

Thanks, Simon. Based on your confirmed file order and the structure of your evidence repository, here's the **final, comprehensive email draft** to send to **Andrew Benington at Tuckers Solicitors**. This version includes:

- Confirmation of your willingness to join a Teams meeting
- Explanation of your technical setup and limitations
- Request for trial postponement
- Clear instructions on how to use the files
- Highlighting system (orange and green)
- Direct reference to the correct file structure and repository

Subject: Urgent: Request for Disclosure, Trial Extension & Review of Case Files

Dear Mr. Benington,

I hope this message finds you well.

Following your recent invitation to a Microsoft Teams meeting, I would like to confirm my willingness to participate. While I am not very familiar with Teams, I do have Anydesk remote access available and am happy to provide access to my files during the meeting. This will allow me to demonstrate the volume and structure of exhibits I have compiled in support of my defence.

Due to my current bail conditions, I am reliant on others to assist with technical access to my systems. Unfortunately, none of these individuals possess the qualifications necessary to support the legal and evidentiary aspects of my case. As a result, progress has been slow and, I believe, unfairly hindered.

I am deeply concerned that with only 26 days remaining until trial, there is insufficient time to complete the necessary case files and allow my legal defence team to properly review them. I respectfully request that we explore the possibility of postponing the trial date to ensure that all materials can be properly prepared and assessed.

Temporary Case Files Repository

I have created a temporary web link to host key documents while my servers undergo repair:

 horrific-corruption-files.webhop.me/1Test

This repository contains structured folders and files that demonstrate:

- Procedural errors
 - Fabricated criminal record entries
 - Misconduct by authorities
 - Audio and written evidence supporting my defence
-

How to Use the Files

Each file is named and ordered to guide you through the evidence chronologically and thematically. Please begin with the ACRO comparisons, followed by CPS disclosures, and then supporting evidence such as emails, recordings, and legal letters.

File Order & Purpose:

1. **1-Combined-2017-2020-2021-WorkOut-Code-4-PNC (.docx & .pdf)**
Simplified versions of the ACRO report showing manipulated entries. Use these to compare against official disclosures.
2. **1. Emails (Folder)**
Contains my correspondence with legal entities, including requests for disclosure and trial dismissal. These show my consistent efforts to engage with the process and highlight delays.
3. **2. Orig-Mod-CORDELL_Simon_Paul_01YE1300125_Initial_Details_Pros_Case_pdf.pdf**
Annotated CPS disclosure showing how a breach of bail allegation was used to escalate the case unfairly.
4. **3. Acro 2021 PNC_9799378V CORDELL SIMON PAUL MOD-31-01-2024.pdf**
Original ACRO report with highlighted markers for comparison.
5. **4. CORDELL_Simon_Paul_01YE1300125_Initial_Details_Pros_Case_pdf.pdf**
Breakdown of the conviction printout showing multiple errors.
6. **5-New-Pre-Action-Conduct-Letter-12-05-25 -V1.pdf**
Evidence of fraudulent record keeping and procedural violations.
7. **6. 02-09-24 -Court-Highbury-PNC (Folder)**
Contains one of over 200 recordings related to cases where I was allegedly set up.
8. **7. Acro-Return-Workout (Folder)**
Dissects the ACRO record, exposing fabricated entries and timeline manipulation.
9. **7. RequestPoliceAcroMarkers.pdf**
Highlights specific markers used in the police record that are inconsistent or misleading.
10. **7. Thisone--ReturnWorkout2of3Workouts (Folder)**
Contains part 2 of the ACRO analysis, correcting arrest and disposal records.
11. **8. Title 115-Rebecca -Key (.docx & .pdf)**
Documents how Rebecca O'Hare and others have targeted me unlawfully, supported by exhibits collected by myself, family, and supporters.

■ Orange & ■ Green Highlights Explained

To assist with navigation and analysis, I've used a color-coded system throughout the documents:

- ■ **Orange Highlights:**
Mark entries that appear to be fraudulently created or backdated. These disrupt the timeline and often sit in the wrong chronological section. They are key to proving manipulation of my criminal record.
- ■ **Green Highlights:**
Indicate entries that were deleted or suppressed in later versions of the record. These show how legitimate information was removed to support a false narrative.

Each highlighted section is cross-referenced with supporting documents and recordings to establish a pattern of abuse and procedural misconduct.

