

**From:** Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>  
**Sent:** 06 February 2015 16:40  
**To:** 'listing@kingstonuponthames.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Cc:** 'London.magistratessouth@cps.gsi.gov.uk'; 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Cordell  
**Attachments:** Si-Appeal-Case-Audio\_KGM.rar

Dear Debbie Barnett CPS: and Sheila from the Listing Office at the crown court.

Not sure if this will work but i have put the audio into a rar format to see if it will send.

But can you please read the below emails as this explains what has gone on.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

---

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 06 February 2015 16:27  
**To:** 'listing@kingstonuponthames.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Cc:** 'London.magistratessouth@cps.gsi.gov.uk'; 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Cordell

Dear Debbie Barnett CPS: and Sheila from the Listing Office at the crown court.

It does seem i am having trouble with sending the audio files could you please tell me how i can send them i have put the other files in but not the audio which will need to be put with his case files.

Please read below emails.

Regards

Lorraine

---

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 06 February 2015 15:41  
**To:** 'London.magistratessouth@cps.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Cc:** 'listing@kingstonuponthames.crowncourt.gsi.gov.uk'; 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** RE: Re: Simon Cordell

Dear Debbie Barnett CPS: and Sheila from the Listing Office at the crown court.

I did send the below email with all attachments but I don't think anyone got it due to its size. I am resending it in 3 parts.

Part 1 of 3 email

Please see Attached files and please read below email. could you please also let me know you have got this email.

I did also call yesterday and was told you was not in the office and spoke to someone who told me an appeal date has been set for the 05/03/2015 the crown court.

I have also spoke to Sheila today at the Crown court listing office where i told her that we had not had any letters from the crown court she also told me that they did not get any emails i sent over. i do not have the case number and asked her if she could send the letter that was sent out by email to this email address.

Could all attached documents be attached to the case files please.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

---

**From:** Lorraine Cordell [mailto:lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk]  
**Sent:** 03 February 2015 12:57  
**To:** 'London.magistratessouth@cps.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Cc:** 'swglondonmc@hmcts.gsi.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** Re: Simon Cordell

Dear Debbie Barnett

Thank you for taking the time to talk to me today on the phone on the 02/02/2015. Could you please also confirm by email that you have this email.

As explained, there have been a number of issues with my son Simon Cordell DOB: 26/01/1981.

The issues are one of not being insured. When in fact he was.

There was a case heard on the 26/11/2014 at Wimbledon Magistrates' Court where my son Simon Cordell was found guilty. Of using his vehicle for business purposes.

This was only the case due to the police officer lying. Not just to KGM who my son was insured with, but in his statement of facts, and also on oath to the judge and CPS.

The case is such that on the day my son was stopped the police officer made a call to KGM the police officer told KGM that my son Simon Cordell had lots of tools in his van and was doing odd jobs. Under my son insurance this would not have covered him and the police officer would have known this already as my son had given the police officer his policy at the side of the road.

My son was arrested and taken to the police station. Due to him refusing to sign the seizure notice and telling the police officer he was lying and to arrest him.

My son's Van registration CX52JRZ was seized and taken to Chariton police vehicle pound, with no tools in there.

The police officer when wrote his statement not with what he told KGM on the phone call but with something totally incorrect information. The judge asked the police officer at court about the tools as he saw emails from KGM the police officer told the judge he knew nothing about any tools.

The police officer I believed knew he could not put tools down in his statement as calls were already being put into the police about complaints. So changed his story in his statement.

The facts are that there are audio tapes of what the police officer said to KGM, and also the call that was made to Chariton police vehicle pound as KGM record all calls.

I have both of the audio files from KGM and also a Letter of Indemnity and an email that a manager sent to Kelly Tiller at KGM.

How can a police officer act in such a manner. I really do not understand why he did this.

As also said on the phone there is a number of summons my son has not had regarding the other stops from police, these cases he has gone to court without him knowing and he has been found guilty when he did not even know there was a case in court.

I have sent many emails to get these cases set aside and sent his insurance documents to the court. 2 cases we had to do statutory declarations one for Willesden and one for Bromley. The Willesden one seems to have been reheard at court on the 26/01/2015 without us knowing again he was found guilty but they put this case under a new case number looking at the letter so all the documents that were sent before were not with the court file I would have through. But with both statutory declarations we added a copy of his insurance.

I have been trying for some time to get all of this put together so it can all be addressed and so far nothing has been done with all the emails I have sent to the court. We also asked at court when we went to Wimbledon Magistrates' Court but nothing was done. Could you please tell us where we can sort this out about getting all the other cases addressed without the need to be going from one court to the next. This is a waste of court's time and money when clearly all that is needed to be is check my son's insurance that he was in fact insured.

I am sure that the letter that has been sent of Indemnity from KGM would cover these other cases as proof he was in fact insured. Could you please advise what needs to be done with these other cases.

Please can you address the issues in this email and get back to me by email.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell