General Damages: The total value of pain, suffering, and mental trauma is \$10,000 over a period of 100 days, resulting in a loss of \$100 per day."

Special Damages:

- Calculate the total value of loss of earnings, medical treatments, transportation expenses, changes to the living environment, etc.
- Determine the duration over which damage occurred or are expected to occur.
- Divide the total value by the number of days to calculate the loss per day.
- Express the loss per day in currency value (e.g., USD) and provide a clear text description.

Example: "Special Damages: The total value of loss of earnings, medical treatments, and transportation expenses is \$20,000 over a period of 200 days, resulting in a loss of \$100 per day."

Repeat these steps for each category, ensuring that you have a clear calculation of the loss per day with both currency value and explanatory text.

- **Personal Damages Health**

1/ As per my records the amount for the reimbursement comes to **£. (amount).**

- **Recovery of Expenses and/or Legal Fees**

2/ As per my records the amount for the reimbursement comes to **£. (amount).**

3/ The Below listed is the additional miscellaneous expenditure occurred by the Now Claimant caused as loss due to the ongoing within this claim and these specifics include receipts and bank transactions of purchase that are herewith attached and requested as recovered.

- **Receipt of Purchase: -**

- **Bank Transactions: -**

4/ As per my records the amount for the reimbursement comes to **£. (amount).**

a) The Now Claimant Sums of losses Create due to the **Enfield Council** create a total of the following: -

b) Total Amount we requested as paid is. **£**

c) We request **Enfield Council** to release the sums of money related to our loss as they are legally liable to pay for in respect of the damage caused to the Now Claimant.

d) We are looking forward to a prompt response from the **Enfield Council** so to be able to put right to right and put an end to these proceedings.

e) Thank you for your time.

f) If you have any questions, please feel free to contact us directly on the details below and we are looking forward to your response.

Yours sincerely,

- **An additional Legal Consent Form.**

5/ We have added a legal consent form below for the Now Claimants Mother a Ms Lorraine Cordell to have the legal consent to address these ongoing issues at any time of day.

- **Signature: -**

- **Signature: -**

- **Formal Name + Title: -**

6/ Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

- **Address: -**

109 Burncroft Avenue
Enfield
London
EN3 7JQ

- **Email: -**

7/ Re_Wired@ymail.com

- **Tel Number: -**

8/ This Information is up to date on the **Enfield Councils Databases.**

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

GLOSSARY OF TERMS
BITS

GLOSSARY OF TERMS 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

10+. THE DEFINITIONS OF OUR GLOSSARY OF TERMS TABLE ONE: --

A. Table One 3

11+. THE DEFINITIONS OF OUR GLOSSARY OF TERMS TABLE TWO: --

B. Table Two 3

12+. THE DEFINITIONS OF OUR GLOSSARY OF TERMS TABLE THREE: --

C. Table Three..... 3

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

17. THE DEFINITIONS OF OUR GLOSSARY OF TERMS TABLE ONE: --

<u>GLOSSARY OF TERMS</u> <u>Table One</u>		
<u>Nub</u>	<u>Term</u>	<u>Definitions</u>
1)	Case Number " <u>CN1</u> "	• Definition: A unique number assigned by the court to track and retrieve a case. It identifies the case's location and filing date.
2)	1) Time Spent " <u>TS1</u> " OR 2) Removal of Spent Convictions " <u>RSC1</u> "	• Definition: Refers to spent convictions, which are convictions that become disregarded over time, according to the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974.

3)	Time Suffered (<u>TS2</u>)	Definition: Describes the physical or mental pain experienced, particularly in the context of Article 3 rights.
4)	Accused WON " <u>AW1</u> " OR " <u>COPFS1</u> "	Definition: Indicates that the accused person won the case, suggesting their innocence or lack of proof by the prosecuting team.
5)	Accused LOST " <u>AW1</u> " OR " <u>COPFS0</u> "	Definition: Implies the accused was found guilty or liable for a wrongdoing.
6)	Yes, Bail Condition Imposed " <u>YBCI</u> "	Definition: Signifies that bail conditions were applied, which can include residence, curfew, or other restrictions.
7)	No Bail Condition Imposed " <u>NBCI</u> "	Definition: Denotes freedom from bail conditions.
8)	Request for Review " <u>RFR</u> "	Definition: A request for a review of an investigation, often related to alleged misconduct.
9)	Disposal History " <u>DH1</u> "	Definition: Removal of information from local police systems, justified through a review process.
10)	Disposal (Caution) " <u>DC1</u> "	Definition: Refers to adult police cautions, a way to resolve minor criminal allegations without going to court.
11)	Disposal (Court) " <u>DC2</u> "	Definition: Outlines out-of-court disposals for low-level offenses, avoiding court proceedings.
12)	Disposal (Penalty Notice) " <u>DPN1</u> "	Definition: Explains Penalty Notices for Disorder (PND) for low-level offenses, available to individuals over 18.
13)	Disposal " <u>NFA</u> " " <u>NFA1</u> " or " <u>N/a</u> "	Definition: Signifies a "No Further Action" decision by the police when there's insufficient evidence or it's not in the public interest to charge someone.
14)	Request for Compensation " <u>RFC1</u> "	Definition: A claim for compensation from the police for accidental or unlawful actions.
15)	Special Circumstances " <u>SC1</u> "	Definition: Situations requiring special attention or consideration due to their unique nature.
16)	Mitigating Circumstance " <u>MC1</u> "	Definition: Factors like age, mental state, or lack of criminal record that reduce a person's culpability in court.
17)	Extenuating Circumstance " <u>EC1</u> "	Definition: Temporary difficulties or problems, such as medical issues, affecting an individual.
18)	Exceptional Circumstances " <u>EC2</u> "	Definition: Events that negatively impact an individual's ability to meet obligations, which were unforeseeable and beyond their control.
19)	Extraordinary Circumstances " <u>EOSC1</u> "	Definition: Unusual and unexpected situations that couldn't be predicted or prepared for using normal measures.
20)	Unusual Circumstances " <u>UC1</u> "	Definition: Uncommon, rare, or sudden events causing failure to act as required.

21)	National Systems – Deletion “ NSD1 ”	• Definition: Deletion of records from the national police systems, often related to non-convictions or unproven allegations.
22)	PNC Record Amendments “ PRA1 ”	• Definition: Changes made to the Police National Computer (PNC) database, a central source of information for law enforcement agencies.
23)	Local Systems Deletion “ LSD1 ”	• Definition: Guidelines for deleting records from local police systems.
24)	Our Listed as Liable “ OLAL1 ”	• Definition: Lists individuals legally responsible or accountable for their actions in pursuit of a claimant.
25)	Local Systems - Amendment of Information Contained Within “ AOICW1 ”	• Definition: Application to amend information in a police force's information system.
26)		
END		

18. THE DEFINITIONS OF GLOSSARY OF TERMS TWO: --

GLOSSARY OF TERMS Table Two		
Nub	Term	Definitions
1)	Adequate “ NOT-ADEQ ”	• Definition: Personal data is sufficient or satisfactory in quality or quantity for a specific purpose.
2)	Relevant “ R1 ”	• Definition: Data directly connected or applicable to a specific topic, situation, or context, making it important.
3)	Limited “ L1 ”	• Definition: Restricts data processing to what is necessary for its intended purposes.
4)	Accurate “ DEL1 ”	• Definition: Data is correct and free from errors.
5)	Up to Date “ NOT-UTD1 ”	• Definition: Data is kept current when necessary.
6)	Non-Realistic Prospect of Conviction “ NPC1 ”	• Definition: Deciding it's not in the public interest to retain a record of a caution due to the low likelihood of a conviction.
7)	Unlawfully Arrest “ UA1 ”	• Definition: When a person is unlawfully arrested by the police.
8)	Malicious Allegation “ MA1 ”	• Definition: An arrest resulting from a malicious false accusation.
9)	Not Been Updated “ NBU1 ”	• Definition: A prosecution incorrectly showing impending when it has been resolved.
10)		
END		