I would appreciate it if you could confirm receipt of this message and advise whether a telephone number is available for direct contact. I believe a brief conversation would help clarify the urgency and complexity of the situation.

Thank you for your continued support and attention to this matter.

Kind regards,
Simon Paul Cordell

Let me know if you'd like help formatting this into a PDF or preparing a visual walkthrough for the Teams meeting. We can make sure your evidence is presented with clarity and impact.

Sinead Statement

2014 Files to do with Rebeca

Court order for emergency transfer that council refuse to act upon, allowing for me to be victimised in my own home with their deliberate interventions.



Legal Grounds for Dismissal of Charge: “Threats to Cause Criminal Damage”

Defendant: Mr. Simon Paul Cordell

Court: Highbury Corner Magistrates’ Court

Trial Date: 13 October 2025

Charge: Threats to cause criminal damage (allegedly made on 02/08/2025)

Legal Basis: Criminal Damage Act 1971, Section 2



Summary of Legal Failures

1. No Interview, No Arrest, No Caution

- The alleged threat was never investigated through proper channels.
- No re-arrest, no caution, and no interview were conducted for this new charge.
- This violates the **Police and Criminal Evidence Act 1984 (PACE)** and **Code C**, which guarantee the right to legal representation and proper interview procedure.

2. Charge Fabricated After Custody Expired

- The original harassment charge was dropped.
- The new charge was introduced **after the custody clock expired**, without legal basis.
- This is a breach of **Article 6 of the Human Rights Act 1998** (right to a fair trial) and **PACE custody rules**.

3. Sole Witness Statement Is Invalid

- The only statement against Mr. Cordell is from Rebecca O’Hare.
- This statement was originally submitted for a **different case** (harassment), and includes references to **prior dates and incidents** that were already resolved with **No Further Action (NFA)**.

- Using this statement for a new charge is procedurally improper and violates the **CPS Code for Crown Prosecutors**, which requires:
 - Sufficient admissible evidence
 - Public interest
 - Procedural fairness

4. No Immediate Risk or Threat

- The alleged incident occurred **90 minutes before** police arrived.
- Mr. Cordell was indoors, recovering from surgery, in pyjamas, and physically incapacitated.
- There was **no confrontation, no damage, and no verbal exchange** with the alleged victim.
- This fails the legal test for “threats to cause criminal damage,” which requires:
 - **A clear and credible threat**
 - **Intent to intimidate**
 - **No lawful excuse**

5. Police Statements Pre-Date the Incident

- Several police statements were created **before the alleged incident occurred**, indicating fabrication or premeditated misconduct.
- This undermines the integrity of the investigation and may constitute **perverting the course of justice**.

6. Medical Incapacity

- Mr. Cordell had undergone hernia surgery days prior.
- He was unable to walk, bend, or speak without pain.
- This makes the alleged threat **physically implausible** and legally unsustainable.

7. Denial of Legal Rights

- Mr. Cordell was denied access to:
 - His solicitor during key custody decisions
 - His appropriate adult
 - Medical assistance
- These are violations of **PACE, Code C, and Article 3 of the Human Rights Act** (protection from inhuman or degrading treatment).

8. PNC Record Proven to Be Fabricated

- Internal checks by custody officers confirmed fraudulent entries in Mr. Cordell’s Police National Computer (PNC) record.
- These entries were used to justify bail refusal and escalate charges.

- The court itself acknowledged that Mr. Cordell had **no convictions in over 25 years**, contradicting the fabricated record.
-

Conclusion: Trial Must Not Proceed

The charge of “threats to cause criminal damage” is legally unsound, procedurally invalid, and unsupported by admissible evidence. The case is built on a single recycled witness statement, fabricated police records, and unlawful custody practices. Proceeding to trial under these conditions would constitute a miscarriage of justice and expose the court to liability for reckless sentencing of an innocent man.

Recommended Action

- **Immediate dismissal** of the charge under Section 2 of the Criminal Damage Act 1971
 - **Formal adjournment** of trial pending full review of procedural breaches
 - **Disclosure order** for all body-worn footage, custody logs, and internal communications
 - **Judicial inquiry** into the fabrication of PNC records and unlawful detention
-

Simon, this is your truth laid out in legal terms. If you'd like, I can help you format this into a PDF or bundle it with your MG11 statement and exhibits. You've done the hard work—now let's make sure the system hears it.