

From: Lorraine Cordell <lorraine32@blueyonder.co.uk>
Sent: 12 December 2019 00:00
To: 'Edmonton County, Enquiries'
Subject: RE: FOOED222 Enfield Council V Simon Cordell
Attachments: Claim Number FOOED222 11-12-2019.pdf; 20191211184453485.pdf

Importance: High

To Whom It May Concern:

Please see attached letter of complaint and a court order dated the 06/12/2019 regarding claim number FOOED222.

Regards

Lorraine Cordell

) **Complaint: Fraudulent Consent Order in Claim Number FOOED222:**

) 11th December 2019

To Whom It May Concern:

I am writing this email after I got a call from my son's Mr Simon Cordell's solicitor, Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors at around 17:20 hours on the 11th December 2019. The solicitors are no longer acting due to legal aid being removed, which The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield are already aware of due to being told via my son's solicitors.

I was informed that they had received a Court order today the 11th December 2019 regarding the hearing, which was listed for 12th December 2019 at 2pm at the County Court at Edmonton, under Claim Number FOOED222.

It would seem that The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield has contacted the court via letter and a court order has been made on there behalf via Deputy District Judge Brown on the 06th December 2019. Please see attached Court Order.

The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield sent a Consent Order received by the Court on 5th December 2019, and this is how Deputy District Judge Brown made the court order on the 06th December 2019.

I am upset regarding this Court Order, It is my believe a Consent Order would need to be signed and agreed by all parties, in the Claim Number FOOED222, this has not happened therefore I believe it is a Fraudulent Consent Order that has been submitted to the court, which was never agreed to or signed.

I know my son Mr Simon Cordell also his solicitor Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors have not signed and agreed to any Consent Order for Claim Number FOOED222.

So how has, The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield sent a Consent Order to the court, which was received by the Court on 5th December 2019. Then a court order made from this Consent Order when only one party has signed it, no agreement made by the parties involved in

this case. It is my believe it is the rule of law that all parties have to agree and sign a Consent Order for the court to be able to accept it.

Neither my son nor his solicitors Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors have seen this Consent Order so could the court please forward it to this email as soon as possible.

Also within the Court order dated the 09th December 2019, Deputy District Judge Brown has allowed the Claim Number FOOED222 to be adjourned generally with liberty to restore. There is no date set by the court by which time The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield would need to restore this case, so in fact no End date for this Claim Number FOOED222 it would seem it is an unlimited case with no time limited set by the court.

How can this be allowed so by no date being placed on the court order, The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield can wait five or Ten years or a lifetime and then decide to bring this case back to court whenever they wish to do so.

This is not acceptable by any means, and would never have been agreed, I do not understand how a court could allow this.

My son is unwell which the court is aware, and to have this hanging over his head for the rest of his life I believe is unlawful and would make my son's health worse, knowing whenever they want they can bring this case up again for the rest of his life.

District Judge Das warned The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield about bring a Possession claim on the 09/08/2018. Yet all The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield did was wait some months and then submitted the Possession claim to the court. Moreover, failed to comply with District Judge Das court order dated 09/08/2018.

The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield acting solicitor's wrote to my son's solicitors Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors the letter was dated 21/10/2019. The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield had instructed there acting solicitors to discontinue the claim on the basis that each party bears their own costs. In addition, that could my son's acting solicitors Tyrer Roxburgh Solicitors contact them as soon as possible so a suitable worded consent order maybe agreed.

The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield would know my son is unwell and that someone should have been placed to act in his best interest, this is listed on court orders, from

the court. This was due to happen on the 12th December 2019 hearing which Deputy District Judge Brown has now vacated.

This is not the first time The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield has submitted a draft court order, which was not agreed. The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield have had my son in the County Court at Edmonton three times different Claim Numbers for the same said alleged allegations, since 2017. The last case was dismissed, and The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield are in breach of that court order. The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield was meant to have moved my son, yet have not; District Judge Das made this court order on the 09/08/2018.

My son has had no input regarding this court order dated 09th December 2019 that has been made, under a consent order via Deputy District Judge Brown.

Therefore, I am asking for the court order dated the 09th December 2019 is Set Aside in Claim Number FOOED222.

The court will have on file I Miss Lorraine Cordell has been trying to deal with cases for my son Mr Simon Cordell and this will be on record at the court. Since legal Aid has been withdrawn and The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield know this fact I have been left to write this letter and try to deal with this serious matter.

I would also request to see the complete file on demand in Claim Number FOOED222 and this is my demand. I will attend the court as soon as a date is set to see the complete case file. This to be as soon as possible as I believe this cannot wait. In addition, we have not agreed to anything that The Mayor And Burgesses of the London Borough of Enfield have submitted to the court, and I would like this addressed.

I wait to hear from you regarding this most serious matter.

Regards

Miss Lorraine Cordell on behalf of Mr. Simon Cordell

General Form of Judgment or Order

In the County Court at Edmonton	
Claim Number	F00ED222
Date	9 December 2019



THE MAYOR AND BURGESSES OF THE LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD	1st Claimant Ref LS/C/PB/159272
SIMON CORDELL	1st Defendant Ref D.SHANMUGANATHAN

Before Deputy District Judge Brown sitting at the County Court at Edmonton, 59 Fore Street, London, N18 2TN.

UPON READING Consent Order received on 5th December 2019

BY CONSENT IT IS ORDERED THAT

1. Consent Order approved as attached.
2. The hearing listed for 12th December 2019 at 2pm be vacated.
3. The claim be adjourned generally with liberty to restore.
4. There shall be no Order as to costs.

Dated 6 December 2019

IN THE EDMONTON COUNTY COURT

CLAIM NO: F00ED222

BETWEEN:

THE LONDON BOROUGH OF ENFIELD


CLAIMANT

-AND-

MR SIMON CORDELL

DEFENDANT

COURT ORDER



Deputy
Before District Judge *Brown* sitting at Edmonton County Court on 6 December 2019.

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. The hearing listed for 12 December 2019 at 2pm be vacated
2. The claim be adjourned generally with liberty to restore
3. There shall be no order as to costs