

OUR REQUEST SHEET

POLICE MARKERS: -

1. OUR REQUEST SHEET: --

A. ABOUT OUR REQUEST SHEET:

- The reason for including the "OUR REQUEST SHEET" in this document is to ensure clarity and specificity in the Claimant's requests to relevant authorities regarding the handling of their data and records.

B. NATIONAL SYSTEMS - DELETION (PNC, IDENT1, NDNAD):

- The Claimant's primary request within this section is the deletion of their data from the national systems, which encompass vital databases such as the Police National Computer (PNC), IDENT1, and the National DNA Database (NDNAD).

C. PNC RECORD AMENDMENTS:

- Within this request, the Claimant seeks amendments to any records present on the Police National Computer (PNC) that relate to this case. This is to ensure that the data accurately reflects the events and circumstances involved.

D. LOCAL SYSTEMS DELETION:

- The Claimant's request for the deletion of their data from local police systems is vital in safeguarding their privacy and ensuring that their personal information is not misused or retained unnecessarily.

E. LOCAL SYSTEMS - AMENDMENT OF INFORMATION CONTAINED WITHIN:

- To achieve accuracy and completeness, the Claimant also requests amendments to any information contained within local police systems concerning this case. This ensures that the data held at the local level aligns with the facts and details presented.

2. ABOUT POLICE IDENTIFICATION MARKERS:

A. About police identification markers:

- The inclusion of information regarding police identification markers is crucial due to its significance in this case. These markers serve as unique codes or symbols used by law enforcement agencies for identification purposes. Further details are necessary to fully understand their role and implications.

3. ABOUT THE ARRESTS AND INTERRUPTIONS MADE BY POLICE:

A. About Arrests and Interruptions Made by Police:

The reason for addressing the arrests and interruptions made by the police in this document is to provide a comprehensive account of events and their impact. By doing so, the Claimant aims to ensure that all pertinent information is presented accurately to the relevant authorities and that any necessary actions are taken.

IDENTIFICATION MARKERS

1 OF 1

POLICE MARKERS: -**Claim-
Sheet****WHAT HAS HAPPENED****&****OUR REQUESTS****POLICE MARKERS: --** (National Computer Nominal Report Nominal Details)**CASE (A1)**

- **We are writing this formally request for the removal of the details below & that of its other affiliated documentation –**

<u>Nub 1</u>	<u>Classification</u>	<u>Input Details</u>
1)	Criminal Record Office Number	49823/97M
2)	Police National Computer ID	97/99378V
3)	First Name(s)	Simon Paul
4)	Last Name	Cordell
5)	Date of Birth	26/01/81
6)	Place of Birth	Enfield
7)	Nationality:	United Kingdom

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below: --**

Re: Our Request is for:

- 1) the Removal of “The Police National Computer Nominal Report Nominal Details & that of its other affiliated documentation.”

- We understand that recent changes in the United Kingdom’s Law’s, as outlined below, provide a legal basis for the removal of Acro Report Data.

A. The Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 “ROA” As of Update The: 28 October 2023, States that the United Kingdom Government have updated the rehabilitation periods under the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974. For instance, a custodial sentence of more than 4 years (not for a Schedule 18 offence) becomes spent at the end of the period of 7 years beginning with the day on which the sentence (including any licence period) is completed. However, certain sentences, including imprisonment for life and sentences of imprisonment over four years for a Schedule 18 offence, are excluded from rehabilitation and will always be disclosed.

B. Legal Grounds for Removal:

1. **The ROA Provides a Framework:** -- for the removal of **spent convictions**, and the Now Claimants Case’s falls within the eligibility criteria.
2. Cases marked as "NFA" (No Further Action) or "Not Guilty" should typically be removed from the ACRO report.

3. The Data Protection Act and General Data Protection Regulation “GDPR” Grant

Individuals: -- the Legal Rights to request the erasure of their personal data in certain situations, including the removal of old or irrelevant criminal records.

C. Schedule 18 Offences: To provide clarity, The Now Claimant confirms that his conviction’s do not fall under the category of Schedule 18 offences, as specified in the Sentencing Act 2020.

D. Request for Erasure Under GDPR: -- Under the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), The Now Claimant is exercising his Legal Rights to request the deletion or removal of personal data where there is no compelling reason for its continued processing. I believe the inclusion of the **“Nominal Report Nominal Details”** on my PNC Record no longer serves a lawful purpose and may cause unwarranted prejudice.

E. Request Process: --

1. We formally recognizing this request for erasure.
2. We kindly request a response with your decisions made within: -- **“One Month,”** as stipulated by **“GDPR”**.
3. *If any compensation requests are attached within the claim sheets, we request decisions within: -- “One Month” after your initial response.*
4. We understand that the Legal Right to erasure is not absolute and only applies in certain circumstances. However, the Now Claimants Case meets the criteria for removal as outlined in the GDPR.
5. Upon approval, we expect the prompt execution of the erasure and appropriate communication to any third parties with whom the data may have been shared with.
6. We appreciate your attention to this matter and request that you conduct a thorough review of this case in accordance with the applicable laws and regulations. We believe the removal of the specified details will contribute to the principles of rehabilitation and allow the Now Claimant the legal Right to move forward in his life & without the burden of an outdated and irrelevant record.
7. If you require any additional information or documentation to facilitate this process, please do not hesitate to contact us. We are committed to providing any necessary details other than what we have already provided below to support the expeditious resolution of this matter.
8. Thank you for your prompt attention to this request and we look forward to a positive outcome.

Nub 1	ITEMS				
8)	Police National Computer Nominal Report Nominal Details	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐
9)					
10)					
11)					
12)					
13)					
14)					
15)					
END					

**&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Personal Description)

CASE (B2)

Nub 2	ITEMS				
1)	Personal Description	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. Personal Description Erasure Request

1. Upon Our thorough examination of the data compiled within the Acro Report, initially created by police members, and subsequently archived for intelligence purposes on him and that is also related to this current case involving Mr. Simon Paul Cordell, certain significant aspects have emerged such as: --
 - i. The Acro Report exhibits a distinct category labelled as **“Personal Description,”** highlighting information that falls under this classification.
 - ii. This section explicitly pertains to The Claimant's Legal Right to Erasure Under the General Data Protection Regulation **“GDPR”**.
 - iii. The Now Claimant fervently seeks the opportunity to review and ultimately have granted the requested erasure, contingent on its acceptance and agreement.
2. Supporting this request, we include the following compelling reasons as presented, and substantiated with evidential artifacts where applicable:

B. The Claimants Grounds for Erasure:

1. Fairness and Unproven Suspicion:

- The claimant insists that it is inequitable for the police to utilize personal descriptions solely acquired on the **“Basis of Suspicion Alone.”** This includes instances of arrests that did not culminate in criminal charges.

2. Unsubstantiated Court Cases:

- Any instance where the police have brought a case to court against the claimant without establishing guilt raises concerns. This is exacerbated by situations where **“No Conclusive Evidence Was Presented.”**

3. Unfair PNC Record:

- The existing Police National Computer (PNC) record unduly Casts **“A Negative Shadow”** on the claimant's reputation, unjustly branding him with offenses for which the police lacked substantial evidence.

4. Professional and Personal Consequences:

- These **“Unwarranted Arrests”** have inflicted significant professional and personal setbacks on the claimant. His time has been consumed by proving innocence during police confrontations, and his reputation tarnished, eroding client prospects and future potential.