19. THE DEFINITIONS OF GLOSSARY OF TERMS THREE: --

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

Table Three

Nub	Term	Definitions
1)	Accused	Definition: One charged with wrongdoing, especially the defendant in a criminal case.
2)	Police National Computer " PNC "	Definition: A centralized database used by law enforcement agencies for information concerning individuals, property, and vehicles.
3)	Data Controller " DC "	Definition: An entity responsible for determining the purposes and means of personal data processing.
4)	Authorised Professional Practice " APP "	Definition: Guidelines approved for professional practices in policing.
5)	Occurrence Enquiry Log " OEL "	Definition: A record of inquiries made during investigations.
6)	All Managers/Supervisors/Staff " AMSS1 "	Definition: Refers to all individuals in management, supervisory, or staff roles.
7)	The Metropolitan Police Authority (MPA)	Definition: The governing body overseeing the Metropolitan Police Service in London.
8)	PNC Fingerprints and DNA " BI1 "	Definition: Biometric information that includes fingerprints and DNA, considered important by government officials.
9)	Met Contact Centre " Met CC "	Definition: METCC, also known as the Met Contact Centre, Met Command and Control or MO12, is a department of Met Operations within Greater London's Metropolitan Police Service.
10)		
END		

GLOSSARY OF TERMS 2

BITS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

13+. GLOSSARY OF TERMS =

- A. Glossary of Terms Is a Specialized Reference Tool..... 3
- B. Its Purpose Is to Help Readers..... 3
- C. Our Waver..... 3

20. GLOSSARY OF TERMS =

- A. **A Glossary of Terms Is a Specialized Reference Tool:** -- or section within a document, **i.e.** "**This Document!**" Or other material objects such as: --
- i. Textbooks,
 - ii. Technical Manuals,
 - iii. Dictionaries,

- iv. Encyclopaedias,
- v. Academic Journals,
- vi. Training Materials,
- vii. Legal Documents,
- viii. Government Publications,
- ix. Healthcare Materials,
- x. Scientific Papers,
- xi. Computer Software Manuals,
- xii. User Guides,
- xiii. Educational Websites,
- xiv. Museum Exhibits,
- xv. Legal Texts,
- xvi. Glossary Books,

that provides concise and clear definitions or explanations for key words, phrases, or technical terms used within the content of the material object .

- B. Its Purpose Is to Help Readers:** -- understand and interpret the terminology specific to the subjected matter of relevance.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

5. THIS DOCUMENTS AIM: --

- A. To Ensure United Kingdom Claimants Legal Rights Stay..... 3
- B. To Use My Abilities Ingrained in Me as A United Kingdom Citizen To..... 3
- C. To Uphold the Ease-of-Use In..... 3

6. THIS DOCUMENTS APPLICABILITY: --

- A. All Representatives of The Police That Being Inclusive of Their Police Officers, Public Servants, And Any Other Staff Such As..... 3

7. THIS DOCUMENTS LEGAL BASIS AND DRIVING FORCE: --

- A. A Morden United Kingdom..... 3
- B. Data Protection Act 2018..... 3
- C. General Data Protection Regulations..... 3

8. RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS: --

- A. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)..... 3
- B. Data Protection Act 2018..... 3
- C. The Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations (PECR)..... 3
- D. The Network and Information Systems Regulations 2018 (NIS Regulations) 3
- E. The Investigatory Powers Act 2016..... 3
- F. The Freedom of Information Act 2000..... 3
- G. The Environmental Information Regulations 2004..... 3
- H. The Human Rights Act 1998..... 3
- I. The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) Codes of Practice..... 3
- J. The UK Data Protection Authority (Information Commissioner's Office) 3

TIME LIMIT

BITS

As a Start

TABLE OF CONTENTS

14+. TIME LIMITS

A. <u>Police Time Limits</u>	3
B. <u>Time Limits as “Safeguards”</u>	3
C. <u>The Claimants’ Time Limits</u>	3
D. <u>Special Circumstances</u>	3
E. <u>Mitigating Circumstances</u>	3
F. <u>Our Comprehensive Explanation of Time Limits</u>	3
G. <u>Police Time Limits</u>	3
H. <u>Police Time Limits</u>	3
I. <u>Police Time Limits</u>	3
J. <u>Police Time Limits</u>	3

SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

A. <u>Understanding Special Circumstances</u>	3
B. <u>Tailored Responses</u>	3

REMOVING SPENT CONVICTIONS

C. <u>The Removal of Spent Convictions</u> 😊	Updated 16-11-23...Read after Index then start....	3
--	--	---

GLOSSARY OF TERMS 2

THIS DOCUMENTS

AIM

21. THIS DOCUMENTS AIM: --

- A. To Ensure United Kingdom Claimants Legal Rights Stay:** -- apprehended to the correct level of Standards when others hold in retention Personal Data about themselves so that the correct persons can maintain that the Data Archived is correct while accurate and fair to all if held in pursuit of law or other purposes.
- B. To Use My Abilities Ingrained in Me as A United Kingdom Citizen To:** -- upkeep a fair and Morden society, while being a valid member to all.
- C. To Uphold the Ease of Use In:** - of Public Systems.

THIS DOCUMENTS

APPLICABILITY

22. THIS DOCUMENTS APPLICABILITY: --

- A. All Representatives of The Police That Being Inclusive of Their Police Officers, Public Servants, And Any Other Staff Such As:** --, including that of their extended police family and those working voluntarily or under contracts to the Police must be aware of, there requirements to comply with, all relevant policies and associated procedures.

THIS DOCUMENTS
LEGAL BASIS AND DRIVING FORCE

23. THIS DOCUMENTS LEGAL BASIS AND DRIVING FORCE: --

- D.** A Morden United Kingdom,
- E.** Data Protection Act 2018,
- F.** General Data Protection Regulations.

RELATED POLICIES,
PROCEDURES
AND
OTHER DOCUMENTS

24. RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS: --

- A.** The Niche RMS Minimum Data Quality Standards, Information Management Policy, Records Management Policy are: -- defined as a set of guidelines and rules that outline the required standards for maintaining accurate and organized data within the Niche RMS system. This policy focuses on ensuring high-quality information management and effective records management practices.
- A. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR):** Although it is an EU regulation, GDPR has direct relevance in the UK. It sets the framework for data protection, including the rights of data subjects and the responsibilities of data controllers and processors.
- B. Data Protection Act 2018:** This act supplements the GDPR and provides specific provisions for how Government officials implemented data protection in the UK. It includes exemptions and derogations for certain areas, such as law enforcement and national security.
- C. The Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations (PECR):** These regulations cover electronic marketing communications, including email marketing and the use of cookies. PECR works alongside GDPR and the Data Protection Act 2018.
- D. The Network and Information Systems Regulations 2018 (NIS**

Regulations): Regulations are set in place to focus on the security of network and information systems. They require operators of essential services and digital service providers to implement appropriate security measures.

