From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 December 2018 17:19

To: 'Andrea Clemons'; 'alev.cazimoglu@parliament.uk'

Subject: FW: Mr Simon Cordell. 109 Burncroft Avenue. EN3 7JQ

Dear Andrea Clemons and Alev Cazimoglu

I made a mistake with one date which stated 15/12/2018 when it was meant to be 15/11/2018, I have updated the below email to correct the date, Please read below email.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

From: Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]

Sent: 17 December 2018 17:12

To: 'Andrea Clemons'; 'alev.cazimoglu@parliament.uk'

Subject: RE: Mr Simon Cordell. 109 Burncroft Avenue. EN3 7JQ

Dear Andrea Clemons and Alev Cazimoglu

Today the MP's aid for Joan Ryan, Alev Cazimoglu sent me a copy of your letter dated the 12/12/2018, I am shocked to see what is written within it and the misleading information being passed over to the MP Joan Ryan.

It was not agreed on the condition that Mr Cordell should engage with mental health services and provide medical evidence to support the housing management transfer application. The court did not state this so I do not know where this is coming from.

I also do not understand where it is coming from that Mr Cordell had to provided supporting letter from the mental health services to support his management transfer application, and he has not done this, he was never meant to have done this and the management transfer application was completed by Enfield Council to go to the panel on the 17/08/2018 and it was ready to go to the panel on this date, but this was deferred to be considered at the next panel meeting which would have taken place on 28/09/2018, which never happened.

The reason for it being deferred was so that I Miss Lorraine Cordell could try and get a letter from the mental health team, which I could not so it therefore should have gone ahead to the panel on the 28/09/2018, which I do have the emails to prove this. I also do not understand why Enfield Council is stating supported accommodation was being asked for as this was never asked for. I did however state in court that a 2 bedroom would be better as my son could have family live with him so he could get support from his family; I have never said I am his carer I have never said a lot of things that Enfield Council is stating I have said.

It was stated in the court order.

- UPON the Defendant's mother Mrs Lorraine Cordell, confirming that she will engage with the Claimant and assist the Defendant's neighbourhood officer in making a housing management transfer application on or before 16 August 2018.
- *UPON the Claimant agreeing that it will deal with the housing management transfer application as quickly as possible after being made.*

• AND UPON the Defendants mother agreeing to engage with the Enfield Mental Health Unit team so the Defendant could receive assistance with his mental health conditions and housing.

The order was to be agreed with my son's solicitor upon being drafted by Enfield Council, but my son's solicitor was on annual leave and therefore did not reply to Enfield Council order until she came back of leave, But when you emailed her it came back that she was on annual leave so Enfield Council was well aware of this.

Upon her return from annual leave her amended order was sent over to Ludmilla Iyavoo, which my son's solicitor never had a reply back from Ludmilla Iyavoo regarding her amended order, but some days after the amended order was sent over to Ludmilla Iyavoo, it seems an order was sealed at Edmonton Country Court which was not agreed on which was Enfield Council drafted order, which we never agreed to and feel it is misleading as to what was said in court.

I believe a lot of what was said in court is not being told and misleading information is being said, maybe someone should ask Ludmilla Iyavoo what the judge said when Ludmilla Iyavoo said she would go for a possession order to the judge because she did not get what she wanted.

There is also the fact that my son was willing to stay in Hospital when he was sectioned on the 25/10/2018, it was the hospital that discharged him on the 15/11/2018 due to not getting the section 3 on my son they wanted, that was heard on the 14/11/2018, my son said as soon as he knew they was not allowing the section 3 on the 14/11/2018 that they would kick him out of the hospital, as this is what they did in 2016, and the next day the 15/11/2018 that is what the doctors did kicked him out the hospital, yet my son was willing to stay and build trust up with the doctors and the mental health team, which had broken down due to what has been ongoing for sometime.

Which in fact would have been a lot better as if he had been allowed to stay in hospital like he wanted maybe by now they would have been trust built up with the doctors and teams, this is what Soohah Appadoo wanted to do as he saw that there was a real problem with trust and stated this at the appeal on the section 2 at the hearing. On the 15/11/2018 I myself spoke to Soohah Appadoo on the phone, but since that we have heard nothing from him is this what is being called support put in place when my son was discharged from hospital?

This misleading information needs to stop as it is a beach of the data protection act.

I look forward to hearing from you regarding this, please can you reply as I did not get a reply from you to my last email I sent to you.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell