



Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

From:Rewired Rewired (re_wired@ymail.com)

To:windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com; info@tuckerssolicitors.com; tuckers@tuckerssolicitors.com

Date:Monday 6 October 2025 at 13:38 BST

1. A **top section** explaining the current situation and procedural breakdown.
2. A **new covering message** that references and exhibits the two prior emails.
3. A clear request for urgent action and accountability.

◆ Procedural Breakdown and Solicitor Non-Response – Statement for Court and Disclosure

⚠ Summary of Current Situation

I, Simon Paul Cordell, am preparing for a trial scheduled for **13 November 2025 at 10:00 AM**, under conditions that I believe are procedurally flawed and legally prejudicial. Despite repeated efforts to communicate with my solicitor, **Nicole Windele of Tuckers Solicitors**, I have received no response to two critical emails sent on **2 October** and **3 October 2025**. These emails contain urgent legal clarifications, witness contact details, and requests for disclosure of body-worn video footage and police notebooks.

The lack of response has obstructed my ability to prepare a fair defence. I am now formally exhibiting both emails as part of my case record to ensure they are acknowledged and addressed. I also request that the court take note of the solicitor's failure to act on these communications, which risks undermining my right to a fair trial.

◆ Covering Message – Exhibiting Prior Emails and Requesting Urgent Action

To: windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com

CC: Crown Prosecution Service

From: Simon Paul Cordell (re_wired@ymail.com)

Date: 6 October 2025

Subject: Formal Exhibit of Unanswered Emails and Urgent Disclosure Request

Dear Nicole,

I am formally exhibiting the two emails I sent on **2 October** and **3 October 2025**, which remain unanswered. These communications contain critical legal clarifications, witness contact details, and requests for procedural disclosures that are essential to my defence.

I respectfully request that these emails be acknowledged and addressed immediately. Their contents must be placed before the judge and prosecution, as they form part of my legal rebuttal and demonstrate procedural irregularities that risk a miscarriage of justice.

I also request that my cousin, **Mr. Jamaine Edwards**, be contacted to provide a formal statement. He stayed in my home in 2019 while I was wrongfully detained at Chase Farm Hospital and was attacked after being mistaken for me. His contact number is **07964 889 110**.

Please confirm receipt of this message and provide an update on the following:

- Disclosure of body-worn video footage and police notebooks.
- Progress on the Section 9 witness statements.
- Confirmation that Saheed's statement will be placed before the judge.
- A response to the procedural breakdown outlined in the 2 October email.

I am continuing to prepare additional exhibits and will send them shortly. These materials prove my innocence beyond reasonable doubt and must be reviewed before trial.

Kind regards,
Simon Paul Cordell

◆ Exhibited Emails

📧 Email 1 – Sent 2 October 2025

Subject: Formal Statement: Procedural Breakdown and Legal Clarification

Summary:

- Arrested only for harassment; no caution or interview for Section 4A or Criminal Damage.
- Charges reframed without lawful arrest or interview.
- Disability safeguards ignored after harassment charge dropped.
- Request for disclosure of BWV, notebooks, and interview transcripts.
- Statement date irregularities suggest fabrication.
- Legal summary and request for action included.

📧 Email 2 – Sent 3 October 2025

Subject: Case Update and Urgent Request Regarding Saheed's Statement

Summary:

- GPS bail conditions and mother's illness have disrupted case prep.
- Saheed's statement submitted; request for it to be placed before judge.
- Additional files being prepared.
- Saheed's contact details provided.
- Request for update on Section 9 statement and bodycam footage.
- Trial date set unfairly; risk of miscarriage of justice.

📧 Email 1 – Sent 2 October 2025

Rewired

From:re_wired@ymail.com

To:windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com

Formal Statement: Procedural Breakdown and Legal Clarification

To: My Solicitor and the Crown Prosecution Service

From: Simon Paul Cordell

Date: [Insert Date]

Subject: Clarification of Arrest, Interview Procedure, and Statutory Misapplication

Background and Arrest Context

On 2 August 2025, I was arrested at my home address for the offence of **harassment** under the **Protection from Harassment Act 1997**. This is confirmed in the Section 9 statements provided by **PC Wilson-Wallis** and others. The arresting officer read the caution for harassment only. I was not cautioned or arrested for any other offence.

During the interview, my solicitor submitted a prepared statement denying all allegations. I then exercised my right to silence and answered all questions with "**No comment.**" The interview focused solely on the Harassment Allegation.

It is important to note that the offence of **Section 4A Public Order Act 1986** and Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971 were both not introduced by the arresting officers, nor were they referenced in the caution or arrest documentation. The first recorded mention of Section 4A occurred within the **prepared statement submitted by my solicitor** during the interview conducted under caution for harassment.

This reference was made pre-emptively and defensively, in response to questions posed by officers that appeared to be only about harassment and therefore extend beyond the scope of the original harassment allegation. At no point prior to or during the arrest was I formally cautioned for Section 4A, neither Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971, nor was I informed that these offences formed part of the investigation. The interview remained framed around the harassment charge alone, as confirmed by the Section 9 statement authored by PC Wilson-Wallis and others.

The fact that my solicitor introduced Section 4A, rather than the police, clearly underscores the absence of procedural clarity. It suggests that the police did not lawfully or transparently transition the investigation to encompass any other separate statutory offences. This omission deprived me of the opportunity to respond to the Section 4A and Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971 allegations under proper caution and undermines the integrity of any subsequent change based on that statute.

Statutory Distinctions: Harassment vs. Section 4A vs. Criminal Damage

Each of the offences listed below is governed by a distinct statute and carries its own procedural requirements. Under **PACE Code C**, any person suspected of a criminal offence must be cautioned before questioning, and any new offence introduced must be supported by a lawful arrest or caution **“unless it was clearly bundled at the time of initial arrest!”**

Offence	Statute	Conduct Type	Intent Required	Interviewed?	Arrested?	Requires Caution Under PACE Code C?
Harassment	Protection from Harassment Act 1997	Repeated or persistent behaviour	No intent required	✓ Yes	✓ Yes	✓ Yes
Section 4A Public Order	Public Order Act 1986	Single threatening/abusive incident	Must prove intent to cause alarm/distress	✗ No	✗ No	✓ Yes
Threats to Cause Criminal Damage	Criminal Damage Act 1971	Threat to destroy/damage property	Must prove intent or recklessness	✗ No (interview refused after harassment dropped)	✗ No (charged without fresh arrest)	✓ Yes

Procedural Concerns and Legal Breaches

1. **Improper Charge Reframing**: The CPS dropped the harassment charge but reused a single line from the victim's statement to justify a **Threats to cause Criminal Damage 1971** and not even a **Section 4A charge**. This reframing occurred without fresh arrest or interview.
2. **Failure to Caution**: I was never cautioned for Section 4A or threats to cause criminal damage. These allegations were not formally put to me. After the harassment charge was dropped, I was refused access to my solicitor and denied the legal right to speak with my appropriate adult, again despite having been granted those safeguards during the original interview.
3. **Disability Safeguards Ignored**: My solicitor noted that I have learning difficulties and required an appropriate adult. This safeguard was respected during the harassment interview but later withdrawn when the charge was dropped. I was denied further contact with my solicitor and appropriate adult, in breach of **PACE Code C** and the **Equality Act 2010**.
4. **Use of Discredited Evidence**: The January video submitted by the alleged victim did not support her claims. The CPS dropped the original charge yet reused her statement to justify new allegations that are procedurally flawed!
5. **Statement Date Irregularities and Fabrication Concerns**: One officer's statement is dated **01/08/2025**, the date of before the arrest. However, another statement appears to also be dated wrong as the **2022**, which is chronologically inconsistent and raises serious concerns about its authenticity. If this statement was authored before or after the alleged victim's account was taken, or if it was constructed to retroactively justify the charge, this constitutes as fabrication intel. I formally request disclosure of which officer took the alleged victim's statement. If it was one of the attending fabricated officers involved in the arrest, I believe they must attend court to be questioned directly.