E. The Investigatory Powers Act 2016: Often referred to as the "Snooper's Charter," this act regulates surveillance and the interception of communications in the UK. It has implications for data privacy and protection.

F. The Freedom of Information Act 2000: This act provides public access to information held by public authorities, which includes the right to access personal data held by government bodies.

G. The Environmental Information Regulations 2004: The 2004 regulations provide access to "environmental information" held by Local authorities and they are related to data protection in the context of environmental data.

A. The Human Rights Act 1998: While not specific to data protection, this act incorporates the European Convention on Human Rights into UK law, including the right to respect for private and family life (Article 8), which has implications for data protection.

B. The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) Codes of Practice: The ICO issues various codes of practice that provide guidance on specific aspects of data protection compliance, such as data sharing, direct marketing, and data protection impact assessments.

C. The UK Data Protection Authority (Information Commissioner's Office): The ICO is the UK's independent regulator for data protection. It enforces data protection laws and provides guidance and resources for organizations and individuals regarding data protection.

TIME LIMITS

- **Police Time Limits**

This section delves into the time limits imposed by the police across various aspects of the legal system. It emphasizes the critical role these limits play in ensuring fair and effective investigations.

- A. Police "Time Limits"**

- 1) Allow Our Government to ensure that they as a government can Review and Conduct investigations fairly in respect of us who are their Citizens Legal Rights in a Fair, while Effective Manner, so that each Citizens Individuals' Rights are Courtesy Respected as Equal.

- **Time Limits as "Safeguards"**

Highlighting the importance of police time limits as safeguards, this section aims to protect citizen rights, ensure the efficiency of government enforcement agencies, and maintain accountability in legal disputes. It underscores the role of time limits in standardization and fairness.

- A. The Importance of Police Time Limits as "Safeguards":**

I. Protection of Citizen Rights: These time limits safeguard the rights of UK citizens, ensuring fair and lawful treatment within the legal system.

II. Efficiency of Government Enforcement Agencies: Time limits help maintain the efficiency of government enforcement agencies by streamlining their workflows.

III. Accountability in Legal Disputes: These limits play a critical role in ensuring accountability and due process, holding authorities responsible for adhering to legal timelines.

B. Standardization and Fairness: --

- 1) These time limits create a high standard for all Enforcement Agency Case Handlers, ensuring that legal decisions are consistent across various processes and investigations.

C. Protecting Rights: --

- 1) These time limits are vital in upholding the rights of UK citizens, ensuring the efficiency of government enforcement agencies, and maintaining due process.

- **The Claimants' Time Limits**

In this section, we address exceptional circumstances that may impact time limits concerning specific claims. We enumerate a substantial number of reasons and underscore the importance of understanding these limits within the context of the claim.

25. EXCEPTIONAL CIRCUMSTANCES PERTAINING TO ANY TIME LIMIT THAT MAY BE DRAWN TO A REFERENCE IN RESPECT OF THIS CLAIM: --

A. We enumerate a substantial number of different reasons and address the issue of "**Time Limits.**" within this Claim. As of the date of writing this document, which is "**29/08/2023,**" it is our strong belief, backed by comprehensive research on the "**World-Wide-Internet**" that the "**United Kingdom's Government**" indeed, regulates and monitors, numerous "**Laws & Standards**" encompassing various "**Time Limits.**" These "**Time Limits**" are attached to diverse Laws and Standards, and the United Kingdom's Government enforces these boundaries across a broad spectrum of scenarios. These enforcement actions span from "**Criminal Law**" to "**Civil Law,**" and even extend to "**Minor Penalty Offences.**" In light of these reasons, we will elaborate on these aspects in greater detail below: --

1. **For Example, one:** The Legal Right to Erasure under the "**European Convention of Human Rights**" does not specify a "**Time Limits**" for erasing data from a "**UK ACRO Association of Chief Police Officers Criminal Records Office Report.**"
2. **For Example, two:** In situations where a Criminal Aspect arises during an interaction involving a United Kingdom Citizen or Resident and an Official Person's, due to a Government Official's fault, whether deliberate or not, the affected Citizen or Resident might decide to become a Claimant against the accused.
3. **For Example, three:** When an individual needs to disclose their Criminal Records for purposes such as Employment, there Exists a "**Time Limits**" known as "**Spent Convictions.**"

Due to the mentioned prior already reason it is crucial for the recipient of these artifacts to become aware of the following:

- **Special Circumstances**

Special circumstances refer to factors that deviate from ordinary conditions and can significantly impact a situation. This section outlines various special circumstances and their relevance to time limits. Topics covered include emergency situations, legal exceptions, humanitarian considerations, and national security.

26. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

A. The time it took to apply and subsequently receive the necessary data from the government computer systems.

A. Special Circumstances refer to factors that deviate from the ordinary or typical conditions and can significantly impact a situation. These factors are unique or exceptional in nature and are taken into consideration when assessing a specific case. Special circumstances can encompass various aspects, such as: --

- i. Emergency Situations,
- ii. Legal Exceptions,
- iii. Humanitarian Considerations,
- iv. National Security,
- v. Medical Emergencies,
- vi. Public Health Crises,
- vii. Acts of God,
- viii. Technological Advancements,

B. Special circumstances are typically characterized by their deviation from the norm and the need for tailored responses or considerations. They may require legal, policy, or administrative adjustments to address the specific challenges they pose.

- **Mitigating Circumstances**

Mitigating circumstances are factors that may reduce the severity or consequences of a situation. Here, we highlight different mitigating circumstances and explore how they can influence legal decisions.

B. Mitigating circumstances refer to factors that may reduce the severity or consequences of a situation, such as: --

- i. Mitigating circumstances,
- ii. Mental Health,
- iii. Cooperation with Authorities,
- iv. Lack of Criminal History,
- v. Remorse and Accountability,
- vi. Age and Immaturity,
- vii. Provocation or Self-Defence,
- viii. Adverse Life Circumstances,
- ix. Restitution.

C. Mitigating circumstances are evaluated on a case-by-case basis and can influence legal decisions, including sentencing and penalties. They aim to ensure that justice is fair and consider the individual circumstances of each case.

- **Our Comprehensive Explanation of Time Limits**

This section provides a thorough explanation of time limits according to UK law. We delve into the significance of the Offenders Act 1974 and the Limitation Act 1980, which govern time limits in both civil and criminal law contexts.

D. Time Limits Walkin Info: --

1) In this section, we will delve into two significant aspects of UK law, namely the “**Offenders Act 1974**” and “**The Limitation Act 1980.**”

E. The Significance of These Laws:

2) Both the “**Offenders Act 1974**” and “**The Limitation Act 1980**” serve as crucial legal frameworks governing time limits within both civil and criminal law contexts. These laws impose statutory time restrictions on UK Law Enforcement Agencies, covering various aspects of the legal system, such as:

- **Police Time Limits in Civil Law**

Focusing on civil law enforcement, this section covers time limits related to prompt evidence disclosure and the protection of human rights.

- F. Disclosure:** --

- 1) Law enforcement agencies are legally obligated to promptly disclose relevant evidence to the defence. Failing to do so can lead to delays and potential legal consequences for cases.

- G. Human Rights Act 1998:** --

- 2) This act integrates the European Convention on Human Rights into UK law, ensuring that individuals do not face unreasonable delays in the criminal justice process, upholding the right to a fair and timely trial.