5. Psychological and Family Impact:

- The claimant's life has been **“Perpetually Marred by Unwarranted Police Attention,”** resulting in strained family relationships, emotional trauma, and estrangement.

6. Breach of Company Policy:

- The police have exhibited a pattern of retaining information “**Beyond Standard Operational Procedures,**” contrary to company policies. The claimant's request aligns with these policies for data removal.

C. Specific Erasure and Correction Requests:

1. The Now Claimant Requests the Removal Of:

- Such data pertaining to personal descriptions.

2. The Now Claimant Requests the Correction Of:

- Any “**Inaccuracies Present**” in the mentioned data.

D. Privacy Concerns:

- In light of growing privacy concerns and data protection regulations, erasing personal descriptions contributes to safeguarding individual privacy and preventing potential misuse of personal information.

E. Identity Theft Prevention:

- By eliminating personal descriptions, the risk of identity theft and impersonation is mitigated, ensuring the claimant's security.

F. Legal Accuracy:

- Ensuring data accuracy and relevance is paramount for maintaining the legal integrity of information.

G. Immigration and Visa Applications:

- The absence of unnecessary personal descriptions prevents any inadvertent complications in immigration and visa-related processes.

H. Employment Background Checks:

- Stripping out personal descriptions prevents irrelevant data from influencing employment background checks, fostering fair hiring practices.

I. Credit and Financial Matters:

- Data precision is crucial for financial dealings and credit assessments, underscoring the need for accurate, pertinent information.

J. Government Benefits and Services:

- Accurate data without extraneous personal descriptions ensures seamless access to government benefits and services without unnecessary hindrances.

K. For these compelling reasons, the following Retained DATA is put forth for deletion:

a. The Claimant Requests the Deletion of The Following:

➤ **Personal Description =**

- **Height:** F511 (M180)
- **Build:** Proportionate
- **Shoe Size:** B9.5(E44)
- **Eye Color:** Brown
- **Glasses:** No Glasses
- **Handed:** Right
- **Hair:** Collar Length/Black/Plaited
- **Accent:** London
- **Facial Hair:** Beard/Black

L. In Conclusion

- In conclusion to, the request for erasure of personal descriptions is substantiated by a series of valid concerns encompassing fairness, security, legal integrity, and various practical implications. This appeal aligns not only with the claimant's rights but also with broader principles of data protection and privacy.

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Driver Details)

CASE (C3)

Nub 3	ITEMS				
1)	Driver Details	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the “**DRIVER DETAILS**” Contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same “**Grossly-Term-Refs**” as the requests prior made in part “**Nub 1**” of this “**Official Document**” but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows: --

i. Reason One: --

- “ACRO Reports” from the (Association of Chief Police Officers Criminal Records Office.) “**Do Not Contain Driver Details,**” such as driver's license information or records of traffic violations. These reports primarily focus on an individual's criminal history, including details of arrests, convictions, and related legal matters. Driver details are typically maintained by separate government agencies responsible for motor vehicle records and traffic violations.

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Aliases Alias 1)

CASE (D4)

Nub 4	ITEMS				
1)	Aliases Alias 1	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Now Claimant Requests a Comprehensive Review of the “**ALIASES ALIAS 1**” section contained within this attached file associated with the ACRO Report that the police hold in the Now Claimants Name.

- B.** When the **“ACRO Report”** is Subjected to Assessment by any Relevant Official Persons, our request is for the categorization of this **“ALIASES ALIAS 1”** to mirror the same as the information under the same as **“Grossly-Term-Refs”** that We articulated in the earlier outlined **“Nub 1”** section of this **“Official Document.”**
- C.** We acknowledge that the police include known aliases in individual **“ACRO Report,”** but still substantial grounds compel us to seek the removal of such **“DATA”** out of any Police National System or that of their archives that is associated within the Now Claimant's Name / ID.
- D.** Furthermore, any additional requests or reasons, as to why our request has been brought forth, are elaborated as follows: --
- 1) Reason One:** The foundation of this request is expounded by simple facts of fundamental truths: --
- i. Incorrect Information:**
- Inaccurate aliases listed within the **“ACRO Report”** leads to confusion and potential legal or identity complications. Rectification or removal of such errors is imperative to ensure accuracy and integrity.
- 2) Reason Two:** The basis for this appeal is underpinned by the following rationale: --
- i. Legal, Identity, and Privacy Concerns:**
- The Metropolitan Police Authority **“MPA”** is bound by duty to maintain precision across all ACRO Reports under their purview. Discrepancies become evident when examining the copy requested by the Claimant directly from the **“MPA.”** This situation undermines the mandatory data procedures that the police must rigorously adhere to. Ensuring accuracy serves both the police's responsibility for public peace and the foundational structure of the **“MPA”** for legal and administrative efficacy.
- 3) Reason Three:** This plea finds its grounding in the following factual basis: --
- i. Safeguarding Reputation and Fair Treatment:**
- Retaining aliases that are not substantially linked to the Claimant potentially harm his reputation and unfairly prejudice any future interactions, be they professional or personal. Upholding fairness and unbiased representation are fundamental to a just society and accurate law enforcement practices.
- E.** On account of these compelling justifications, we formally submit the following case numbers for deletion: **The Claimant Requests the Removal of the Following Aliases Under Alias 1:**
- **Aliases Alias 1 =**
- **Alias:** Benjamin, Simon
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
 - **Last Updated:** 04/03/97
 - **Note:** **“Not in Work Out Code”** Can't Find Information Supporting the Arrest Date or Accused Offence or Outcome.
- **Alias 2**
- **Alias:** Benjamin, Simon Paul
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
 - **Last Updated:** 04/11/97
 - **Info:** “Address “66.” 15/09/97
 - **Note:** **“Not in Work Out Code”** Can't Find Information Supporting the Arrest Date or Accused Offence or Outcome.
- **Alias 3**
- **Alias:** Cardell, Simon Paul

- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 21/08/97
- **Info:** “Address “69.” 20/08/97
- **Arrest/Summons Ref:** 97/0000/00/736197h.
- **Charge:** Using Threatening, Abusive, Insulting Words or Behavior W/I (With Intent) To Cause Fear or Provocation of Violence.
- **Outcome:** Cautioned On 21/08/97 At 01 (Metropolitan Police) Fs/Ref: 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Alias 4**

- **Alias:** Codell, Simon Paul
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 27/06/13
- **Date Taken:** 25/06/13.
- **Last Updated:** 27/06/13
- **Arrest/Summons Ref:** 13/0000/00/625125u.
- **Outcome:** “Won.”

➤ **Alias 5**

- **Alias:** Cordell, Simon
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 01/06/18
- **Note: “Not in Work Out Code”** Can’t Find Information Supporting the Arrest Date or Accused Offence or Outcome.

➤ **Alias 6**

- **Alias:** Cordell, Simon Benjamin
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 22/09/97
- **Case Numb:** 97/0000/00/823525e
- **Adjudication:** Guilty Using Threatening, Abusive, Or Insulting Words or Behavior Likely to Cause Distress

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Alias Dates of Birth)

CASE (E5)

Nub 5	ITEMS				
1)	Alias Dates of Birth - Address	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. Subject: Request for Removal of Alias Dates of Birth and Address.

B. Introduction: I, the Claimant, formally request the removal of the "**ALIAS DATES OF BIRTH**" contained in the attached file. These alias dates of birth are listed in the ACRO Report and have been associated with my name. This request aligns with the previous requests made in "**Part Nub 1**" of this Official Document and is substantiated by compelling reasons detailed below.

