

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 12 February 2014 17:25
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on Hi Josey

Simon said thank you.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [<mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>]
Sent: 12 February 2014 15:04
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

I have just returned from lunch. Tell Simon that is fine. I do not want to fall out with either him or you. He is hard work but I am still willing to represent him.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 1:56 PM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update I understand now as to the section 35. Simon has just got here as he is due to have a meeting at 3pm today with a next solicitor; he has read the emails and asked me to write this to you.

If you are still willing represent him then he is fine with this, as he was only upset due to him believing things was not being done in time and him believing this would impact his life for longer. As he said the other day he is sorry for the disagreement that happened on the phone.

If you could let him know how you feel about this before 14.30 he would be grateful.

Simon / Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [<mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com>]
Sent: 12 February 2014 12:17
To: Lorraine Cordell
Subject: Re: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Hi Lorraine

Trevor Allway has indicated that he will view a section 35 request favourably. If he agrees that we satisfy the criteria of section 35 then we will not have to resort to Third Party Disclosure as he should disclose. We may still have to do Third Party disclosure if he redacts information that may be relevant. A decision cannot be made on this until we receive either (a) the file from the insurance company or (b) the insurance company is unwilling to disclose in which case Third party Disclosure

kicks in again.

The section 8 application was sent off today. I had asked Jemi to amend and he had not so I amended it and sent it off.

Lorraine as Simon's case is in the warned list there is no guarantee that he will get Jemi to do the trial. He is still booked to do the case but this is dependent on other cases not over running or him being instructed in a week long case. Simon's conference with Jemi in December was a classic example of this. He was dealing with a child neglect case which over ran and had Simon's case been listed then Jemi would not have been able to do the case. Jemi or his Chambers may be willing to do the case. The court can direct that legal representation stay in place for the purposes of Counsel conducting Simon's trial and possibly for a pre-trial conference but their diaries change and this affects their availability.

I hope this clarifies.

Regards

Josephine

On Wed, Feb 12, 2014 at 11:59 AM, Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:
Hi Josey

Thank you for the update but I am not sure what the email means to Trevor Allway. Does this mean they will give you the file without 3rd party? Really not sure what it means.

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 12 February 2014 11:50
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on

Dear Simon / Lorraine

Please note that your case is listed for mention at Woolwich Crown Court on 18th February 2014. The listing indicates that you must attend. Can you please confirm the details of your new solicitors in order that I can email the court and confirm that we do not object to the proposed transfer of legal representation. If you cannot locate a Solicitor to take over the case then we can apply on this date to have legal representation revoked so that you can represent yourself, as you have indicated you wish to.

I stress that legal representation still covers Counsel and in a case like this it is better to at least have representation from a barrister. The majority of the work has been done on this case and the only outstanding issues are as follows:

1. Disclosure from Insurance company
2. Disclosure requests in the defence case statement
3. Bad character skeleton argument to oppose - Jemi will draft this as he will be arguing to exclude the bad character.

In relation to 1 and 2 above the items disclosed will assist with cross examining witnesses.

I have forwarded emails sent to the CPS, Woolwich Crown Court and also to Mr Trevor Allway. If

you have any further questions then please do not hesitate to email me. I will still assist you with the preparation of the defence until legal representation is revoked or transferred.

Regards

Josephine