- **Police Time Limits in Criminal Law**

Here, we explore various time limits applicable to the criminal law process. Topics include detention time limits and the timely execution of search warrants. We also emphasize the importance of timely evidence disclosure and proper interview recording.

- H. Criminal Law Aspects:** --

- 1) Within the realm of criminal law, various time limits apply to different stages of the legal process, including:
 - I. Detention Time Limits:** Under the “**Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)**,” individuals can be detained without charge for up to 24 hours. This period can extend to 36 hours with authorization from a senior officer and up to 96 hours with judicial approval.
 - II. Search Warrant Execution:** Time limits apply to the execution of search warrants to ensure efficient and lawful investigations.
 - III. Arrests, Filing Charges:** Police generally have a maximum of 24 hours after an arrest to either charge the individual, release them, or request an extension of detention.
 - IV. Release of Evidence:** Timely disclosure of evidence is essential for fair trials and legal proceedings.
 - V. Recording Interviews:** While there are no specific time limits for conducting interviews, prompt interviews are conducted with consideration for the welfare of those involved.
 - VI. Investigation Time:** The duration of a police investigation varies widely depending on case complexity, with no fixed time limits.
 - VII. Review and Scrutiny:** The Crown Prosecution Service (CPS) may review cases at different investigation and prosecution stages. While there are no set time limits, timely reviews are essential for efficient legal processes.
 - VIII. Criminal Procedure Rules:** These rules guide criminal cases and include time limits for various court process stages, such as document service and application submissions. These limits vary based on specific case circumstances.

- **Differences and Examples**

This section provides illustrative examples to highlight the differences in time limits. Scenarios discussed include the erasure of data from criminal records, situations where individuals may become claimants against government officials, and the time limits for disclosing criminal records for employment purposes.

- 2 For Example, One:** --

- I. The “**European Convention of Human Rights**” does not specify a “**Time Limit**” for erasing data from a “**UK ACRO Association of Chief Police Officers Criminal Records Office Report.**”

- 3 For Example, Two:**

- I. In situations where a Criminal Aspect arises due to Government Officials' actions, the affected individual may become a Claimant against the accused.

4 For Example, Three:

I. When disclosing Criminal Records for purposes such as Employment, “**Time Limits**” known as “**Spent Convictions**” come into play.

LIMITATION PERIODS

[Exhibit C]

1.	Class of claim	Limitation period
2.	Fraudulent breach of trust	None (LA 1980, s. 21(1))
3.	Recovery of land	12 years (LA 1980, s. 15(1))
4.	Recovery of money secured by mortgage	12 years (LA 1980, s. 20(1))
5.	Specialty	12 years (LA 1980, s. 8(1))
6.	Recovery of money due under statute	6 years (LA 1980, s. 9(1))
7.	Enforcement of a judgment	6 years (LA 1980, s. 24(1))
8.	Contract	6 years (LA 1980, s. 5)
9.	Recovery of trust property and breach of trust	6 years (LA 1980, s. 21(3))
10.	Recovery of arrears of rent	6 years (LA 1980, s. 19)
11.	Tort (except those listed below) Note: This includes claims under s. 2(1) of the Misrepresentation Act 1967	6 years (LA 1980, s. 2)
12.	Defective Premises Act 1972 (DPA 1972) claims	6 years (DPA 1972, s. 1(B))
13.	Personal injury claims	3 years (LA 1980, s. 11(4))
14.	Fatal Accident Act 1976 claims	3 years (LA 1980, s. 12(2))
15.	Claims under the Consumer Protection Act 1987	3 years (LA 1980, s. 11 A)
16.	Carriage by Air Act 1961 (CAA 1961) claims	2 years (CAA 1961, Sched. 1)
17.	Claims for personal injury or damage to vessel, cargo, or	2 years (Merchant Shipping Act 1995, s. 190(3) and
18.	property at sea	Sched. 6)
19.	Disqualification of company directors	2 years (Company Directors Disqualification Act 1986, s. 7(2))
20.	Contribution under the Civil Liability (Contribution) Act 1978	2 years (LA 1980, s. 10(1))
21.	Contributions under the Maritime Conventions Act 1911	1 year (Merchant Shipping Act 1995, s. 190(4))
22.	Carriage of Goods by Road Act 1965 (CGRA 1965)	claims 1 year (CGRA 1965, Art. 32(1))
23.	Defamation and malicious falsehood	1 year (LA 1980, s.4A)

24.	Applications for judicial review	3 months (CPR54.5)
25.	Unfair dismissal under the Employment Rights Act 1996 (ERA 1996)	3 months (ERA 1996, s. 111(2))
26.	Applications for new business tenancies under the	Not less than 2 months nor more than 4 months (LTA
27.	Landlord and Tenant Act 1954 (LTA 1954)	1954, s. 29(3))
28.	Actions for an account	Period applicable to claim on which account is based (LA 1980, s. 23)

WHICH LIMITATION PERIOD APPLIES TO ACTION?

- 1) It is sometimes difficult to decide which category a particular case may fall. It is possible that the nature of the claim itself may affect the application of a limitation period. If the action arises from fraudulent behaviour, the Court will consider whether it was the fraudulent behaviour of a party or of another. Where the fraud is that of a person who is not a party, then the defendant will normally be able to rely on a limitation period applying. But if the fraudulent behaviour is that of a party, then it is more likely that the Court will decide that no limitation period applies. Claims that are a mixture of tort and contract can also cause difficulties.
- 2) A full examination of the more complex issues arising from limitations is outside the scope of this manual, but any legal representative acting in an action in which 'limitation' issues arise will need to examine the law applying in detail (see *Blackstone's Civil Practice* in this regard).
- 3) The Court has a discretion to disapply the limitation period in personal injury actions under s. 33 of LA **1980**. In these circumstances, the Court will decide whether it would be equitable and whether it would be prejudicial to the defendant, considering all of the circumstances of the case. There may be good reasons not to rely on a limitation defence, where a fair trial can still take place despite the delay. Two recent cases have considered the application of s. 33 LA—in *Kara Rayner v Wolfe stans* (A firm), **Medway NHS Foundation Trust [2015] EWHC 2957 (QB)**, the Judge allowed the Now Claimant to proceed with her personal injury claim seven years after the statutory period of limitation had expired where the Judge found that the Now Claimant had been prejudiced by delays not of her making. This case is a clear example of the Court helping a 'deserving' Now Claimant. In *Collins v Secretary of State for Business Innovation & Skills [2013]* the Court would not exercise its discretion, as it decided that the evidence was dodgy and unreliable, and there would be real prejudice to the defendant if the limitation period did not apply.