Legal Summary

- Each offence requires its own caution and interview unless clearly bundled at arrest and this is not the case!
- I was only arrested and interviewed for harassment, and this was dropped by the police and CPS.
- **Section 4A** and **Threats To Cause Criminal Damage** allegations both introduced without proper procedure.
- This amounts to **Abuse Of Process**, **Denial Of Fair Trial Rights**, and **Disability Discrimination**.

Request for Action

I request:

- Full disclosure of the **Police Bodycams** as well as the original **Police Pocket Notebooks** and **Interview Cd And Transcripts** as these pieces of evidence will also prove that I was **Never Charged In Accordance To The United Kingdom's Laws** for any **Section 4A** and / or **Threats To Cause Criminal Damage Charges**.
- Clearer confirmation of whether I was ever cautioned or interviewed for these offences.
- Identification of the officer who took the alleged victim's statement.
- **A review of procedural fairness and compliance with PACE and the Equality Act.**

This statement is submitted to clarify the legal and procedural irregularities in my case and to assert my rights under UK law.

Signed, Simon Paul Cordell

Meeting Record and Disclosure Request

On 1 October 2025 at 2:30 PM, I attended a meeting with my solicitor, Nichole, to discuss the current status of my case. During this meeting, I was informed for the first time that my witness statement must be taken formally as a **Section 9 statement**. This requirement was not previously communicated to me, despite my consistent efforts to provide documentation and evidence.

I have been sending detailed records and supporting files to my solicitor via email. These include:

- My Mgl1 witness statement previously submitted.
- A handwritten witness statement previously submitted by witness Sheead.

Despite sending these materials, I received no acknowledgment or request for the files themselves rather than downloadable weblinks until yesterday's meeting.

I will "Very Soon," be exhibiting a copy of the following documents as pdf and docx files, to yourselves, ready for proceedings, in a following email, later today:

- Transcribed minutes from the meeting held on 1 October.
- Contact details for Sheead, including his telephone number as requested.
- Video footage of me being victimised in my home by Rebbeca O'Hare and other neighbours.
- A detailed diary of events leading up to the alleged victimisation and the creation of false records by government officials and others.
- Copy of my Fraudulent **PNC** Conviction record and how to prove it!

I was also informed that the **police body-worn video footage** had been accessed and played during the meeting. However, I have not yet received disclosure of this footage.

I formally request that this footage be disclosed to me immediately, as it is critical to my defence and I now know it is available and functional.

This section is provided to document the meeting, assert my right to timely disclosure, and ensure that all relevant materials are properly exhibited and reviewed ahead of trial, which is now 11 days away.

Signed, Simon Paul Cordell

Fri, 3 Oct at 08:44

Subject: Case Update and Urgent Request Regarding Saheed's Statement

Dear Nicole,

I hope this message finds you well. I wanted to update you on my current situation and the progress I've made in preparing for trial.

Due to the GPS bail conditions, I've been unable to access my home, which has significantly disrupted my ability to organize case materials. Additionally, my mother—who normally supports me—is now terminally ill and bedridden, which has placed further strain on my circumstances.

Despite these challenges, I've been working diligently to organize and finalize several key files that I believe are of utmost importance to the case. This is why I previously requested that the trial be postponed if the matter was not dismissed beforehand. Nevertheless, I understand I am bound to follow the court's directions, even if doing so risks a miscarriage of justice that I may need to appeal.

For now, I've included Saheed's statements, which were drafted at my home. I respectfully ask that these be placed before the judges, even if a new statement is taken by your team—provided it does not contradict the version already submitted.

Regarding the other files I am preparing, I hope to have these sent over today; however, due to the amount of work involved, it is possible they may still be sent tomorrow.

Saheed's contact details are as follows:

Address: 101 Burncroft Avenue, EN3 7JQ

Phone: 07908 181051

If there are any issues reaching him after lunch, please notify me via email and mobile. I would also appreciate an update on the progress of the Section 9 statement being prepared for trial.

Thank you for your continued support.