C. Reasons for Removal:

I. Identity Confusion:

- The presence of inaccurate alias addresses can lead to identity confusion, potentially affecting innocent individuals.

II. Privacy Violation:

- The retention of unnecessary alias addresses by the police infringes upon my right to privacy and data protection.

III. Prejudice and Bias:

- The police's retention of irrelevant aliases and addresses has led to unfair profiling, reflecting bias on their part. This unjustified information could result in discriminatory labelling and unwarranted investigations. Moreover, these investigations have proven to be maliciously fraudulent and unproven, with no wrongdoing attributed to me, thereby serving no public interest.

IV. Legal Implications:

- Incorrect alias addresses can lead to legal complications and unjust suspicion, causing unnecessary stress and damage to one's reputation.

V. Misuse of Information:

- Unrelated alias addresses may be misused for false accusations, leading to cases of harassment.

VI. Data Security:

- The unnecessary retention of such data increases the risk of data breaches or leaks, potentially exposing sensitive information.

VII. Resource Allocation:

- Law enforcement resources might be misallocated by pursuing leads based on irrelevant alias addresses, leading to a waste of valuable resources.

VIII. Civil Liberties:

- Unjustified retention of alias addresses could infringe on civil liberties.

IX. Fair Due Process:

- Maintaining incorrect alias addresses compromises fair due process and can result in unjust interventions.

X. Transparency and Human Rights:

- Retaining irrelevant alias addresses lacks transparency in law enforcement practices and may violate human rights.

D. Specific Data for Deletion:

- I hereby request the removal of the following specific alias dates of birth and addresses from the ACRO Report:

E. Alias Dates of Birth:

- Alias Date of Birth 1: 21/01/81 (METROPOLITAN POLICE)

F. Addresses:

1) **Address 1:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 25/10/18, Status: "**Won.**"

2) **Address 2:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 01/06/18, Status: "**Won.**"

3) **Address 3:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 16/03/18, Status: "**Won.**"

4) **Address 4:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 10/01/18, Status: "**Won.**"

5) **Address 5:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 22/03/17, Status: "**Won.**"

6) **Address 6:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 05/10/16, Status: "**Won.**"

7) **Address 7:** Bail Address 23 Byron Terrace London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 05/10/16, Status: "**Won.**"

8) **Address 8:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 16/08/16, Status: "**Won.**"

9) **Address 9:** Bail Address 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 9/09/13, Status: "**Not in Work Out Code**" - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

10) **Address 10:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - - Date At: 07/04/13, Status: "**Won.**"

11) **Address 11:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 25/01/13, Status: "**Won.**"

12) **Address 12:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 08/11/12, Status: "**Not in Work Out Code**" - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

13) **Address 13:** Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 15/10/12, Status: "**Won.**"

14) **Address 14:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9

- (Won) - Date At: 14/08/12 Status: "**Won.**"

15) **Address 15:** Home 23 Byron Terr Ace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 01/04/12, Status: "**Won.**"

16) **Address 16:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 05/09/11, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

17) Address 17: Home 109 Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 05/12/10, Status: **“Won.”**

18) Address 18: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 02/05/10, Status: **“Won.”**

19) Address 19: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: 14/10/09, Status: **“The Same as Address 20” “Lost X1” & “Wonx2.”**

20) Address 20: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: **14/10/09** Status: **“Lost X1” & “Wonx2,” 09/0000/00/929328d.**

21) Address 21: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: 14/10/09 Status: **“The Same as Address 20” “Lost X1” & “Wonx2.”**

22) Address 22: Home 23 Byron Terr Ace London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: 14/10/09 Status: **“The Same as Address 20” “Lost X1” & “Wonx2.”**

23) Address 23: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: 14/10/09 Status: **“The Same as Address 20” “Lost X1” & “Wonx2.”**

24) Address 24: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - (Lost) - Date At: 14/10/09 Status: **“The Same as Address 20” “Lost X1” & “Wonx2.”**

25) Address 25: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 09/08/09, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

26) Address 26: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 08/08/09, Status: **“Won.”**

27) Address 27: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 26/07/09, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

28) Address 28: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **19/02/09**, Status: **“Lost X1” 09/0000/00/174108m**

29) Address 29: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 05/02/09, Status: **“Won.”**

30) Address 30: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 02/01/09, Status: **“Won.”**

31) Address 31: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **15/11/08**, Status: **“Lost X1” 08/01yt/01/4253k**

32) Address 32: Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq

- (Won) - Date At: 28/07/08, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

- 33) Address 33:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 18/03/08 Status: **"Won."**
- 34) Address 34:** Home Flat 109, Burncroft Avenue Enfield Middlesex En3 7jq
• (Won) - Date At: 22/12/07, Status: **"Won."**
- 35) Address 35:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 02/12/07, Status: **"Won."**
- 36) Address 36:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 24/11/07, Status: **"Won."**
- 37) Address 37:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 03/09/07, Status: **"Won."**
- 38) Address 38:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 17/03/07, Status: **"Won."**
- 39) Address 39:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 01/06/06, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.
- 40) Address 40:** Home 4 Crompton Place Enfield London En3 6xs
• (Won) - Date At: 05/05/06, Status: **"Won."**
- 41) Address 41:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 11/04/06 Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.
- 42) Address 42:** Alternative Address 4 Crompton Pl Ace Enfield Middlesex En3 6xs
• (Won) - Date At: 25/03/06 Status: **"Won."**
- 43) Address 43:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 25/03/06 Status: **"Won."**
- 44) Address 44:** Not Known - Date At: 21/03/06,
• (Won) - Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.
- 45) Address 45:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Won) - Date At: 01/02/06, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.
- 46) Address 46:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg
• (Lost) - Date At: **23/01/06**, Status: **"Lost X1" 06/0000/00/113215c.**
- 47) Address 47:** Home 4 Crompton Place Enfield Middlesex
• (Won) - Date At: 31/12/04, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.
- 48) Address 48:** Home 4 Crompton Place Enfield Middlesex
• (Lost) - Date At: **11/08/03**, Status: **"Lost X1" 04/0000/00/1054471j.**
- 49) Address 49:** Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

(Lost) - Date At: **02/04/03** Status: **"The Same as Address 48"** **"Lost X1"**

50) Address 50: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 08/05/02, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

51) Address 51: Bail Address the Old Barn Goffs Lane Goffs Oak Cheshunt

- (Won) - Date At: 18/08/00, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

52) Address 52: Home 4 Crompton Place Enfield Middlesex

- (Won) - Date At: 01/06/99, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

53) Address 53: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 19/05/99, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

54) Address 54: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 29/01/99, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

55) Address 55: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **19/11/98** Status: **"Lost X1"** **98/0000/00/989685m.**

56) Address 56: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **13/11/98** Status: **"The Same as Address 55"** **"Lost X1"**

57) Address 57: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton London Greater London N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **12/11/98** Status: **"The Same as Address 55"** **"Lost X1"**

58) Address 58: Home Fairfax House 641 Green Lanes N8

- (Lost) - Date At: **09/04/98** Status: **"Lost X1"** **Fairfax Childrens Home.**

59) Address 59: Home 641 Green Lanes Hornsey London N8

- (Lost)- Date At: **09/03/98**, Status: **"Lost X1"** **98/0000/00/227669j.**

60) Address 60: Home Fairfax House 641 Green Lanes N8

- (Won) - Date At: 28/02/98, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

61) Address 61: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

- (Lost) - Date At: **03/11/97**, Status: **"Lost X1"** **97/0000/00/964137u.**

62) Address 62: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 15/09/97, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

63) Address 63: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 29/05/97, Status: **"Not in Work Out Code"** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

64) Address 64: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

(Won) - Date At: 14/01/97, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

65) Address 65: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 01/01/94, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

66) Address 66: Home 23 Byron Terrace Ed Monton N9 7dg

- (Won) - Date At: 01/01/86, Status: **“Not in Work Out Code”** - No Information Available Supporting the Arrest Date, Accused Offense, Or Final Outcome.

