

From: Lorraine Cordell [lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]
Sent: 10 April 2014 19:03
To: 'JOSEPHINE WARD'
Subject: RE: Bail Conditions

Attachments: New-Bail-08-04-2014.pdf
Hi Josey

As to what was said about the bail condition below

“I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.”

I went to the police station and got a copy as to what was sent over to them please see attached.

Also I spoke to Woolwich myself on phone and they said 3 things were listed. They also said really the judge should have read all bail conditions out, and not just said your bail conditions are now to reside at address known to court.

So can this be addressed and ask them to hear the recorded tape of what was in fact said or even ask the judge

Lorraine

From: JOSEPHINE WARD [mailto:josephinewardsolicitor@gmail.com]
Sent: 09 April 2014 18:19
To: Lorraine Cordell; too smooth
Subject: Regina v. Simon Cordell for trial at Woolwich Crown Court warned list

Dear Simon / Lorraine

I refer to the above matter.

I can confirm that Counsel has written on his court note that Simon is now subject to a condition of residence only. I will still request the bail sheet and forward it to you from the Crown Court to avoid any confusion.

I attach a copy of a Notice of Additional Evidence dated 8th April 2014. You will no doubt note the discrepancies in the statement of DC Mayhew dated 3rd April 2014.

For clarification if there is no statement from the noise abatement officer by 10th April 2014 I will be listing the case for mention. The statement of DC Mayhew referring to the Inspector who attended on the date of the scene the day of the illegal rave is inaccurate. Inspector Mc Millen's statement refers to attending the scene of a reported burglary and he notes that there were no squatters signs up. I will therefore need Simon to deal with this in his proof exhibiting extracts of his Facebook page which shows the notices etc. I will be asking for the statements of the officers who attended with the noise abatement officers and all updates on the CAD system. I am asking for a copy of the CD of photographs from the SOCO from February 2013 referred to in the statement of Paul Whittaker.

In relation to the invoices served also dated 1st March 2013 and 29th March 2013 these were absent from the insurer's file of papers and reference was made to the second break in, in the report of Nikki Diamond. I will email Trevor Allway to request confirmation as to whether the two invoices were ever sent to the insurance company and if so when. The bottom of the invoice also refers to an optional extra and there is no proof that Mr Patel ever paid for the damage to be repaired. The other point is that it seems a very long way for a West London builders to travel for £500 worth of work. I am going to ask whether the Patel's from Hounslow are related whether by family or business or whether Rakesh Patel or Deven Patel have any interests in this company. I will ask the insurance company if they have any knowledge of any connection between the Patel insurance clients and Patel the builders. I will also ask the CPS to ask Mr Patel for copies of any alternative quotes and a similar question will be posed to the insurance company.

If you have any questions re the above can you please email me as I am in and out of the office quite a lot this week.

Regards

Josephine

PS I will CC you in on the email to Trevor Allday from the insurance company.