Exceptions in the Limitation Act

- 4) The Limitation Act **1980** does hold exceptions to the rules discussed above. There are two exceptions that may be relevant to claims against the police. Firstly, time does not begin to run against a minor until he or she reaches the age of eighteen. Thus, if the alleged police misconduct occurred during the Now Claimant's childhood the applicable limitation period would not start to run until he or she reached adulthood. **Yes**
- 5) Secondly, the running of the proper limitation period faces delays where any fact relevant to the Now Claimant's right of action is "concealed deliberately from him or her by the defendant." In these circumstances the period runs from the time when the Now Claimant discovers the concealment or from the point when he or she could have discovered it by using reasonable diligence. A deliberate breach of duty in circumstances where it is unlikely discovered for time amounts to deliberate concealment for these purposes. Deliberate concealment therefore covers intentional wrongdoing that, by its nature, is unlikely to be discovered for a considerable period of time, if the wrongdoer does nothing to draw it to the

Now Claimant's attention.⁷¹ Accordingly, this concept could cover police misconduct that was not readily apparent to the Now Claimant at the time but emerges subsequently, for example if; officers pressurised or induced a third party falsely to incriminate the Now Claimant. In this instance the Now Claimant would know from the outset that the testimony incriminating him or her was false, but he or she would not necessarily appreciate that this stemmed from improper police behaviour. The deliberate concealment must relate to a fact that forms part of the 'right of action,' as opposed to those which simply strengthen an existing case. So, it would be difficult for the Now Claimant to obtain an advantage from this statutory provision in a false imprisonment claim, as the cause of action is complete when the detention occurs and any subsequently discovered facts would bolster an existing claim, rather than create a fresh cause of action. In contrast, in a malicious Prosecution claim, a lack of reasonable and probable cause for the Prosecution and malice on the part of the wrongdoer are intrinsic elements of the cause of action; thus, subsequent discovered police misconduct relating to those issues may well be facts relevant to the right of action, so that the running limitation period is postponed until they came to light.

Human Rights Act claims

- 6)** The limitation period for bringing proceedings against a public authority under the Human Rights Act **1998** is short. Proceedings brought must be before the end of one year beginning with the date on which the act complained of took place. However, there is provision for a longer period if the 'Court or tribunal considers it equitable having regard to all the circumstances. The one-year period is subject to any rule imposing a stricter time limit in relation to the procedure in question. Thus, for example, a judicial review application which relied upon breaches of the Human Rights Act **1998** would be subject to the usual three-month time period applicable to such claims.⁸ However, where a person does not bring proceedings against a public authority but merely seeks to rely on his or her rights under the European Convention of Human Rights in relation to legal proceedings brought by others, no limitation period is imposed by the Human Rights Act **1998**.**S1**

Discrimination claims

- 7)** The time limit for bringing proceedings under the Race Relations Act **1976** is within six months less one day from the date of the act complained of "It is possible to obtain a two-month extension when a claim to the Commission exists for Racial Equality for help within the six-month period. The Commission can grant a further month's extension if the application is considered. The six-month period for bringing a claim does not begin to run until the conclusion of 'an act extending over a period'. The Court has a discretion to extend the time limit for bringing discrimination claims where it considers it 'just and equitable to do so. Similar limitation provisions apply in relation to discrimination on the grounds of sex and disability.
- 8)** There may be instances where the same facts give rise to different limitation periods. For example, if a person is stopped and searched in a manner which gives rise to a potential claim under the Race Relations Act **1976** and is then prosecuted, but the proceedings are not concluded until more than six months after the initial incident, consideration should be given to issuing proceedings in the county Court under the Act and then staying these proceedings pending the outcome of the criminal case. The priority for the potential Now Claimant may well be to secure an acquittal on the criminal case and he or she may not wish to aggravate the police or CPS by alerting them to a potential race case. In these circumstances it is open to the adviser to issue proceedings within the initial six-month period, but to delay serving them until the conclusion of the criminal matter.

Persons under disabilities

- 9)** Where the Now Claimant is a person under a disability, being either a child or a protected party ' (see paragraphs 7.3.1 and 7.3.2), the limitation period does not start to run until:

--

- A. if a child, from the date of the child's 18th birthday.
- B. if a protected party, if they were of unsound mind at the time of the cause of action “or the unsound mind was caused by the cause of action,” from the date on which they are no longer of unsound mind (whenever that may be medically certified). If the person was of sound mind at the time of the cause of action, the limitation period will continue to run.

Fraud, concealment, and mistakes

- 10)** In claims based on fraud, the limitation period does not begin to run until the Now Claimant discovers (or could, with reasonable diligence, have discovered) the fraud. The limitation period will also not run whilst the defendant deliberately conceals a relevant fact. Where the claim is for relief from the consequences of a mistake, time does not run until the claimant discovered the mistake or could have discovered it with reasonable diligence.

Latent damage

- 11)** The Latent Damage Act **1986** created greater fairness in situations in which the limitation period may expire before a party is even aware that a claim exists. In claims in tort (other than for personal injuries), the Latent Damage Act **1986** provides new sections (inserted into the LA **1980, ss. 14A and 14B**). The provisions added to the LA **1980** by the **1986** Act provide two periods of limitation: one that is six years from accrual (the usual period for claims in tort), and another that is three years from the 'starting date'—that is, the earliest date at which the Now Claimant knew that the relevant damage was sufficiently serious to justify proceedings, enabling a claim to subsist, and when it could be attributed to the act of negligence and the identity of the defendant.
- 12)** To prevent defendants being potentially 'at risk' of a claim indefinitely, s. 14B of LA **1980** provides a long-stop period for bringing proceedings of 15 years from the act or omission alleged to constitute the negligence causing the Now Claimant's damage.

The discretionary extension of limitation periods

- Discretionary provisions to extend the statutory limitation period apply in:
- judicial review proceedings “the three-month time limit can be extended if good reasons are shown.”

Whistle Blowing Officers!

- b)** Whistle Blowing officer.

Whistle Blowing Officers!

a) Whistle Blowing Officers!

- 1/** The nominated Whistle Blowing officer of the **Enfield Council** should review the legitimacy of the registered suspicion and then liaise as necessary with the Directing chain of command and at
 - **When A Whistle Blowing Officer Receives a Suspicion There Are 3 Step to Follow:**
 - a)** No action necessary, update database, close case and there will be no referral.
 - b)** Referral to the Assistant Director of CFPS who will appoint an investigator.

- c) Further internal investigation needed – this may lead to no action or an investigation.

False pretense

2/ “In the Table at The Bottom of This Document Below is **Exhibit *****.**”

- **Physical Evidence**

3/ If there is any physical evidence the employee or Manager should seize this, if possible.

4/ It is essential that this is secure, and a record kept of the time and place the information was documented.

5/ This is all shown in my diary.

- **Collection of Evidence**

6/ If evidence consists of more than one item, for example more than one document, each one should easily be identifiable with a reference number corresponding to the written record.

7/ This is all shown in my diary.

- **Witnesses**

8/ Case handlers of complaints should talk through the disclosed information with the witness to see if they are right and complete a record kept of any discussions.

We have added Additional Whistle Blowing Notes in the following files:

1. New Draft 2024 Si Notes 16-04-24

The Right to a Fair Trial
R v Horncastle and others
Exhibit L

- The principal issue raised by these appeals are: -
 - a) whether a conviction based “solely or to a decisive extent” on the statement of a witness whom the defendant has had no chance of cross-examining necessarily infringes the defendant’s right to a fair trial under articles 6(1) and 6(3)(d) which provide: “
 - b) In the Judge s determination of The Now Claimants civil rights their obligations are of any criminal charge against him, every person’s entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal set up by law.
 - c) Everyone charged with a criminal offence has the following minimum rights: . . .
 - d) to examine or have examined witnesses against him and to obtain the attendance and examination of witnesses on his behalf under the same conditions as witnesses against him.”

SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES

D. Understanding Special Circumstances:

- 1 Special Circumstances refer to factors that deviate from the ordinary or typical conditions and can significantly impact a situation. These factors are unique or exceptional in nature and are considered in various contexts, such as:
 - i. Emergency Situations
 - ii. Legal Exceptions
 - iii. Humanitarian Considerations
 - iv. National Security
 - v. Medical Emergencies
 - vi. Public Health Crises
 - vii. Acts of God
 - viii. Technological Advancements

E. Tailored Responses:

- 1 Special circumstances typically require tailored responses or considerations, often involving legal, policy, or administrative adjustments to address specific challenges.