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Warning Signals)

CASE (F6)

Nub 6	ITEMS				
1)	Warning Signals	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant respectfully requests a comprehensive review of the **"WARNING SIGNALS"** documented in the attached ACRO Report under his name. These warning signals should be evaluated with the same diligence as outlined in part **"Nub 1"** of this **"Official Document."** Additionally, the Claimant provides substantial reasons for the consideration of these warning signals:

B. Reason One: For the removal of the specified "WARNING SIGNALS" from the ACRO Report based on the following compelling grounds:

i. Warning Signal 1

- **Warning:** Ailment
- **Warning Date:** 03/11/09
- **Text:** Crohn's Disease
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 23/11/14
- Relevant Case Details:
 - 03/11/09 At South-East Hampshire Magistrates Court
 - **Ref:** 09/1782/-155908t1
 - Conditional Discharge 6 Months (Months)
 - 2 Costs £85.00
 - **"Won"** - 03/11/09 At Southeast Hampshire Magistrates Court
 - **Ref:** 09/1782/155908t1 Withdrawn Final

ii. Warning Signal 2

- **Warning:** Suicidal
- **Warning Date:** 09/05/98
- **Text:** Attempts Self Harm
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

- **Last Updated:** 25/05/20
- While No Supporting Arrest Date or Accused Offence Available, It Is Vital to Consider the Passage of Time and Rehabilitation as Factors for Removal.

iii. Warning Signal 3

- **Warning:** Self-Harm
- **Warning Date:** 03/11/09
- **Text:** Banging Head Against Cell Wall and Floor
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 23/11/14
- Relevant Case Details:
 - 03/11/09 At South-East Hampshire Magistrates Court
 - **Ref:** 09/1782/-155908t1
 - Conditional Discharge 6 Months (Months)
 - 2 Costs £85.00
 - **"Won"** - 03/11/09 At Southeast Hampshire Magistrates Court
 - **Ref:** 09/1782/155908t1 Withdrawn Final

iv. Warning Signal 4

- **Warning:** Drugs
- **Warning Date:** 06/03/09
- **Text:** Possession of Cannabis
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 09/04/12
- Relevant Case Details:
 - 06/03/09 At Enfield Magistrates Court
 - **Ref:** 09/2757/41947f
 - 1 Fine £65.00 - Cn0900610376
 - 2 Forfeiture and Destruction of Cannabis Resin
 - Costs £40.00

The Claimant earnestly seeks the removal of these "**WARNING SIGNALS**" from the ACRO Report. These signals, while pertinent in the past, no longer accurately represent the Claimant's current circumstances and commitment to rehabilitation. Their removal would be in line with the principles of fairness, justice, and the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act.

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED **&** **OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Warning Signals)

CASE (G7)

Nub 7	ITEMS				
1)	Information Markers	Yes ☐	Yes ☐	Yes ☐	Yes ☐
		No ☐	No ☐	No ☐	No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant respectfully requests a thorough review of the "**INFORMATION MARKERS**" documented in the attached ACRO Report under his name. These markers should be evaluated with the same

attention to detail as outlined in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document.**" Furthermore, the Claimant provides additional details and a compelling reason for the consideration of these markers.

B. Reason One: For the removal of the specified "**INFORMATION MARKERS**" from the ACRO Report, based on the following rationale:

i. Information Marker 1

- **Marker:** DNA E+W Not Required
- **Marker Date:** 18/06/21
- **Text:** DNA Profile Held on NDNAD For E+W

ii. Information Marker 2

- **Marker:** Fails to Appear
- **Marker Date:** 27/10/09
- **Text:** Failed to Appear at Isle of Wight Mags on 27/10/09
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 29/10/09

iii. Information Marker 3

- **Marker:** Breaches Bail Conditions
- **Marker Date:** 20/11/13
- **Text:** Namely Not to Enter the Southwark Borough
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 21/11/13

C. The Claimant respectfully seeks the removal of these "**INFORMATION MARKERS**" from the ACRO Report. The request is motivated by the understanding that these markers no longer accurately reflect the Claimant's circumstances and, in the interest of fairness and justice, should be reconsidered. Furthermore, the Claimant emphasizes his commitment to adhering to legal obligations and the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act, reinforcing the case for the removal of these markers.

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Marks and Scars)

CASE (H8)

Nub 8	ITEMS				
1)	Marks and Scars	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant respectfully requests that the information regarding his "**MARKS AND SCARS**," as contained within the attached ACRO Report bearing his name, be reviewed, and considered with the same diligence as previously outlined in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document.**" This request is supplemented by specific details and reasoning as follows:

B. Reason One: For the removal of the "**MARKS AND SCARS**" entries from the ACRO Report, taking into account the following information:

i. Mark / Scar 1

- **Type:** Pierced

- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Ears
- **Text:** Small Yel-Met Hoop

ii. Mark / Scar 2

- **Type:** Pierced
- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Ears

iii. Mark / Scar 3

- **Type:** Lacking
- **Location:** Upper
- **Detail:** Teeth

iv. Mark / Scar 4

- **Type:** Scarred
- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Hand
- **Text:** 1 Inch Scar on Hand by Wrist
- **Keyword(S):** Cut

v. Mark / Scar 5

- **Type:** Scarred
- **Location:** Right
- **Detail:** Hand
- **Text:** On First Knuckle - Right Forefinger
- **Keyword(S):** Cut

vi. Mark / Scar 6

- **Type:** Mark
- **Location:** Right
- **Detail:** Abdomen
- **Keyword(S):** Birth

vii. Mark / Scar 7

- **Type:** Mark
- **Detail:** Abdomen
- **Text:** Birthmark on Stomach
- **Keyword(S):** Birth

viii. Mark / Scar 8

- **Type:** Mark
- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Abdomen
- **Keyword(S):** Birth

ix. Mark / Scar 9

- **Type:** Scarred
- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Legs
- **Text:** 2" Scar Above Knee
- **Keyword(S):** Cut

x. **Mark / Scar 10**

- **Type:** Scarred
- **Location:** Left
- **Detail:** Legs
- **Text:** Scar Across Left Knee
- **Keyword(S):** Cut

C. The Claimant seeks the removal of these "**MARKS AND SCARS**" entries from the ACRO Report, considering their nature and the time elapsed since these markings and scars were recorded. These removals are requested in alignment with the principles of fairness and relevance, acknowledging the Claimant's commitment to rehabilitation and the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Photograph Locations)

CASE (I9)

Nub 9	ITEMS				
1)	Photograph Locations	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

- A. The Claimant requests that of the "**PHOTOGRAPH LOCATIONS**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:
- B. **Reason One:** For the removal of the following photograph locations due to the successful resolution of associated cases, in accordance with the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 and considering the time spent:
- i. **Photograph 1 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):
- **Date Taken:** 25/10/18.
 - **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 18/01yd/01/8306e.
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
 - **Last Updated:** 25/10/18
 - "Won."
- ii. **Photograph 2 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):
- **Date Taken:** 15/03/18.
 - **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 18/01yd/01/1984h.
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
 - **Last Updated:** 16/03/18
 - "Won."
- iii. **Photograph 3 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):
- **Date Taken:** 05/10/16.

- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 16/01ye/01/3890g.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 05/10/16
- "Won."

iv. **Photograph 4 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):

- **Date Taken:** 25/06/13.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 13/0000/00/625125u.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 27/06/13
- "Won."

v. **Photograph 5 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):

- **Date Taken:** 07/04/13.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 13/01ht/01/1537c.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 07/04/13
- "Won."

vi. **Photograph 6 (Location:** 20 - West Midlands Police):

- **Date Taken:** 15/08/12.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 12/20dc/02/3727d.
- **Owner:** 20 (West Midlands Police)
- **Last Updated:** 15/08/12
- "Won."

vii. **Photograph 7 (Location:** 41 - Hertfordshire Constabulary):

- **Date Taken:** 13/08/12.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 12/41hq/01/20685j.
- **Owner:** 41 (Hertfordshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 14/08/12
- "Won."

viii. **Photograph 8 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):

- **Date Taken:** 05/12/10.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 10/01ye/01/7294n.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 05/12/10
- "Won."

ix. **Photograph 9 (Location:** 01 - Metropolitan Police):

- **Date Taken:** 02/05/10.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 10/01gn/01/2357x.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 02/05/10
- "Won."

x. **Photograph 10 (Location:** 44 - Hampshire Constabulary):

- **Date Taken:** 14/10/09.
- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 09/0000/00/929328d.
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 14/10/09

1) (Hampshire Constabulary) Theft – Shoplifting

2) Failing To Surrender to Custody at Appointed Time

3) Failing To Surrender to Custody as Soon as Practicable After Appointed Time

--

1) **"Lost"** 03/11/09 At South-East Hampshire Magistrates Court Ref: 09/1782/-155908t1
Conditional Discharge 6 Months (Months) 2 Costs £85.00

2) **"Won."** 03/11/09 At Southeast Hampshire Magistrates Court Ref: 09/1782/155908t1
Withdrawn Final

3) **"Won."** 03/11/09 At Southeast Hampshire Magistrates Court Ref: 09/1782/155908t1
Withdrawn Final

C. The Claimant requests the removal of these photograph locations based on the successful resolution of associated cases, taking into consideration the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974 and the time elapsed since these cases were won.

Claim-
Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Identity Numbers)

CASE (J10)

Nub 10	ITEMS				
1)	Identity Numbers	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the "**IDENTITY NUMBERS**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "Official Document" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:

B. **Reason One:** For the removal of the following identity numbers due to lawful reasons:

• **Identity Number 1 (DNA BARCODE):**

- **Type:** DNA Barcode
- **Number:** 90437213
- **Owner:** 02 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 13/02/01

--

➤ **Extra Info Added from Acro Report Below in This Table.**

1) Disposal (Court) / 2021 Acro = 99/0000/00/960881c

- Guilty
- **Offence Description 1:** Driving Whilst Disqualified
- **Plea Description:** Guilty
- Driving Licence Endorsed
- **Offence Description 2:** Theft from Vehicle
- **Plea Description:** Not Guilty but Found Guilty
- Curfew Order 3 Months (Months) At 23 Byron Terrace, Hertford Rd, London, N9 7dg. 8am-8pm, Mon-Sun (Inc)

• **Identity Number 2 (BTP Crime System Id):**

- **Type:** BTP Crime System Id
- **Number:** 9123w0276x
- **Owner:** 93 (British Transport Police)
- **Last Updated:** 19/02/13

• **Identity Number 3 (UK Citizen Card):**

- **Type:** UK Citizen Card
- **Number:** 1221612151526473
- **Owner:** 89 (ACPO Criminal Records Office)
- **Last Updated:** 15/05/17
- Used As Id While on Bail for A Case Won.

• **Identity Number 4 (UK Passport):**

- **Type:** UK Passport
- **Number:** 801695219
- **Owner:** 89 (ACPO Criminal Records Office)
- **Last Updated:** 15/05/17
- Used As Id While on Bail for A Case **“Won.”**

C. The Claimant seeks the removal of these identity numbers from the ACRO Report for lawful reasons, including instances where these identity documents were used while on bail for cases that were subsequently won, rendering their inclusion unnecessary.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Passports)

CASE (K11)

Nub 11	ITEMS				
1)	Passports	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

• **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the "**PASSPORTS**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:

B. **Reason One:** The information the police hold containing the Now Claimant's "**PASSPORT**" was only required by the police due to bail conditions that they wrongly imposed against him as a result of a case they brought against him and subsequently lost at Court.

➤ **Passport 1:**

- **Passport Number:** 801695219
- **Issue Date:** 27/04/11.
- **Issuing Office:** Ips
- **Country:** United Kingdom

- **Expiry Date:** 27/04/21
- **Owner:** 89 (ACPO Criminal Records Office)
- **Last Updated:** 15/05/17

C. The Claimant seeks the removal of this passport record from the ACRO Report due to its association with bail conditions that were wrongly imposed and subsequently dropped after the police lost the related court case. This information is no longer relevant and should not be part of the Claimant's record.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Occupations)

CASE (L12)

Nub 12	ITEMS				
1)	Occupations	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the "**OCCUPATIONS**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "Grossly-Term-Refs" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:

- a. **Reason One:** For the requested "**Occupations**" data, the Claimant has provided the following occupations, each with unique dates and owners:

1) **Occupation 1:**

- **Occupation:** Entertainer
- **Date:** 25/10/18
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 25/10/18

2) **Occupation 2:**

- **Occupation:** Self Employed
- **Date:** 09/01/18
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 10/01/18

3) **Occupation 3:**

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 04/10/16
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 05/10/16

4) **Occupation 4:**

- **Occupation:** Entertainer
- **Date:** 14/08/16
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 16/08/16

5) Occupation 5:

- **Occupation:** Voluntary Worker
- **Date:** 07/04/13
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 07/04/13

6) Occupation 6:

- **Occupation:** Entertainer
- **Date:** 25/01/13
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 25/01/13

7) Occupation 7:

- **Occupation:** Voluntary Worker
- **Description:** Western, Typical UK.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

8) Occupation 8:

- **Occupation:** Director
- **Date:** 14/08/12
- **Owner:** 20 (West Midlands Police)
- **Last Updated:** 15/08/12

9) Occupation 9:

- **Occupation:** Entertainer
- **Date:** 01/01/12
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 25/01/13
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

10) Occupation 10:

- **Occupation:** Manager
- **Date:** 04/09/11
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 05/09/11

11) Occupation 11:

- **Occupation:** Self Employed
- **Date:** 02/05/10
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 02/05/10

12) Occupation 12:

- **Occupation:** Catering Manager
- **Date:** 07/08/09
- **Owner:** 22 (West Mercia Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 08/08/09

13) Occupation 13:

- **Occupation:** Catering Wholesaler
- **Date:** 26/07/09

- **Owner:** 23 (Warwickshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 26/07/09
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

14) Occupation 14:

- **Occupation:** Fast Food Employee
- **Date:** 12/06/09
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 16/11/09

15) Occupation 15:

- **Occupation:** Fast Food Employee
- **Date:** 12/06/09
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 22/10/09

16) Occupation 16:

- **Occupation:** Fast Food Employee
- **Date:** 12/06/09
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 21/10/09

17) Occupation 17:

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 12/06/09
- **Owner:** 44 (Hampshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 16/06/09

18) Occupation 18:

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 19/02/09
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 20/02/09
- **Accused Offence:** Possess A Controlled Drug – Class B – Cannabis Resin
- **Final Outcome:** “Lost” On 06/03/09 At Enfield Magistrates Court.
-
- **Penalty:** 1 Fine £65.00 (Cn0900610376)
- 2 Forfeiture and Destruction of Cannabis Resin
- Costs £40.00

19) Occupation 19:

- **Occupation:** Car Salesman
- **Date:** 05/02/09
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 05/02/09

20) Occupation 20:

- **Occupation:** Self Employed
- **Date:** 05/05/06
- **Owner:** 41 (Hertfordshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 06/05/06

21) Occupation 21:

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 25/03/06
- **Owner:** 42 (Essex Police)
- **Last Updated:** 28/03/06
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

22) Occupation 22:

- **Occupation:** Mechanic
- **Date:** 23/01/06
- **Owner:** 42 (Essex Police)
- **Last Updated:** 23/01/06
- **Accused Offence:** Use Disorderly Behaviour or Threatening/Abusive/Insulting Words Likely to Cause Harassment Alarm or Distress
- **Final Outcome:** **"Lost"** Penalty Notice Issued On 23/01/06 At 42 (Essex Police) Fs/Ref: 42 (Essex Police)

23) Occupation 23:

- **Occupation:** Road Worker
- **Date:** 02/04/03
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 25/10/04
- **Accused Offence:** Use Disorderly Behaviour or Threatening/Abusive/Insulting Words Likely to Cause Harassment Alarm or Distress
- **Final Outcome:** **"Lost"** On 21/08/03 At Enfield Magistrates Court. Conditional Discharge 24 Months (Months) Eq-03005905m

24) Occupation 24:

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 08/05/02
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 10/05/02
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

25) Occupation 25:

- **Occupation:** Unemployed
- **Date:** 18/03/97
- **Owner:** 35 (Cambridgeshire Constabulary)
- **Last Updated:** 25/03/97
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

26) Occupation 26:

- **Occupation:** Schoolboy
- **Date:** 14/01/97
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Last Updated:** 21/04/97
- **Additional Note:** "Not in Work Out Code." No Supporting Information Regarding the Arrest Date, Accused Offence, Or Final Outcome.

B. The Claimant seeks the removal of these occupation records from the ACRO Report based on various reasons, including instances where the records are marked as **"Not in Work Out Code"** and lack supporting information about the arrest date, accused offence, or final outcome.

a. Reason One:

- The Claimant believes that these occupation records may not accurately reflect the circumstances and outcomes of the related incidents. Removing these records would help ensure the ACRO Report's accuracy and fairness.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Habitual Dress)

CASE (M13)

Nub 13	ITEMS				
1)	Habitual Dress	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the "**HABITUAL DRESS**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:

B. Reasons for Requesting Removal of "**HABITUAL DRESS**" Entries from ACRO Report:

- 1) **Inaccuracy:** The "**HABITUAL DRESS**" entries may not accurately represent your clothing preferences or habits, leading to potential misunderstandings by law enforcement or other relevant authorities.
- 2) **Privacy Concerns:** Personal details about your habitual dress can be considered private. You may prefer that this information is not included in official records to protect your privacy.
- 3) **Irrelevance:** Over time, clothing preferences and habits can change. If the entries no longer reflect your current dress style, they may be irrelevant and should be removed to ensure an accurate representation.
- 4) **Misidentification Risk:** Outdated information on your habitual dress can increase the risk of misidentification or confusion during interactions with law enforcement or other entities.
- 5) **Personal Preference:** You have a personal preference for excluding certain details about your habitual dress from your official records for privacy or other reasons.
- 6) **Record Clarity:** Removing outdated or unnecessary entries can enhance the clarity and focus of your ACRO Report, ensuring that it contains only relevant and up-to-date information.
- 7) **Data Protection Rights:** Depending on data protection regulations, you may have the right to request the removal of personal information that is no longer necessary or conflicts with privacy regulations.
- 8) **Accuracy and Transparency:** Requesting the removal of outdated entries promotes accuracy and transparency in your records, ensuring that they provide an accurate reflection of your current circumstances.

C. Habitual Dress =

- Habitual Dress 1
 - **Type:** Habitual Dress
 - **Description:** Jeans Jacket and Trainers
 - **Owner:** 35 (Cambridgeshire Constabulary)

➤ **Habitual Dress 2**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Description:** Jeans, Black Leather Jacket, White Trainers, With A Blue Flash
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 3**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Description:** Joggers and Sweatshirt
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 4**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Description:** Casual
- **Owner:** 48 (City of London Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 5**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 6**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 7**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Description:** Western, Typical UK.
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Habitual Dress 8**

- **Type:** Habitual Dress
- **Description:** X
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

D. By addressing these reasons, you can tailor your request for the removal of specific "**HABITUAL DRESS**" entries from your ACRO Report to better reflect your personal preferences, protect your privacy, and maintain accurate and up-to-date records.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Jewellery)

CASE (N14)

Nub 14	ITEMS				
1)	Jewellery	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

- A. The Claimant requests that of the "**JEWELLERY**" contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows:
- B. Reasons for Requesting Removal of "**JEWELLERY**" Entries from ACRO Report:
- 1) **Inaccurate Information:** It has come to my attention that certain jewellery descriptions associated with my name within the report may be erroneous or incomplete, potentially leading to misunderstandings or misjudgements.
 - 2) **Lack of Relevance:** The descriptions of these "**JEWELLERY**" items seem to bear no direct relevance to any matter under investigation. Their inclusion appears superfluous and unnecessary.
 - 3) **Privacy and Data Protection:** I am deeply concerned about the detailed descriptions of personal "**JEWELLERY**" items being retained in a law enforcement report. This seems to be in violation of fundamental principles of privacy and data protection, especially if these details do not pertain to any ongoing criminal investigation.
 - 4) **Risk of Bias and Stereotyping:** The inclusion of specific "**JEWELLERY**" details could inadvertently introduce bias or stereotypes into law enforcement proceedings. It is essential that law enforcement is based on objective, pertinent information.
 - 5) **Efficient Resource Allocation:** For the efficient allocation of law enforcement resources, it is imperative to include only relevant data in the report. Entries related to "**JEWELLERY**" that hold no direct bearing on any criminal activity may divert resources away from more pressing matters.
 - 6) **Enhanced Transparency:** Removing irrelevant "**JEWELLERY**" entries from the report enhances transparency in law enforcement practices. It ensures that only pertinent, substantive information is retained.
 - 7) **Focus on Critical Data:** Eliminating non-essential details such as "**JEWELLERY**" descriptions allows the report to concentrate on more crucial information directly linked to any ongoing investigations.
 - 8) **Protection from Misuse:** There is a concern that detailed "**JEWELLERY**" descriptions may be misused, potentially leading to unfounded accusations or even identity theft. Removing such entries mitigates this risk.
 - 9) **Upholding Human Rights:** Respecting human rights and personal privacy is paramount. It is in line with these principles that I make this request for the removal of irrelevant "**JEWELLERY**" entries from the ACRO Report.

C. **Jewellery =**

- **Jewellery 1**
 - **Type:** Jewellery
 - **Description:** Earring / Earring Left Ear
 - **Owner:** 35 (Cambridgeshire Constabulary)
- **Jewellery 2**
 - **Type:** Jewellery
 - **Description:** Neck chain / Has Two Gold Rings on Chain
 - **Owner:** 35 (Cambridgeshire Constabulary)
- **Jewellery 3**
 - **Type:** Jewellery
 - **Description:** Earring / L/Ear
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Jewellery 4**
 - **Type:** Jewellery

- **Description:** Neck chain / A Studded Boxing Glove Pend Ant
- **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)

➤ **Jewellery 5**

- **Type:** Jewellery
- **Description:** Neck chain / YM Chain with Boxing Glove
- **Owner:** 42 (Essex Police)

D. I sincerely hope that these reasons demonstrate the necessity of removing these specific "JEWELLERY" entries from the ACRO Report, as they establish that the inclusion of this information is not only unwarranted but also poses potential risks to privacy, fairness, and the efficient operation of law enforcement processes.

Claim-Sheet

**WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS**

POLICE MARKERS: -- (Any Other Police Archived Data)

CASE (O15)

Nub 15	ITEMS				
1)	Any other Police Archived Data	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the "**OTHER DETAILS**" Contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same "**Grossly-Term-Refs**" as the requests prior made in part "**Nub 1**" of this "**Official Document**" but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows: --

i. **Reason One:** --

- For

OTHER INFORMATION

ACRO 2017

ASBO

RAVES

A. General Information

1) This document provides insight into the Now Claimants criminal records for the years **2017, 2020,** and **2021**, sourced from the police national dispatch system. Our request for this information, detailed in the attached file labelled as "**Other Information / Acro 2017,**" is motivated by several key factors.

B. A Brief Overview

1) One of the primary motivations **behind Our requesting of the ACRO Report** stems from a government-issued ASBO "**Anti-Social Behaviour Order**" application that erroneously subjected the Now Claimant to an arduous 8-year period of imposed conditions. These unjust conditions detrimentally impacted his prospects, including the ability to run a successful company and maintain a fair standard of living.

C. Why Combine ASBO Order Files and PNC Files?

- 1) Crucially, it's essential to note that the Now Claimant was never arrested for the offenses attributed to the ASBO. This absence of arrest prevented a fair investigation from taking place. Additionally, during court appearances, the Now Claimant was unjustly denied the opportunity to speak. The ASBO application was illicitly and inaccurately lodged against the Now Claimant by members of the Police, Council, and Neighbourhood Watch Team.
- 2) A pivotal piece of evidence supporting this assertion is the ASBO application itself, which incorrectly accuses the Now Claimant of **"Organizing Illegal Raves."** But under the United Kingdom's Statue of Laws, Organizing Illegal Raves is undoubtedly a criminal offense, governed by the **"Raves Bill 1994"** within the Criminal Justice & Public Order Act. This offense carries a maximum sentence of three months in prison or a fine of up to £2,500. The Now Claimant, however, was unjustly handed a two-year sentence during trial preparation, which was later increased to five years after Deliberate Misconduct by Government Officials.
- 3) The fact that the Now Claimant was not arrested also means that the ASBO did not appear on his criminal record as a Criminal Offense even when the case brought against him was **"Organizing Illegal Raves."** Clearly using the word Illegal as he and other continued to complain about their fundamental rights to a claim in of an **"Unfair Trial"**.
- 4) Throughout the time served pertaining to the Asbo the Police, council, Neighbourhood Teams frauded application against the Now claimant was published within the "World-Ide Media" while he awaited his right to Appeal. The newspaper Articles printed his full details alongside with Our listed: --
I. A
II. A
- 5) The Criminal, labelled offence remained documented in the ACRO Report / also known as the Now Claimants PNC Record until time served, at which point the information was questioned by the Now Claimant and his **"Support Network"** as to the Official Persons handling of the file as to its classification.
At no point of time did the Now Claimant and his **"Support Network"** request for the removal of the file and because they all were complaining about mutable issues with the ASBO Application.
- 6) Another Reasons that he ACRO Reports were sought is due to several reasons. **Firstly**, they were requested to verify whether the ASBO information was correctly recorded. **Secondly**, they were needed to address discrepancies related to a **"Failing to Surrender to Custody"** charge, which led to remand in prison. Subsequent investigations with court officials confirmed that the Now Claimant and his mother were indeed correct in disputing this charge.
- 7) **Additionally**: changes to police officer names listed in the ASBO application are confirmed by the ACRO Reports.

D. Harassment / Malicious Abuse of Process

- 1) The documentation pertaining to the ASBO, which was included in the ACRO Report from 2014 to 2021, is presented below. It outlines the police classification and provides further insights, as elaborated upon in the attached "Other Information / Acro 2017" document.

E. Other Information / Acro 2017

- **Report 1**
- **Class:** Criminal Behaviour Order
- **Issued At:** Wood Green Crown Court
- **For:** Criminal Behaviour Order
- **Power:** Cond arrest (Conditional Arrest)
- **Fs Ref.:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Case Papers:** 01YE
- **End Date:** 03/08/20.
- **Conditions:**

- 1) Must Not Be Concerned in The Organization of a Rave as Defined by S.63(1) Or S63(1a) Of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.
- 2) Knowingly Use or Supply Property, Personal or Otherwise, For Use in A Rave as Defined by S.63(1) Of the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994.
- 3) Enter Or Remain in Any Disused or Abandoned Building Unless Invited to Do So in Writing by A Registered Charitable Organization or Local Authority or Owner of The Premises.
- 4) Provide Any Service in Respect of Any Licensable Activity in an Unlicensed Premises.
- 5) Enter Any Non-Residential Private Property (By Which Words Buildings and An Open Enclosed and Are Intended to Be Individual) Or an Industrial Estate Between the Hours Of 22:00 And 07:00.
- 6) Without Written Permission from The Owner and A Leaseholder of Such Property. If You Can Demonstrate That the Purpose of Your Entry of Such Property Is to Purchase Goods or Services.
- 7) From Any Shop or Garage or Fuel Supplier Which Is Open to The Public at Such Times. Then In Such Event, You May Enter but You Must Not Remain on Such Property for Longer Than 30 Minutes and You.
- 8) May Do So on Only One Occasion During Each Separate Nine Hour Period Between 22:00 And 07:00 Daily.

F. Other Details =

- Other Detail 1
 - **Text:** Attends Tuition School - Expelled
 - **Owner:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
 - **Last Updated:** 21/04/97

"Not in Work Out Code"

Can't Find information supporting the Arrest date or accused offence or final outcome.

Addressing issues that are contained I this document latter that are of relevance to

Claim-Sheet

WHAT HAS HAPPENED
&
OUR REQUESTS

POLICE MARKERS: -- (DNA Report)

CASE (P16)

Nub 16	ITEMS				
1)	DNA	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐	Yes ☐ No ☐

- **If There Is Any Other Acceptable Information, Please Provide It Below!**

A. The Claimant requests that of the “**DNA REPORT**” Contained in the attached file to his name that is known as an ACRO Report when reviewed by Official persons of relevance to class this listed as the same “**Grossly-Term-Refs**” as the requests prior made in part “**Nub 1**” of this “**Official Document**” but with the additional Requests or due to Reasons if any as follows: --

i. Reason One: --

- For

➤ **DNA Report Summary =**

A. WORK OUT CODE 26

1) DNA Report 1

- **Arrest/Summons Ref.:** 06/0000/00/397207p.
- **DNA Status:** Confirmed
- **Sample Barcode:** 96988294
- **Date Of Sample:** 15/05/06
- **Sent To Lab:** Forensic Science Service (FSS)
- **Sample Type:** Swab
- **DNA FS REF. :** 42 (Essex Police)
- **Fingerprint Status:** Confirmed
- **Test Method:** SGMPLUS (Second Generation Multiplex Plus)
- **“WON.”**

The Now Claimant won this case at court and requests the files removal.