Subject: Case Update and Urgent Request Regarding Saheed's Statement

Dear Nicole,

I hope this message finds you well. I wanted to update you on my current situation and the progress I've made in preparing for trial.

Due to the GPS bail conditions, I've been unable to access my home, which has significantly disrupted my ability to organize case materials. Additionally, my mother—who normally supports me—is now terminally ill and bedridden, which has placed further strain on my circumstances.

Despite these challenges, I've been working diligently to organize and finalize several key files that I believe are of utmost importance to the case. This is why I previously requested that the trial be postponed if the matter was not dismissed beforehand. Nevertheless, I understand I am bound to follow the court's directions, even if doing so risks a miscarriage of justice that I may need to appeal.

For now, I've included Saheed's statements, which were drafted at my home. I respectfully ask that these be placed before the judges, even if a new statement is taken by your team—provided it does not contradict the version already submitted.

Regarding the other files I am preparing, I hope to have these sent over today; however, due to the amount of work involved, it is possible they may still be sent tomorrow.

Saheed's contact details are as follows:

Address: 101 Burncroft Avenue, EN3 7JQ

Phone: 07908 181051

If there are any issues reaching him after lunch, please notify me via email and mobile. I would also appreciate an update on the progress of the Section 9 statement being prepared for trial.

Thank you for your continued support.

Kind regards,
Simon Cordell

Show original message

[02. Saheed-Statement.rar](#)

I am re forwarding these emails as I have not had a reply to them as told I would be, nor have I received the Body cam footage as agreed. This is a matter of importance as the trial is set unfairly for the 13th of November 2025 at 10Am and this leaves only.

- **My cousin Mr. Jamaine Edwards is also requested by myself to attend court and give evidence in support of my case!**

I have included these files but have not been able to completely review them!

01. MG11 WITNESS STATEMENT Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

02. Saheed-Statement!

03. CPS-Files-Dates-Wrong Police statements and times!

04. 115-Rebbeca -Key-Screenshot- Just-Eat-And-Mobile-Phone1

- Screenshot- Just-Eat-And-Mobile-Phone1: **“Used in Rebbeca’s statement return of mine!”**
- Outdoors-Videos: **“Used in Rebbeca’s statement return of mine!”**
- Indoor-Videos: **“Used in Rebbeca’s statement return of mine!”**

05. 08. PNC-Pre-Action “Frauded Record evidence!”

06. archive (1) “CPS Original Case Files!”

07. Sick-Note-Update-28-07-25

On Monday 29 September 2025 at 20:31:05 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Subject: Meeting Confirmation & Urgent Action on Prior Case Directions and Dismissal Grounds

Dear Nicole, Thank you for confirming availability. I will attend the meeting on **Wednesday at 2:30pm**. While I would have preferred Tuesday to allow more time, I'll make Wednesday work. **Thursday is also possible if necessary**, though I must express concern—it would leave only **13 days until trial**, which is deeply troubling given the unresolved issues.

I must also raise a serious concern: the directive outlined in “**03. Email Sent.pdf: ‘Mr. S. P. Cordell Case Directions!’ dated 07th August 2025**” and the more recent “**26. Email-Sent.pdf: ‘Tuckerssolicitors.com’ dated 1st September 2025**” clearly requested urgent intervention with the court regarding **trial attendance, disclosure failures, and legal grounds for dismissal** under URN **01YE1300125**. These were not casual suggestions—they were formal, timestamped communications that should have triggered immediate action, alongside the other Case directions that i sent to yourselves as can be read here:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/0.%20A-Webpage%20Folder%20Path%20Listing/output2.doc.pdf>

Given the gravity of the procedural breakdowns already documented, I respectfully urge your team to **act before our meeting**. The evidence and correspondence already submitted are more than sufficient to justify a formal application to the court. It is not acceptable that this remains unaddressed, especially when the consequences of delay could result in a biased or unjust outcome.

Please treat this as a matter of priority. I am relying on your firm to safeguard my position and ensure that the court is made fully aware of the risks to a fair trial.

Kind regards, **Simon Paul Cordell**

On Monday 29 September 2025 at 20:12:32 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi Simon,

Would you be able to do either Wednesday or Thursday this week at 2.30pm?