REMOVING SPENT CONVICTIONS



F. The Removal of Spent Convictions 😊

- 1 In the United Kingdom, there are specific circumstances under which time-spent cases can be erased or expunged from an individual's criminal record. Here are some potential scenarios for having cases removed from an ACRO report in the UK:
 - i. **Wrongful Conviction:** If an individual was wrongfully convicted and subsequently exonerated through new evidence, the wrongful conviction should be removed from the ACRO report.
 - ii. **The Right to Rehabilitation:** a. The Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974: This act in the UK allows certain convictions to become "spent" after a specified period, which means they no longer need to be disclosed.

iii. The Right to Erasure:

In terms of data storage or archiving, the UK's Data Protection Act 2018 and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provide individuals with a right to request the deletion or removal of personal data where there is no compelling reason for its continued processing.

This includes data related to spent convictions.

However, the process may not be automatic and individuals may need to make a formal request.

- 2 **Understanding Spent Convictions:** A spent conviction is a criminal record that, after a certain period of time, becomes legally 'spent' and doesn't need to be disclosed in most circumstances. The idea behind spent convictions is to give individuals who have made mistakes in the past a second chance, allowing them to reintegrate into society without the burden of a criminal record.
- 3 **Rehabilitation Period:** The rehabilitation period varies depending on the nature and severity of the offense. In the UK, the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 dictates when a conviction becomes spent. For example, a conviction resulting in a prison sentence of 4 years or more will never become spent. For shorter sentences, the rehabilitation period may be shorter. During the rehabilitation period, the individual must demonstrate good behaviour and not commit any further offenses.
- 4 **Removal of Spent Convictions from an ACRO Report:** ACRO is an organization that maintains the Police National Computer (PNC), which holds criminal record information. ACRO reports are often used for background checks by employers, visa applications, and other purposes. Once a conviction becomes spent according to the legal framework, there is generally no obligation to disclose it, and it can be removed from the ACRO report. However, the process of removal may not happen automatically. The individual may need to apply for the removal of spent convictions from their ACRO report.
 - i. **Applying for Removal:** The process for applying for the "Removal of Spent Convictions" from an ACRO report typically involves submitting an application to ACRO or the Disclosure and Barring Service (DBS) in the UK. The applicant needs to provide details of the conviction, including dates, location, and case numbers, and may need to pay a fee. ACRO or DBS will review the application, verify the spent status of the conviction, and, if eligible, remove it from the report.
 - ii. **Exceptions:** Certain professions and roles, such as working with vulnerable individuals or in law enforcement, may require individuals to disclose spent convictions. **Spent convictions might not be removed from an ACRO report if they fall under these exceptions.**
 - iii. **Impact on Individuals:** The removal of spent convictions from an ACRO report can be crucial for individuals seeking employment, housing, or visas, as it allows them to move forward without the stigma of a past offense. It supports the principles of rehabilitation and reintegration into society.
- 5 **Youth Offenses:** For cases involving offenses committed by individuals under the age of 18, there are provisions in the law to have these records sealed or become spent after a certain number of years, depending on the severity of the offense.
- 6 **Non-Conviction Records:** Cases marked as "NFA" (No Further Action) or "Not Guilty" should typically be removed from the ACRO report, as they indicate that no conviction occurred.
- 7 **Pardons:** If an individual receives a pardon or a royal prerogative of mercy, the associated convictions can be removed from the ACRO report.

- 8 **Human Rights Violations:** Cases that, if retained, would constitute a violation of an individual's human rights or cases that could lead to unjust discrimination, may be eligible for removal.
- 9 **Data Protection Laws:** The UK's Data Protection Act and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) provide individuals with rights to request the erasure of their personal data in certain situations, which can include the removal of old or irrelevant criminal records.
- 10 **Record Expungement Laws:** The UK may introduce specific laws or policies regarding the expungement or removal of certain types of convictions.
- 11 **Protection of Vulnerable Groups (PVG) Scheme:** In Scotland, certain convictions can be removed from an individual's PVG Scheme record if they meet specific criteria.

Pre-Copilot Chat with Bing

Yes, the information you provided is correct and I can provide some updates based on the latest changes in the law.

[The Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 \(ROA\)](#) in the UK dictates when a conviction becomes spent¹. [The rehabilitation periods depend on the sentence given or disposal administered as a result of a conviction and the age of the individual on the date they are convicted¹.](#)

[As of 28 October 2023, the government legislation has updated the rehabilitation periods¹. For example, a custodial sentence of more than 4 years \(not for a schedule 18 offence\) becomes spent at the end of the period of 7 years beginning with the day on which the sentence \(including any licence period\) is completed¹.](#)

[However, certain sentences are excluded from rehabilitation and will always be disclosed¹. These include sentences of: -- imprisonment for life, sentences of imprisonment, youth custody, detention in a young offender institution or corrective training of over four years for a schedule 18 offence, sentences of preventive detention, sentences of detention at His Majesty's pleasure, sentences of custody for life, and public protection sentences¹.](#)

[The process for applying for the removal of spent convictions typically involves submitting an application to ACRO or the Disclosure and Barring Service \(DBS\) in the UK². The applicant needs to provide details of the conviction, including dates, location, and case numbers, and may need to pay a fee². ACRO or DBS will review the application, verify the spent status of the conviction, and, if eligible, remove it from the report².](#)

[Certain professions and roles, such as working with vulnerable individuals or in law enforcement, may require individuals to disclose spent convictions². Spent convictions might not be removed from an ACRO report if they fall under these exceptions².](#)

[The removal of spent convictions from an ACRO report can be crucial for individuals seeking employment, housing, or visas, as it allows them to move forward without the stigma of a past offense². It supports the principles of rehabilitation and reintegration into society².](#)

[For cases involving offenses committed by individuals under the age of 18, there are provisions in the law to have these records sealed or become spent after a certain number of years, depending on the severity of the offense². Cases marked as “NFA” \(No Further Action\) or “Not Guilty” should typically be removed from the ACRO report, as they indicate that no conviction occurred². If an individual receives a pardon or a royal prerogative of mercy, the associated convictions can be removed from the ACRO report². Cases that, if retained, would constitute a violation of an individual’s human rights or cases that could lead to unjust discrimination, may be eligible for removal². The UK’s Data Protection Act and General Data Protection Regulation \(GDPR\) provide individuals with rights to request the erasure of their personal data in certain situations, which can include the removal of old or irrelevant criminal records². The UK may introduce specific laws or policies regarding the expungement or removal of certain types of convictions². In Scotland, certain convictions can be removed from an individual’s PVG Scheme record if they meet specific criteria².](#)

Sure, I can provide a timestamp for our conversation. The conversation started on **Thursday, 16 November 2023 at 18:06:27 GMT**. P

what is a complete explanation of all UK schedule 18 offences

[Schedule 18 of the Sentencing Act 2020 in the UK lists “specified offences” for the purposes of section 306¹². These offences are categorized into three parts: violent offences, sexual offences, and terrorism offences¹.](#)

Here’s a brief explanation of how these offences is treated:

- These provisions are engaged when:
 - A defendant is convicted of a “specified offence”
 - The defendant is deemed “dangerous”
 - A sentence of imprisonment for life is not available or justified
 - [The offender has a previous conviction for an offence listed in Schedule 14 SA 2020, or the current offence justifies an appropriate custodial term of at least four years¹](#)
- Section 306 SA 2020 provides that a “specified offence” is a violent, sexual, or terrorism offence listed in Schedule 18 SA 2020. [This includes a wide range of indictable offences which fall within these three categories¹.](#)
- [Part 1 of Schedule 18 SA 2020 lists violent offences, Part 2 lists sexual offences, and Part 3 lists terrorist offences¹.](#)
- [The court must assess whether there is a significant risk to members of the public of serious harm occasioned by the commission by the offender of further specified offences¹.](#)

For a complete list of offences under Schedule 18, you can refer to the [Sentencing Act 2020](#) on the UK Legislation website. Please note that this is a complex legal document and may require legal expertise to fully understand. If you need legal advice, please consult a legal professional.