➤ **DNA Report 2**

A. Work Out Code 55

1) Arrest/Summons Ref.: 97/0000/00/193878f.

- **DNA Status:** Confirmed
- **Sample Barcode:** 90437213
- **Date Of Sample:** 28/02/97
- **Sent To Lab:** Forensic Science Service (FSS)
- **Sample Type:** Swab
- **DNA Fs Ref.:** 01 (Metropolitan Police)
- **Fingerprint Status:** Confirmed
- **Test Method:** SGM (Second Generation Multiplex)

This DNA Report says it for the following case: --

- 1 Taking Motor Vehicle Without Consent
 - 2 Using Vehicle While Uninsured
 - 3 Driving Otherwise Than in Accordance with A Licence
- DNA Report 2**

1 06/08/97

At Enfield Magistrates Court Ref: 97/2757/168374g

- A. No Separate Penalty
- B. Costs £25.00
- C. Supervision Order 24 Months (Months)

2 06/08/97

At Enfield Magistrates Court Ref: 97/2757/168374g

A. 1 Disqualification from Driving 12 Months (Months)

3 **06/08/97**

At Enfield Magistrates Court Ref: 97/2757/168374g

A. Driving Licence Endorsed no Separate Penalty

The file is an image in the "Simulation file."

Sent: 18 September 2015 15:00

Attachments: Court-List-From-Benedicta.pdf

06/08/97 not in the Court reg **97/0000/00/236370T**

--

12/11/97 not in the Court reg **97/0000/00/768545U**

13/11/97 not in the Court reg **97/0000/00/768545U**

--

21/05/98 not in the Court reg and it wrote it's not there. **97/0000/00/193878F**

05/01/01 not in the Court reg **00/0000/00/1139706K**

17/08/02 not in the Court reg **"Not in PNC"**

24/04/03 not in the Court reg **02/0000/00/556175K**

These are in the PNC printout of the Asbo made by police.

Also, in the original copy the biro pen is made by the staff of the Court.

The cases above are definitely not in the Court Registry as they never happened.

The files are kept in fire safe boxes and no pages are allowed to be ripped out of the registry.

Court Registrars and Registers of the Magistrates' Court:

Court registrars are administrative officers in the court system who handle various administrative tasks related to court proceedings. They maintain records, process documents, and assist judges, lawyers, and the public with matters related to court cases.

Registers of the Magistrates' Court refer to the official records or documents where court proceedings, orders, judgments, and other important information are recorded. These registers are used to keep a chronological record of the court's activities and decisions.

Handling of Court Files:

Court files, which contain documents related to court cases, are generally handled with care to ensure the integrity of the legal process. Pages being ripped out of court files would likely be against established procedures due to the need to maintain an accurate record of proceedings and decisions.

The handling and safekeeping of court files are subject to specific rules and regulations to ensure transparency, fairness, and security. Unauthorized alteration, removal, or tampering with court documents can have serious legal consequences, as it can undermine the integrity of the judicial process.

In most jurisdictions, court files are considered legal records and are subject to retention schedules and archival procedures. These procedures vary from place to place but generally aim to ensure that court records are preserved for historical, legal, and administrative purposes. Court records are often accessible to the public, but certain sensitive information may be redacted or protected for privacy reasons.

It's important to emphasize that intentionally damaging or altering court documents, including ripping pages out of them, can be a violation of the law and may result in penalties or legal consequences.

• **DNA Report 3**

WORK OUT CODE	18
Arrest/Summons Ref.:	07/01YT/01/34813D
DNA Status:	DESTROYED
Sample Barcode:	98407055
Date of Sample:	23/11/07
Sample Type:	SWAB
DNA FS Ref.:	01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE)
Fingerprint Status:	CONFIRMED
	<u>"WON."</u>

• **DNA Report 4**

WORK OUT CODE	22
Arrest/Summons Ref.:	06/0000/00/1629163X
DNA Status:	DESTROYED
Sample Barcode:	97658916
Date of Sample:	21/12/06
Sample Type:	SWAB
DNA FS Ref.:	01 (METROPOLITAN POLICE)
Fingerprint Status:	CONFIRMED
	<u>"WON."</u>

SUMMARY

One

2. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS SO FAR: --

A. Our Comprehensive Assessment

- Reveals that the entity integrity of the data held by the police in connection with the Now Claimant, as documented within their ACRO Report, is fundamentally compromised across various classed categories such as: --
 - 1) **Police National Computer Nominal Report Nominal Details / ACRO: --**
 - Data integrity issues arise due to cross-contamination of government-official categorized files, leading to inaccuracies in the proper structuring of relevant mandatory files associated with proven guilt.
 - 2) **Personal Description: --**
 - The accuracy of personal description data is called into question, potentially leading to wrongful identifications and arrests.
 - 3) **Driver Details: --**

- Irrelevant and wrongful arrest or summons references may lead to misinformation and misrepresentation of the Now Claimant's identity.

4) Aliases Alias 1: --

- Data inaccuracies within alias details could result in improper profiling and potential misjudgements.

5) Alias Dates of Birth: --

- Issues in alias date of birth entries could lead to confusion and procedural errors.

6) Warning Signals: --

- The presence of warning signals may be compromised by inaccurate data inputs, impacting law enforcement actions.

7) Information Markers: --

- Data inaccuracies in information markers lead to misguided assessments and actions.

8) Marks and Scars: --

- Incorrect entries in marks and scars information lead to misidentifications and improper procedures.

9) Photograph Locations: --

- Inaccuracies in photograph location data hinder the proper documentation and identification process.

10) Identity Numbers: --

- Issues in identity number entries may lead to complications in proper identification and recordkeeping.

11) Passports: --

- The accuracy of passport data may influence travel-related judgments and decisions.

12) Occupations: --

- Incorrect occupation data may affect assessments and decisions related to the Now Claimant's professional activities.

13) Habitual Dress: --

- Inaccurate habitual dress entries could lead to mistaken identifications and actions.

14) Jewellery: --

- Issues in jewellery-related data may impact identifications and investigations.

15) Other Details: --

- Data inaccuracies within other details could lead to misconceptions and flawed judgments.

16) DNA Report: --

- Compromised DNA report data hinder accurate forensic assessments and conclusions.

--

B. These Discrepancies Arise due to the very reasons the police policies aim to prevent, such as: --

1) Cross Contamination of Government-Official Categorized Files:

- Inadequate maintenance of correct file structures with relevant mandatory files for proven guilt-related offenses.

2) Irrelevant and Wrongful Arrest/Summons References:

- Misleading references that inaccurately identify the Now Claimant, leading to wrongful actions.

3) DNA Status, Date of Sample, Sample Type, Fingerprint Status, Date Arrested, Last Updated, Case Name:

- Ongoing issues persist where arresting officers are implicated in wrongful detention, illegal remand dates, and unauthorized continuation of actions against the Now Claimant.

4) NFA Date, Offence Date(s), Harassment, Abuse of Process:

- The integrity of these records is undermined, potentially leading to miscarriages of justice.

C. In Light of These Findings: --

- 1) It Becomes Evident that corrective actions are imperative to rectify data inaccuracies and uphold fairness, accuracy, and the principles of justice.