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net

**TUCKERS
CRIMINAL
SOLICITORS**

Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 29, 2025 4:15:29 PM
To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>
Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

Hello Nichole, I hope you're well. Could you please contact me on my mobile phone so we can arrange the meeting? The trial date is approaching quickly, and I'm becoming concerned about how soon it is. My contact number is 07864 217519.

Thank you, Simon

On Friday 26 September 2025 at 18:28:49 BST, Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com> wrote:

Dear Nicole, Thank you for your message. Due to ongoing police harassment and safety concerns, I am currently limiting travel and prefer to stay indoors. Additionally, my mother, who usually supports me, is very unwell at the moment. Therefore, I would prefer to have the meeting online via Teams. I am available early next week and look forward to discussing the case with you. Kind regards, Simon

On Friday 26 September 2025 at 12:32:50 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Hi Simon,

Thank you for getting back to me.

We can have an appointment at our office in Warren Street if this would be easier for you?

Let me know and we can arrange a date.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net

**TUCKERS
CRIMINAL
SOLICITORS**

From: Rewired Rewired <re_wired@ymail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 26, 2025 12:09
To: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>
Subject: Re: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

- Confirmation of my willingness to join a Teams meeting!
- Explanation of my technical setup and limitations!
- Request for trial postponement!
- Clear instructions on how to use the Case files developed!
- Formal request for confirmation of case compliance regarding my legal instructions submitted to Tucker Solicitor Firm, including a detailed list of completed actions.
- Date and time agreement for first legal meeting to take place! **"As detailed Below!"**

Subject: Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension & Review of Case Files

Dear Mr. Benington,

I hope this message finds you well.

Following your recent invitation to a Microsoft Teams meeting, I would like to confirm my willingness to participate. While I am not very familiar with Teams, I do have Anydesk remote access available and am happy to provide access to my files during the meeting. This will allow me to demonstrate the volume and structure of exhibits I have compiled in support of my defence.

Due to my current bail conditions, I am reliant on others to assist with technical access to my systems. Unfortunately, none of these individuals possess the qualifications necessary to support the legal and evidentiary aspects of my case. As a result, progress has been slow and, I believe, unfairly hindered.

I am deeply concerned that with only **"18 Days Remaining Until Trial,"** there is insufficient time to complete the necessary case files and allow my legal defence team to properly review them. I respectfully request that we explore the possibility of postponing the trial date to ensure that all materials can be properly prepared and assessed.

a. Due to the 18 days left till trial, I am available at your earliest convenience please set any date or time and I will be available!

Temporary Case Files Repository

I have created a temporary web link to host key documents while my servers undergo repair:

<https://horrificcorruption.com/Server2/Another-Police-Case-03-08-2025/index01a.asp>

This repository contains structured folders and files that demonstrate:

- Procedural errors
- Fabricated criminal record entries
- Misconduct by authorities
- Audio and written evidence supporting my defence such as: **"10. Saheed-Statement"** alongside with other most important documentation.

How to Use the Files

Each file has been carefully named and sequenced to guide you through the evidence both chronologically and thematically. Please note that this archive is still in the early stages of development, and further updates will follow. I would be grateful if you could confirm receipt of this message and advise whether a direct telephone number is available for contact. A brief conversation would help clarify the urgency and complexity of the situation. Additionally, I kindly request written confirmation—by email—of which items from my disclosure request have been completed. This includes:

- Attendance records for the alleged victim and involved police officers
- Working exhibits such as police body-worn camera footage

- Printouts or scans of original police pocket notebooks

Thank you for your continued attention and support.

Kind regards,

Simon Paul Cordell

Legal Grounds for Dismissal of Charge: “Threats to Cause Criminal Damage”

Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates’ Court

Trial Date: 13 October 2025

Charge: Threats to cause criminal damage (allegedly made on 02/08/2025)

Legal Basis: Criminal Damage Act 1971, Section 2

Summary of Legal Failures

1. No Interview, No Arrest, No Caution

- The alleged threat was never investigated through proper channels.
- No re-arrest, no caution, and no interview were conducted for this new charge.
- This violates the **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)** and **Code C**, which guarantee the right to legal representation and proper interview procedure.