For the Council's & Other Removals of Data

[Under the General Data Protection Regulation \(GDPR\), individuals have the right to request the deletion or removal of personal data where there is no compelling reason for its continued processing and this includes data related to spent convictions.](#)

Here's a brief overview of the process:

1. **Recognizing a Request:** The first step is to recognize when a request for erasure has been made. [This can be done verbally or in writing¹.](#)
2. **Responding to the Request:** Once a request has been made, the [organization has one month to respond¹. They must also have processes in place to ensure that they respond to a request for erasure without undue delay¹.](#)
3. **Evaluating the Request:** The right to erasure is not absolute and [only applies in certain circumstances¹. For example, individuals have the right to have their personal data erased if the personal data is no longer necessary for the purpose which it was originally collected or processed for¹.](#)
4. **Executing the Erasure:** If the request is valid, the organization must erase the personal data. [They should have appropriate methods in place to erase information¹.](#)
5. **Informing Others:** [If the organization has shared the personal data with others, they must inform them about the erasure of the personal data¹.](#)

Please note that this is a general guideline and the actual process may vary depending on the specific circumstances of each case. Always consult with a legal professional for advice tailored to your specific circumstances.

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

TABLE OF CONTENTS
LETTER OF CLAIM FOR THE PNC
ACRO REPORT

THE CLAIMANTS BRIEF WALKING

8. DETAILS

A. Claim Against.....	3
B. Letter.....	3
C. Dates.....	3

9. THE CLAIMANT RIGHT TO DELETION

E. As A Civil Citizen of The United Kingdom.....	3
F. The Right to Erasure.....	3
G. The Police When Processing the Now Claimant's Personal Data.....	3

THE CLAIMANTS
REASONS FOR REQUEST

10. REASONS FOR THE REQUEST

A. In Between The Years Of.....	3
B. The Police Have Not Met the Required Safeguard Procedures.....	3
C. The Police Have a Legal Obligation to The Claimant.....	3
D. Fraud.....	3
E. Compliance with GDPR.....	3
F. Data Minimization.....	3
G. Data Subject Control.....	3
H. Transparency.....	3
I. Legal Compliance.....	3
J. Data Security.....	3
K. Data Retention Policies.....	3
L. Reputation and Trust.....	3

THE CLAIMANTS
EXHIBITED FILES

11. ABOUT THE FILE TYPES WE EXHIBIT

B. This Files Types We Exhibit Include..... 3

A BREAK DOWN OF THE EXTERNAL FILE STRUCTURE ATTACHED

12. FILES: (0. Claim-Letter-27-08-23)

L. Folder

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

M. Folder: (1. Dates-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

N. Folder: (2. PNC-Error-Links-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

O. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 1 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** Folder One: message-1-65367
- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

P. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 2 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65368
- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

Q. Folder: (3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 3 of 6:

- **Folder-Path:** Folder One: PNC Original Files **Folder-Path:** message-1-65369
- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

R. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 4 of 6:

Folder-Path: (5. Police-PNC-Record-Emails-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

S. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 5 of 6:

Folder-Path: (6. Police-PNC-Record-Emails-Additional Files-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

T. Folder-(3. Original-Court-Application-Case-Files-27-08-23) Route 6 of 6:

Folder-Path: (1. PNC-Record-Emails-INDEX27-08-23)

- **Contents:**
- b. **File Explanation:**

U. Folder: (4. PNC-Workout-File-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

b. **File Explanation:**

V. Folder: (7. Simulation-27-08-23)

- **Contents:**

b. **File Explanation:**

**THE CLAIMANTS
GENERAL INFORMATION**

13. INFORMATION

A. This Document Is in Pursuit for A.....	3
B. The Herein Context.....	3
C. The Years.....	3

14. WHAT IS BEING REQUESTED BY THE CLAIMANT

B. The Requests We Make Are.....	3
----------------------------------	---

LETTER OF CLAIM FOR A PNC ACRO REPORT

15. PLEASE DO NOT IGNORE THIS EMAIL

A. Dear Official Persons of Relevance.....	3
--	---

16. OUR LISTED AS LIABLE

1) Metropolitan Police Force.....	3
2) Enfield Council	3
3) Neighbourhood Watch Team.....	3
4) Enfield Homes.....	3
5) National Health Services NHS.....	3

OUR REQUEST SHEET

17. Our Request Sheet: --

E. About Our Request Sheet:	3
F. National Systems - Deletion (PNC, IDENT1, NDNAD)	3
G. PNC Record Amendments.....	3
H. Local Systems Deletion:	3
I. Local Systems – Amendment - Of Information Contained Within:	3

18. About Police Identification Markers:

C. About police identification markers.....	3
---	---

19. About The Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police:

C. About Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police:.....	3
---	---

IDENTIFICATION MARKERS

1 OF 1

20. IDENTIFICATION MARKERS 1 OF 1: --

A. Personal Description Erasure Request.....	3
B. Driver Details Erasure Request.....	3
C. Aliases Alias 1 Erasure Request.....	3
D. Alias Dates of Birth Erasure Request.....	3
E. Warning Signals Erasure Request.....	3
F. Information Markers Erasure Request.....	3
G. Marks And Scars Erasure Request.....	3
H. Photograph Locations Erasure Request.....	3
I. Identity Numbers Erasure Request.....	3
J. Passports Erasure Request.....	3
K. Occupations Erasure Request.....	3
L. Habitual Dress Erasure Request.....	3
M. Jewellery Erasure Request.....	3
N. Other Details Erasure Request.....	3
O. DNA Report Erasure Request.....	3

SUMMARY

One

21. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS SO FAR:

A. Our Comprehensive Assessment.....	3
--------------------------------------	---

ARRESTS AND INTERRUPTIONS

MADE BY POLICE

22. ARRESTS AND INTERRUPTIONS MADE BY POLICE 1 OF 1: --

1) Arrest / Summon / 2021 Acro = 19/0000/00/592734E Erasure Request.....	3
2) Arrest / Acro = 18/01YD/01/8306E Erasure Request... *****	3
3) Arrest / Acro = 18/01YD/01/4274X Erasure Request.....	3
4) Arrest / Acro = 18/01YD/01/1984H Erasure Request.....	3
5) Arrest / Acro = 18/01YD/01/259H Erasure Request.....	3
6) Arrest / Acro = 16/01YE/01/3890G Erasure Request.....	3
7) Arrest / Acro = 16/01YD/01/6024B Erasure Request.....	3
8) Arrest / Acro = 13/0000/00/625125U Erasure Request.....	3
9) Arrest / Acro = 13/0000/00/484853Z Erasure Request.....	3
10) Arrest / Acro = 13/01HT/01/1537C Erasure Request.....	3
11) Arrest / Acro = 13/01KW/01/669J Erasure Request.....	3
12) Arrest / Acro = 11/01YE/01/5200L Erasure Request.....	3
13) Arrest / Acro = 10/01YE/01/7294N Erasure Request.....	3
14) Arrest / Acro = 10/01GN/01/2357X Erasure Request.....	3
15) Arrest / Acro = 09/0000/00/929328D Erasure Request.....	3
16) Arrest / Acro = 09/22EA/01/155U Erasure Request.....	3
17) Arrest / Acro = 09/23N3/01/1708M Erasure Request.....	3
18) Arrest / Acro = 09/0000/00/530252A Erasure Request.....	3
19) Arrest / Acro = 09/0000/00/528079N Erasure Request.....	3
20) Arrest / Acro = 09/0000/00/174108M Erasure Request.....	3
21) Arrest / Acro = 09/01YF/01/152B Erasure Request.....	3
22) Arrest / Acro = 09/01LD/01/27Q Erasure Request.....	3
23) Arrest / Acro = 08/01YT/01/4253K Erasure Request.....	3
24) Arrest / Acro = 08/01YE/01/4408D Erasure Request.....	3
25) Arrest / Acro = 08/01YE/01/1626E Erasure Request.....	3

26) Arrest / Acro = 07/01YE/01/24943A Erasure Request.....	3
27) Arrest / Acro = 07/48C6/01/4660J Erasure Request.....	3
28) Arrest /Acro = 07/01YT/01/34813D Erasure Request.....	3
29) Arrest / Acro = 07/01YE/01/22350G Erasure Request.....	3
30) Arrest / Acro = 07/41HQ/01/22486X Erasure Request.....	3
31) Arrest / Acro = 07/41HQ/01/8820T Erasure Request.....	3
32) Arrest / Acro = 06/0000/00/1629163X Erasure Request.....	3
33) Arrest / Acro = 06/0000/00/1252088H Erasure Request.....	3
34) Arrest / Acro = 06/0000/00/912763B Erasure Request.....	3
35) Arrest / Acro = 06/41HQ/01/15207L Erasure Request.....	3
36) Arrest / Acro = 06/0000/00/397207P Erasure Request.....	3
37) Arrest / Acro = 06/0000/00/113215C Erasure Request.....	3
38) Arrest / Acro = 05/41HQ/01/24737W Erasure Request.....	3
39) Arrest / Acro = 05/41HQ/01/5704H Erasure Request.....	3
40) Arrest / 2Acro = 04/0000/00/1281346M Erasure Request.....	3
41) Arrest / Acro = 04/0000/00/480133D Erasure Request.....	3
42) Arrest / Acro = 04/0000/00/1054471J Erasure Request.....	3
43) Arrest / Acro = 02/0000/00/999308B Erasure Request.....	3
44) Arrest / Acro = 02/0000/00/556175K Erasure Request.....	3
45) Arrest / Acro = 02/0000/00/331835M Erasure Request.....	3
46) Arrest / Acro = 00/0000/00/1139706K Erasure Request.....	3
47) Arrest / Acro = 00/0000/00/740128L Erasure Request.....	3
48) Arrest / Acro = 00/0000/00/136789H Erasure Request.....	3
49) Arrest / Acro = 99/0000/00/960881C Erasure Request.....	3
50) Arrest / Acro = 99/0000/00/336639D Erasure Request.....	3
51) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/989685M Erasure Request.....	3
52) Arrest / Acro = 99/0000/00/326472C Erasure Request.....	3
53) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/462560A Erasure Request.....	3
54) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/388582P Erasure Request.....	3
55) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/295516F Erasure Request.....	3
56) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/227669J Erasure Request.....	3
57) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/1006737X Erasure Request.....	3
58) Arrest / Acro = 98/0000/00/5553D Erasure Request.....	3
59) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/964137U Erasure Request.....	3
60) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/952126N Erasure Request.....	3
61) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/823525E Erasure Request.....	3
62) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/768545U Erasure Request.....	3
63) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/736197H Erasure Request.....	3
64) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/236370T Erasure Request.....	3
65) Arrest / Acro = 97/0000/00/193878F Erasure Request.....	3

23. WHAT THIS DOCUMENT PROVES THE POLICE TO BE LIABLE FOR:

G. Breach of Data Protection Laws.....	3
H. Violation of Human Rights.....	3
I. Legal Accountability.....	3
J. Homicide in the UK.....	3
K. Accountability for Police Actions.....	3
L. Upholding Legal and Ethical Standards.....	3

RELATED POLICIES,

PROCEDURES

AND

OTHER DOCUMENTS

24. THIS DOCUMENTS AIM: --

- D. To Ensure United Kingdom Claimants Legal Rights Stay..... 3**
- E. To Use My Abilities Ingrained in Me as A United Kingdom Citizen To..... 3**
- F. To Uphold the Ease-of-Use In..... 3**

THIS DOCUMENTS

APPLICABILITY

25. THIS DOCUMENTS APPLICABILITY: --

- B. All Representatives of The Police That Being Inclusive of Their Police Officers, Public Servants, And Any Other Staff Such As..... 3**

THIS DOCUMENTS

LEGAL BASIS AND DRIVING FORCE

26. THIS DOCUMENTS LEGAL BASIS AND DRIVING FORCE: --

- G. A Morden United Kingdom..... 3**
- H. Data Protection Act 2018..... 3**
- I. General Data Protection Regulations..... 3**

RELATED POLICIES,

PROCEDURES

AND

OTHER DOCUMENTS

27. RELATED POLICIES, PROCEDURES AND OTHER DOCUMENTS: --

- K. General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)..... 3**
- L. Data Protection Act 2018..... 3**
- M. The Privacy and Electronic Communications Regulations (PECR).... 3**
- N. The Network and Information Systems Regulations 2018 (NIS Regulations) 3**
- O. The Investigatory Powers Act 2016..... 3**
- P. The Freedom of Information Act 2000..... 3**
- Q. The Environmental Information Regulations 2004..... 3**
- R. The Human Rights Act 1998..... 3**
- S. The Information Commissioner's Office (ICO) Codes of Practice..... 3**
- T. The UK Data Protection Authority (Information Commissioner's Office) 3**

SPECIAL

CIRCUMSTANCES

- G. Understanding Special Circumstances..... 3**
- H. Tailored Responses..... 3**

REMOVING

SPENT CONVICTIONS

I. The Removal of Spent Convictions 😊.....Updated 16-11-23...Read after Index then start.... 3

WHO TO CONTACT

28. WHO TO CONTACT ABOUT THIS OFFICIAL DOCUMENT

C. For All Queries Relating to This Official Document..... 3

D. We attach the details of the named above as forth with..... 3

RECOVERY OF THE CLAIMANT'S LOSSES

29. Police Arrests:

B. *****..... 3

30. Police NFA Number:

B. *****..... 3

31. Bail Dates Pertaining Towards NFA:

B. *****..... 3

32. Total arrest in 2012:

B. *****..... 3

33. Total arrest in 2013:

B. *****..... 3

34. Total arrest in 2014:

B. *****..... 3

END

Particulars of Claim

☒ Attached

☐ To Follow

Claim no.

Note: you are reminded that a copy of this claim form must be served on all other parties.

ATTACHED PART: 2 OF 5.

To be used

- **Response sent Via Email To:**
- **Subject marked as belongs with the attention for:**
- **Requested Note:**

