



On Friday 10 October 2025 at 10:37:19 BST, Neil Allan <allann@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Dear Mr Cordell,

I have tried to call you this morning but was unable to get through to you.

I have received your messages about your case.

I have been informed that you have published case details about your case(s), and case documents including witness statements (which includes material that could lead to the identification of witnesses).

Further, you recorded a recent private meeting with Miss Wendele, and published a transcript of that meeting without Miss Wendele's knowledge or consent.

In these circumstances, and your written complain about how you have been represented, it is not appropriate for us to continue to represent you in your cases and we will have to withdraw from acting for you.

I advise you to seek alternative representation, and apply to transfer your Legal Aid as soon as possible.

Regards,

Neil Allan

Magistrates Court
London Supervisor
T: 07983430259
M: 07983430259

SignatureTranspar
ent-BG.png

39, Warren Street, London, W1T 6AF
Mail: Allann@tuckerssolicitors.com
CJSM: Allann@tuckerssolicitors.com.cjsm.net
www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

Re: Your case Id:COR10388/00001

From: Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To:allann@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date: Friday 10 October 2025 at 12:08 BST

Subject: Re: Case Withdrawal – Misrepresentation of Technical Access and Content Control Dear

Mr. Allan,

I am writing to formally respond to your decision to withdraw representation, which appears to be based on a mischaracterisation of both the nature of the materials I shared and the technical structure of my evidence systems.

Let me clarify the following points:

- **Private Servers:** My private servers—located within my personal residences—host a range of legal and technical documentation, including both public-facing and strictly private materials. These servers are under my exclusive administrative control. No external party has access unless I explicitly grant it. While these servers do contain meeting minutes, forensic rebuttals, and sensitive case materials, none of this content is published or placed in the public domain without my direct authorisation.
- **Public-Facing Website:** The website to which your team was granted access contains contextual background, personal diary entries, and explanatory materials that outline how this case originated. These materials are lawful to share and do not contain any information that compromises the integrity of ongoing proceedings.
- **Link Sharing:** The links I provided to Tuckers Solicitors included a mixture of public and private resources. I trusted that your team would distinguish between the two or, at the very least, seek clarification before drawing conclusions. I did not anticipate such a fundamental misreading of the technical structure or access permissions.
- **Teams Meeting Recording:** The recording in question was made using Microsoft Teams—a platform your firm recommended for our meetings. I relied on its default functionality to ensure accurate minutes for my own legal preparation. At no point was this recording published or distributed. It remains securely stored for evidential integrity. If this is now considered inappropriate, I request a clear legal basis for that position and clarification on whether this standard applies universally to all clients using Teams under your guidance. It is not standard practice to require explicit consent for minute-taking in legal meetings, particularly when the purpose is to ensure accurate self-representation.

I find it deeply concerning that your team has conflated private server storage with public dissemination, and that such a serious decision was taken without first verifying the facts. If there are specific materials you believe were improperly shared, I request that you identify them precisely so I may respond accordingly.

I will begin the Legal Aid transfer process as advised, but I do so under protest. I remain committed to defending myself with transparency, precision, and lawful conduct.

Finally, I wish to express that if Miss Windele felt offended by any aspect of our interaction, I sincerely apologise. That was never my intention. I believed I was following standard legal practice—one that I assumed was mutually understood and, in fact, mirrored by many professionals and organisations.

Please also confirm that you will provide me with the full defence case files prepared to date, including all CPS materials and the outstanding police body-worn video footage previously agreed for disclosure.

Yours sincerely and respectfully,
Simon Paul Cordell

P.S. With only **three days remaining until trial**, I am formally requesting the **full handover of all case files** prepared to date, including:

- My defence bundle
- All CPS materials
- The missing police body-worn camera footage previously agreed for disclosure

Given that Tuckers Solicitors is a 24-hour legal firm and one of the largest in London, I respectfully ask that your team mobilise the necessary resources to complete this final objective **by end of day today or no later than tomorrow**. This is not only reasonable, but also essential to ensure I am not prejudiced any further by neglect in my ability to prepare for trial to some small extent due to these circumstances.

I trust you will treat this request with the urgency and professionalism it deserves.