2. Charge Fabricated After Custody Expired

- The original harassment charge was dropped.
- The new charge was introduced **after the custody clock expired**, without legal basis.
- This is a breach of **Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998** (right to a fair trial) and **PACE custody rules**.

3. Sole Witness Statement Is Invalid

- The only statement against Mr. Cordell is from Rebecca O’Hare.
- This statement was originally submitted for a **different case** (harassment), and includes references to **prior dates and incidents** that were already resolved with **No Further Action (NFA)**.
- Using this statement for a new charge is procedurally improper and violates the **CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors**, which requires:
 - a. Sufficient admissible evidence
 - b. Public interest
 - c. Procedural fairness

4. No Immediate Risk or Threat

- The alleged incident occurred **90 minutes before** police arrived.
- Mr. Cordell was indoors, recovering from surgery, in pyjamas, and physically incapacitated.
- There was **no confrontation, no damage**, and **no verbal exchange** with the alleged victim.
- This fails the legal test for “threats to cause criminal damage,” which requires:
 - a. A clear and credible threat
 - b. Intent to intimidate
 - c. No lawful excuse

5. Police Statements Pre-Date the Incident

- Several police statements were created **before the alleged incident occurred**, indicating fabrication or premeditated misconduct.
- This undermines the integrity of the investigation and may constitute **perverting the course of justice**.

6. Medical Incapacity

- Mr. Cordell had undergone hernia surgery days prior.
- He was unable to walk, bend, or speak without pain.
- This makes the alleged threat **physically implausible** and legally unsustainable.

7. Denial of Legal Rights

- Mr. Cordell was denied access to:
 - a. His solicitor during key custody decisions
 - b. His appropriate adult
 - c. Medical assistance
- These are violations of **PACE**, **Code C**, and **Article 3 of the Human Rights Act** (protection from inhuman or degrading treatment).

8. PNC Record Proven to Be Fabricated

- Internal checks by custody officers confirmed fraudulent entries in Mr. Cordell's Police National Computer (PNC) record.
- These entries were used to justify bail refusal and escalate charges.
- The court itself acknowledged that Mr. Cordell had **no convictions in over 25 years**, contradicting the fabricated record.

Conclusion: Trial Must Not Proceed

The charge of "Threats To Cause Criminal Damage" is legally unsound, procedurally invalid, and unsupported by admissible evidence. The case is built on a single recycled witness statement, fabricated police records, and unlawful custody practices. Proceeding to trial under these conditions would constitute a miscarriage of justice and expose the court to liability for reckless sentencing of an innocent man.

Recommended Action

- **Immediate dismissal** of the charge under Section 2 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971
- **Formal adjournment** of trial pending full review of procedural breaches
- **Disclosure order** for all body-worn footage, custody logs, and internal communications
- **Judicial inquiry** into the fabrication of PNC records and unlawful detention!

Kind regards again!

Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

On Thursday 25 September 2025 at 10:57:17 BST, Nicole Windele <windelen@tuckerssolicitors.com> wrote:

Dear Simon,

Further to the email below, please could you let me know a date and time you would be available for a meeting regarding your case.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Paralegal

CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)

From: Nicole Windele <WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com>

Sent: Monday, September 15, 2025 14:43

To: re_wired@Ymail.com <re_wired@Ymail.com>

Subject: Your Case - Meeting Id:COR10388/00001

Dear Simon,

I am the paralegal assisting Andrew with your case.

I would like to meet with you in order to discuss your case. I am happy to do this either in person at our office in Warren Street or via teams.

Please could you let me know if you have availability for a meeting early next week.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Nicole

Nicole Windele

Criminal Defence
Paralegal

39 Warren Street London, , W1T 6AF
Mail: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com
CJSM: WindeleN@tuckerssolicitors.com.CJSM.net
www.tuckerssolicitors.com



Notices: [Disclaimer](#) | [Privacy](#)